



International Environmental
Law Research Centre

REPORT OF THE SARDAR SAROVAR PROJECT RELIEF AND REHABILITATION OVERSIGHT GROUP ON THE STATUS OF REHABILITATION OF PROJECT AFFECTED FAMILIES IN MADHYA PRADESH (SHUNGLU COMMITTEE)

New Delhi, July 2006.

*This paper can be downloaded in PDF format from IELRC's website at
<http://www.ielrc.org/content/c0608.pdf>*

**REPORT OF THE
SARDAR SAROVAR PROJECT RELIEF AND
REHABILITATION OVERSIGHT GROUP**

ON

**THE STATUS OF
REHABILITATION OF PROJECT
AFFECTED FAMILIES
IN
MADHYA PRADESH**

Sri V.K.Shunglu

Prof. G.K.Chadha

Dr Jaiprakash Narayan

Chairman

Member

Member

New Delhi
July 3, 2006

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Sardar Sarovar Project Relief & Rehabilitation Oversight Group, constituted by the Prime Minister, is grateful to all officers dealing with SSP in the Ministry of Water Resources in particular Mrs. Sushma Singh, Additional Secretary, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Narmada Control Authority, Grievance Redressal Authority, Madhya Pradesh, for their full cooperation and assistance extended to the Group in completing the task within the stipulated period.

The Oversight Group would like to keep on record with great commendation, committed involvement of the Director General and officers of the National Sample Survey Organisation in accomplishing this task, the Resource Persons and the Registrar General of India (Census Department).

The Group would also like to place on record the contribution made by S/ Shri V. Jayaraman, O.P. Pandey, Joginder Singh, J. Deva Sunder and Thomas George.

We are also grateful to the Ministry of Water Resources for their support by way of providing logistics and secretarial assistance.

While all efforts have been made to address issues posed by the Terms of Reference and/ or those connected thereto, it may be that the report does not engender an answer to every concern. The limited time available and the vast territory we were required to cover perhaps precluded us from doing any more. The responsibility is entirely of OSG.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER		Page
1	Sardar Sarovar Project Scope, Execution and Issues	1
2	Project Affected Families	9
3	Resettlement and Rehabilitation	18
4	Conclusions and Recommendations	24
 ANNEXURES		
I	Map showing elongated shape requiring rehabilitation	28
II	Comparative statement of R&R Package for the oustees of SSP & ISP	29
III	Demographic changes between 1991 and 2001 census	34
IV	Demographic changes of 12 inhabited villages in 1991	36
V	Demographic changes of 11 uninhabited villages in 1991	37
VI	Verification list of GOMP of 571 persons claiming rights with relevant Khasra Nos. in proof of acquisition of land/land in question above FRL	38
VII	Status of R&R sites/plots planned, developed and allotted (86 R&R sites)	81
VIII	Status of R&R sites/plots planned, developed and allotted (79 R&R sites) excluding 7 sites where allotment not taken place	85
IX	Specimen copy of notification issued by GOMP in December 2005 to PAFs for shifting within a period of six months	89
 APPENDICES		
I	Report of the NSSO based on field verification done on status of PAFs - Sardar Sarovar Project	1-54
II	Field verification report of 86 R&R sites of SSP, MP	1-33
III	Examination of Grievances of PAFs at GRA	1-7

ACRONYMS

ATR	Action Taken Report
EIA	Environment Impact Assessment
EL	Elevation Level
ESG	Environment Sub-group
FRL	Full Reservoir Level
GOG	Government of Gujarat
GOMP	Government of Madhya Pradesh
GRA	Grievance Redressal Authority
ISP	Indira Sagar Project
LA Act	Land Acquisition Act
MAF	Million Acre Feet
MOEF	Ministry of Environment and Forest
MOSJ&E	Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
MOWR	Ministry of Water Resources
MWL	Maximum Water Level
NBA	Narmada Bachao Andolan
NCA	Narmada Control Authority
NSSO	National Sample Survey Organisation
NVDA	Narmada Valley Development Authority
NWDT	Narmada Water Disputes Tribunal
OSG	Oversight Group
PAF	Project Affected Families
R&R	Resettlement and Rehabilitation
R&RSG	Resettlement and Rehabilitation Sub-group
RCNCA	Review Committee of Narmada Control Authority
SRP	Special Rehabilitation Package
SSP	Sardar Sarovar Project
TOR	Terms of Reference

CHAPTER 1

Sardar Sarovar Project Scope, Execution and Issues

1.1 Introduction

In the light of the observations of the Supreme Court in its order dated 17th April 2006, the Prime Minister constituted the Sardar Sarovar Project Relief & Rehabilitation Oversight Group (OSG) under the Chairmanship of Sri V.K.Shunglu, former Comptroller and Auditor General of India with Prof. G.K.Chadha, former Vice Chancellor, Jawaharlal Nehru University and Dr Jaiprakash Narayan, Convener, Loksatta as members. The mandate of the Group is "to verify the status of the rehabilitation in Madhya Pradesh of the families affected by the raising of the height of the Sardar Sarovar Dam from Elevation Level (EL) 110.64 mtrs to EL 121.92 mtrs".

1.2 Responsibility of the Oversight Group.

The Oversight Group's report on the status of rehabilitation in Madhya Pradesh of the Project Affected Families (PAF) is to be submitted to the Prime Minister through the Ministry of Water Resources.

1.3 This report consists of four chapters. Chapter 1 introduces the issues. Chapter 2 addresses Terms of Reference (a) to (d) except some financial entitlement triggered by physical events. Chapter 3 deals with Resettlement and Rehabilitation (R&R) and Chapter 4 with conclusions, recommendations and future course of action. The basis of this report is described in Chapter 1.

1.4 Terms of Reference

- a) Based on the reports of the State Government ascertain the number of project affected families affected due to submergence caused by the raising of the height of the dam.

- b) Estimate, on the basis of sample checks, the number of Project Affected Families who may not have received so far, in full measure, the Resettlement & Rehabilitation package as per the norms laid down by the Award and the Orders of the Supreme Court.
- c) Ascertain, on the basis of sample checks, if offers of alternate land to eligible oustees were made in a fair and transparent manner.
- d) Ascertain, on the basis of sample checks, if such offers were voluntarily refused by such oustees, who preferred to accept the Special Rehabilitation Package of the Government of Madhya Pradesh.
- e) Ascertain when all measures of rehabilitation, resettlement and civic amenities as mandated by the Award (para 152 of Supreme Court Judgement of October 2000) will be in existence.
- f) Recommend a system to ensure that all families affected by an increase in the height of the dam to EL 121.92 mtrs, receive within the next 3 months, the benefits of the Relief and Rehabilitation package as per the norms laid down by the Award, the relevant orders of Supreme Court and of the Grievance Redressal Authority of Madhya Pradesh.

1.5 Background of Sardar Sarovar Project

1.5.1 Narmada is the fifth largest river in India. It originates from the Maikala range at Amar Katak in Madhya Pradesh and flows westwards over a length of about 1312 km before draining into the Gulf of Cambay, 50 km west of Bharauch city. The first 1077 km stretch is in Madhya Pradesh; 35 km stretch of the river forms the boundary between the States of Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra and next 39 km forms the boundary between Maharashtra and Gujarat and the last stretch lies in Gujarat. The catchment area of Narmada River is 98800 km². The utilisable water availability is estimated at 28 Million-Acre Feet (MAF), at 75 per cent dependability in terms of Narmada Water Disputes Tribunal (NWDT) Award. The State-wise share of water and hydro power benefits from the projects were estimated as follows:

State	Utilisable water at 75% dependability (MAF)	Annual irrigation benefits (Lakh ha)	Share of hydro power benefits of 1450 MW (%age)
Gujarat	9.00	17.92	16
Madhya Pradesh	18.25	-	57
Maharashtra	0.25	-	57
Rajasthan	0.50	2.50	-
Total	28.00	20.40	100

1.5.2 Of the 28 Million Acre Feet of water estimated to be available in the Narmada River by the Tribunal, approximately 10 MAF would in one way or the other be impounded/used by the Sardar Sarovar Project. In order to utilise 10 MAF, a dam with a height of 455 ft is under construction at Kewadia in Gujarat. This dam is permitted to reach Crest Level height of 121.92 m before the commencement of the 2006 monsoon. The other elevations of the Dam are

	Height/meters	Million Acre feet Storage
1. Minimum Drawdown Level	110.64	2.97 (dead storage)
2. Crest Level/Spillway Level	121.92	
3. Full Reservoir Level (FRL)	138.68 (455 ft.)	7.70
4. Maximum Water Level (MWL)	141.21 (460 ft.)	
(Clause VII NWDT Award)		

1.5.3 Estimates of water availability, Maximum Water Level etc., are based on water flow readings at Garudeshwar, a few Kilometers down stream of Kewadia. Prior to 1979, with unhindered flow of water, maximum water level/once in 100-year flood level in Narmada river valley was with a discharge of 2.45 million cusecs at Garudeshwar. Between 1979 and 2004 three very large structures were created across the river i.e. Bargi dam in District Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, Indira Sagar in District Khandwa, Madhya Pradesh and Sardar Sarovar to a height of 110.64 mtrs. With these Dams, measurement of flows at Garudeshwar is no longer germane to

water levels in Madhya Pradesh. This has operational significance and forms part of the last chapter.

1.5.4 Narmada river in Madhya Pradesh flows in the deep valley between Vindhya range in the north and Satpura range in the south. Consequently, unlike other reservoirs shaped like saucers, Sardar Sarovar is elongated with the end of reservoir being over 200 kms from the dam. In consequence, water does not spread, it keeps backing off hemmed in by mountain ranges on both banks. This elongated shape requires rehabilitation over a large distance **(Map attached) – Annexure –I.**

1.6 Land Acquisition

1.6.1 Land acquisition obligations for the Dam/Reservoir are (a) all privately owned land below FRL and (b) all buildings with their appurtenant land below MWL [Clause XI sub clause II(1) & (2) of NWDT Award]. Agricultural land between FRL and MWL does not need acquisition since its submergence would be temporary at MWL. However, the houses which may come under temporary submergence are to be acquired and compensation and resettlement and rehabilitation extended to all such families. According to Government of Madhya Pradesh (GOMP), at 121.92 mtrs., in all 177 villages will be affected, 28 permanently and 149 at Maximum Water Level. Of the 24,421 families, 3708 will be affected at 121.92 mtrs and remaining at MRL. Total submergence in M.P. at FRL is estimated to be 21,000 ha. of which 8,000 ha. is private land.

1.7 Provision for Rehabilitation

1.7.1 Government of Gujarat (GOG) would arrange for and bear the cost of rehabilitation of oustees upto dam height 350 ft, unless oustees decline to move to Gujarat. Ousteas above 350 ft. will be rehabilitated in Gujarat/Madhya Pradesh depending on their choice. In any event, the cost of rehabilitation shall be borne by Gujarat. The term 'oustees' was defined as any person who, for one year prior to the date of land acquisition notice Under Section 4 Land Acquisition Act (LA Act) has been ordinarily residing or cultivating land or carrying on any trade/occupation or calling or working for gain in the area likely to be submerged [Clause XI sub clause

1(2) of NWDT Award]. This definition has been periodically expanded and the present definition is detailed in Chapter 2.

1.8 Entitlement

1.8.1 In addition to compensation for land and homestead acquired, the oustees are entitled to the following benefits in case of Madhya Pradesh:

Land - Equivalent to that acquired with a minimum of two ha. and maximum of eight ha. fully irrigated, provided 25 per cent of the landholding is lost. All major sons will be entitled to land upto two ha. each.

Encroacher Oustee - They are treated as land oustees provided the encroachment is prior to 13th April, 1987. Land entitlement is between one and two ha. depending on the size of encroachment.

Landless Ousteers - Will get Rs. 49,300/- for productive assets and others without productive assets will get Rs. 33,150/-.

House plots - Developed residential plots measuring 60'x90' in rural areas will be provided to oustee families and their major sons/unmarried major daughters.

Rehabilitation Grant - Agricultural landless labourers will get Rs. 18,700/- and all other labourers will get Rs. 9,350/-. All major sons will be treated as landless.

Transportation grant - Free transport to relocation site or, when not availed of, a lump sum of Rs. 5000/- relocation grant is payable.

Facilities at R&R sites

Civic amenities like drinking water, electricity, health, education, play ground, places of worship, etc, will be provided.

Details of rehabilitation entitlements are given in **Annexure-II**. The attachment also shows the entitlements of oustees of Indira Sagar Project (ISP). This project is about 100 kms upstream of Sardar Sarovar Reservoir boundary. It was executed during the last 10 years and is now complete. The project power generation

commenced in 2005. Entitlements in ISP are inferior to those of SSP oustees of Madhya Pradesh.

1.9 Administrative arrangements:

1.9.1 The Sardar Sarovar Project is being executed by Sardar Sarovar Narmada Nigam Ltd. This Corporation is in-charge of construction of dams and canals. There is a separate agency in-charge of R&R in Gujarat. Government of Maharashtra is implementing R&R of PAFs in its jurisdiction. In Madhya Pradesh, where most of the submergence is taking place, the work of land acquisition, construction of Indira Sagar from which there will be regulated release to Sardar Sarovar as well as R&R are the responsibilities of Narmada Valley Development Authority (NVDA), headquartered at Bhopal with substantial staff in the project area.

1.9.2 For giving effect to these complex provisions and administrative arrangements very briefly noted above, Government of India framed the Narmada Water Scheme 1990. Narmada Control Authority (NCA), a body corporate has been entrusted with the responsibility and authority to do any or all things necessary, sufficient and expedient for the implementation of the order of the Tribunal with respect to:

- (i) the storage, appointment, regulation and control of the Narmada Waters;
- (ii) sharing of power benefits from Sardar Sarovar project;
- (iii) regulated releases by Madhya Pradesh;
- (iv) acquisition by the concerned States for Sardar Sarovar Project of lands and properties likely to be submerged under Sardar Sarovar;
- (v) compensation and rehabilitation and settlement of oustees; and
- (vi) sharing of costs.

1.9.3 In order to address the grievances of those affected by submergence/land acquisition, GOMP set up in 2000 a Grievance Redressal Authority (GRA). This Authority has devised a mechanism and procedure for redressal. All grievances received by the GRA are forwarded to NVDA for verification and comments. On the basis of comments received, the applicant is given opportunity to represent his view

point. Thereafter, the GRA decides the matter. Upto 15th June 2006 GRA had received 5561 claims admitted partly or wholly 875 claims. At present about 38 claims are pending with GRA awaiting disposal. The remaining claims were rejected. In addition, 3904 claims have been forwarded by GRA to NVDA for verification and settlement. The overwhelming rejection of claims by GRA has been due to applicants questioning the rationale for SSP rather than seeking of any specific grievance redressal.

1.9.4 In relation to Compensation and Resettlement, the GOMP submits Action Taken Report (ATR) to NCA and GRA. The ATR is examined in NCA and field verification is done by NCA officials on sample basis. The committee of R&R Sub-group of NCA consults GRA of the State and obtains its opinion on satisfactory resettlement of PAFs. The last such consultation took place in March 2006. The GRA was satisfied on the basis of verification by NCA officials that substantial progress had been made in relation to R&R sites and there was compliance with provisions of the NWDT Award. Thereafter, a meeting is taken by the R&R Sub-group chaired by Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice. The NCA chaired by Secretary, Ministry of Water Resources on receipt of Sub-group report of R&R accords permission to continue construction. The environmental issue is similarly dealt with, but not being relevant to this report, is not discussed. It is the permission accorded in March 2006, which has now become contentious and resulted in appointment of the OSG. It is the purpose of this report to present the facts in this regard.

1.10 Work Programme

1.10.1 The Oversight Group decided to divide its work into three parts. First, verification of oustees affected by submergence. Second, verification of resettlement facilities provided for oustees. Third, the work dealt with by the GRA since inception. This comprehensive approach is in line with the assurance given by the Additional Solicitor General to the Supreme Court.

1.10.2 For complete verification of PAFs, the OSG secured the assistance of National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO) to verify compensation and resettlement support extended to all those who are already recognised as affected by GOMP and to list out the case of those who claimed to be affected. The report of the NSSO is appended (**Appendix -I**) with this report. A validation exercise, supervised by Mr. Vijay Kumar, Deputy Comptroller & Auditor General (retired) was also undertaken prior to finalisation of NSSO report.

1.10.3 In relation to resettlement, the Group engaged six Resource Persons of eminence listed in the resettlement report appended with this report (**Appendix - II**). These resource persons between them visited all the 86 sites developed by GOMP for resettlement and rehabilitation.

1.10.4 Members of the Institute of Public Auditors of India were engaged under the leadership of Mr. Vijay Kumar, Deputy Comptroller & Auditor General (retired) to review all cases lodged with GRA headed by Hon'ble Justice Shri G.G. Sohani at Bhopal. A copy of the report is appended (**Appendix – III**).

1.10.5 The OSG jointly and individually undertook frequent field trips to affected villages and resettlement sites to verify facts and to review the progress of field work.

1.10.6 In all, over 25,000 persons were individually interviewed by NSSO in 177 villages. A validation exercise for over 1100 PAFs was also undertaken. Approximately 10000 applications made to GRA have been enumerated and a separate report prepared. 86 R&R sites have been individually visited by resource persons. All this has been possible because of the unqualified support of many institutions/agencies, notably Ministry of Water Resources, NSSO, Census Organisation, GRA M.P., Central Water Commission, GOMP and NCA. Sadly, groups of local "activists" occasionally attempted to impede the progress of the work by interfering with field activities. The civil society groups periodically questioned the survey methodology in the most general terms. Some even felt that survey of all PAFs was not necessary and a sample survey should be enough. On the contrary, the OSG felt that all the families should be surveyed to ascertain the facts as comprehensively and accurately as possible and to ensure that there is fair and full rehabilitation of all genuinely affected families. This survey is particularly important to ensure that no village or family, which faces the threat of imminent inundation during monsoon, is left out of relief and rehabilitation inadvertently or by design. However, no individual or group provided any specific information as to individual grievances or in respect of any of the R&R sites.

CHAPTER 2

Project Affected Families

2. Specific Terms of Reference

2.1 The Oversight Group is required to,

“(a) Based on the Reports of the State Government ascertain the number of Project Affected Families Affected due to submergence caused by the raising of the height of the dam”.

The second Term of Reference requires OSG to,

(b) Estimate on the basis of sample checks, the number of PAFs who may not have received so far, in full measure, the R&R package as per the norms laid down by the Award and the orders of the Supreme Court.

Definition of Project Affected Families

2.2 Before dealing with (a), it would be appropriate to point out that this requires the OSG to reach a conclusion in relation to the number of PAFs. It would be relevant to first define PAFs. The term PAF has not been used by the Tribunal Award. The term used by the Tribunal is “Oustee”, defined in Clause XI sub clause 1(2) & 1(3) as follows:

“Oustee” - An ‘oustee ‘ shall mean any person who since at least one year prior to the date of publication of the notification under section 4 of the act, has been ordinarily residing or cultivating land or carrying on any trade, occupation, or calling or working for gain in the area likely to be submerged permanently or temporarily.

- “Family” -
- (i) A family shall include husband, wife and minor children and other persons dependent on the head of the family, e.g. widowed mother.
 - (ii) Every major son will be treated as a separate family.

2.3 This definition has been used for describing PAFs as follows:-

- (a) land holders whose land is acquired;
- (b) encroachers in possession of land prior to 13 April, 1987;
- (c) any person whose property, mainly homestead, are affected due to submergence upto Maximum Water Level of 460 ft.;
- (d) by implication landless labourers are also included provided their homestead is notified for acquisition;
- (e) major sons;
- (f) unmarried daughters/sisters;
- (g) widows, etc.

2.4 This definition is broader than that contained in the National Policy on R&R for PAFs – 2003. The national policy requires three years' continuous residence period, the Narmada Award lays down one year's residence period prior to the date of notification under section 4 of the LA Act.

2.5 Based on Survey of India maps in relation to villages likely to be affected by submergence, each village was surveyed and survey markers (stone) affixed, delineating a line below which lands and properties would be affected, and above which land and properties would not be affected. In case of agricultural land, all lands below FRL 138.68 mtrs. have been acquired. For homestead, the acquisition has been progressively phased. As of now, homesteads upto 121.92 mtrs. have been notified under section 4 of LA Act. Issue of section 4 notice means declaration of intention of the State to acquire the person's property. Alternatively, if the person's property is not acquired he cannot be said to have been affected. Based on the definition of PAF provided in the previous paragraph, the numbers of PAFs have changed with change in definition and have gone much beyond the number originally estimated by GOMP, and this process will continue till FRL is achieved.

2.6. Since some of the changes mentioned in Paragraph 2.5 were consequential to Supreme Court Judgment (WP No. 328 of 2002), NVDA in August 2005 prepared a draft list of PAFs. This list was notified in villages. During September 2005, after hearing by NVDA officials, there were additions and deletions to the notified list. In October, 2005 a final list of PAFs based on the current definition of PAFs was prepared. According to NVDA officials, this list is the basis of GOMP's Action Taken

Report (ATR). It enumerates 18965 PAFs after excluding 5456 PAFs rehabilitated in Gujarat, briefly summed up in the table below:-

Dam height	Villages	No. of PAFs
110.64 mtrs	104	8,860
Additions between 110.64 & 121.92	+73	15,561
121.92 mtrs.	177	24,421
Of these rehabilitated in Gujarat		5,456
PAFs for Madhya Pradesh		18,965

2.7 GOMP in its ATR before the Supreme Court has mentioned this figure of PAFs. To date, there is no dispute that on dam height 121.92 mtrs. the number of villages affected is 177. It has not been anybody's case that more villages are affected. The point of contest is the correct number of PAFs in these villages.

Verification of Entitlements and Payments to PAFs

2.8 GOMP has used 44 columned proforma to list PAFs, their entitlement and the present position with regard to payment of compensation for land and/or other properties acquired as well as the status of rehabilitation. This proforma was taken as the starting point for enumeration and for purposes of verification. However, it has been the case of Narmada Bachao Andolan (NBA) activists that all those affected have not been taken into account, and a large number of persons have been left out. In order to verify the information provided by the GOMP as well as to ascertain who, if any, have been left out, survey of all the 177 affected villages by the height of 121.92 mtrs and a complete enumeration of households in these villages was undertaken. It would be appropriate to clarify that 104 villages were affected to a height of 110.64 mtrs and 73 villages were added between 110.64 mtrs and 121.92 mtrs. As the dam height rises not only new villages get added but also additional properties get affected in villages notified earlier. It was therefore necessary to survey all villages upto dam height of 121.92 mtrs. rather than only those villages that were added between 110.64 mtrs. and 121.92 mtrs.

2.9 This task was assigned to NSSO with the direction that their survey teams should verify through personal interviews all particulars listed in the ATR of 18965 families. In addition, NSSO would enumerate all those persons who "claim", to be affected and whose claim have not been taken into account irrespective of whether

such people had earlier sought relief or not. In other words, all persons acknowledged as having been affected and all those who claimed to be affected have been enumerated in 177 villages surveyed by the NSSO. This survey was validated by five per cent sample survey supervised by Shri Vijay Kumar, Deputy Comptroller & Auditor General (retired) and conducted by Infrastructure Development Consultants, Bhopal.

2.10 In order to ascertain the authenticity of the receipt of financial entitlements, each relevant column of the 44-column ATR prepared by GOMP was canvassed by NSSO with each PAF in each affected village. By and large, NSSO has reported numbers higher than that reported by GOMP in ATR. In other words, even after the last ATR was submitted to OSG, progress continued to be made in disbursement of claims by NVDA and consequently many more PAFs received a larger portion of their entitlements than what was reported last in the ATR. It may not be out of place to mention that the financial entitlements in some cases are consequent to the performance of some action on the part of the PAFs. For example, a PAF is entitled to a grant for transportation and movement from his homestead to the resettlement site. But this is applicable as and when the PAF decides to move. However, since very few PAFs have moved, grants such as this have neither been disbursed nor received by PAFs. In tandem, those PAFs preferring cash payment in lieu of residential plots have shown progress. There are minor discrepancies between the amount received and shown in the ATR. But the number of such deviations is neither significant nor the disbursement amounts vary sizeably. It can be concluded that while there are minor variations, by and large, the ground situation corresponds to that reported in the ATR.

Persons claiming to be affected by SSP

2.11 NSSO was requested to enumerate all those persons who claim to be “affected” but whose “claims” were not taken into account; NSSO has reported 6,485 such claimants. Of these, 1367 have approached the GRA. We are thus left with 5118 claimants. These claimants have not approached GRA. These claimants had little to say vis-à-vis the exercise conducted by GOMP during August-October 2005 by NVDA to secure a comprehensive list of PAFs. Of these, 1650 persons are from villages where the minimum homestead height is below 121.92 mtrs. i.e. some homesteads in these villages could have been affected and perhaps not taken into

account. Juxtaposing these 1650 claims with GRA enumeration it was found that over 200 persons, about 14 per cent, had approached GRA and omitted to acknowledge it during field verification.

2.12 Of the claimants who state that their claims not taken into account approximately 98 per cent have claimed loss of homestead. Verification of their residence in the village on or around the date of land acquisition proceedings on the basis of census data showed that about 3,000 persons were not residents of the concerned village at the time of 2001 Census. It may be recalled that to be eligible a person has to be resident for at least one year prior to the date of acquisition.

2.13 Of the total claimants, 3620 or 56 per cent were from 22 villages alone. A village-wise table of demographic changes between 1991 and 2001 is appended (**as Annexure- III**) with this report. A summary of the table is reproduced below.

Number of Villages	1991		2001	
	Households	Population	Households	Population
177	24,539	143,773	29,172	162,448
Of which 22 villages where additional claims made are >50	3,253 (13.26)	18,051 (12.50)	8,522 (29.21)	43,391 (26.76)
Remaining 155 villages	21286 (86.74)	125722 (87.50)	20650 (70.79)	119057 (73.24)
Additional claimants	3620			
Figures in parenthesis show percentage to total of 177 villages				

It will be observed that population of these 22 villages demonstrated an extraordinary increase between the two census, by as much as 140 per cent in respect of population and 162 per cent in respect of households. In sharp contrast, the remaining 155 villages display negative growth of population as well as households. Furthermore, of 177 villages, 12 changed from inhabited in 1991 to uninhabited in 2001, and 11 uninhabited villages became inhabited in 2001 (**as given in Annexures-IV and V**). In total terms, the data would seem to suggest population movement in search of compensation.

2.14 571 persons claimed that their agricultural land had not been acquired even though it would be submerged. According to GOMP, after verification of all these claims (**Annexure-VI**), the relevant Khasra numbers (Land Survey nos.), land has

either been acquired and the owner on the date of acquisition paid compensation or, the land in question is above FRL and has not been acquired (see paragraph 2.5).

2.15 In relation to homestead some other issues also need to be noted viz.

- (a) Village Habitation or “Abadi” as it is called in Madhya Pradesh is a cluster of houses with neither any mark of identification nor any record of ownership (See Section 107 M.P. Land Revenue Code). Transfer of ownership is not governed by laws applicable to urban property. Hence, verification of date of construction or continuous ownership is not possible. Nothing prevents an owner-occupier from claiming compensation and thereafter transferring ownership/possession to another person. There is no way to ascertain the house in which a person lived at the time of section-4 notification under LA Act. And land/property acquisition commenced in 1991 and continues to this day.
- (b) Census operation no doubt enumerates households by numbering houses, but thereafter (February 2001) so many other numberings have taken place/erased e.g. Voter list for Parliament Elections and Assembly Elections, Malaria spraying operations, Immunisation etc. that no consistent numbering system of village houses can be taken into account.
- (c) Not all habitation is in land classified as Abadi, Quite a few homesteads are on agricultural fields. Validation of such properties is simply not possible.

2.16 Clearly, an unambiguous determination of the validity of all these claims is not possible for the OSG. However, the absence of names of about 3000 new claimants from 2001 census (as verified by Registrar General of Census), the unusual demographic profile witnessed in the villages with 50 or more new claims, and the fact that every claim of affected land not being compensated has on verification proved to have been without substance lead us to the conclusion that most of the claims of exclusion now being made are probably without substance. Nevertheless, there is always the possibility of some persons being missed out in a large operation in a big project. Such persons can seek redressal from GRA.

2.17 The third and fourth Terms of Reference requires OSG to

(c) *Ascertain, on the basis of sample checks, if offers of alternate land to eligible oustees were made in a fair and transparent manner.*

(d) *Ascertain, on the basis of sample checks, if such offers were voluntarily refused by such oustees who preferred to accept the Special Rehabilitation Package of the Government of Madhya Pradesh.*

Offer of Government Land to PAFs and its refusal

2.18 This matter was also argued before the Supreme Court in WP (Civil) No. 328 of 2002. The court observed “the States have constituted a Land Bank. Normally, those lands which are available from the Land Bank should be allotted and in relation thereto, the parties may have a choice, but they cannot reject such lands only unless it is shown that the lands are not irrigable or cultivable or otherwise unsuitable”. In all, there are 4286 PAFs, reported in the ATR who are entitled to land for land. Of these, 407 have opted for Government land while 3879 have opted for Special Rehabilitation Package (SRP). The NSSO canvassed this Terms of Reference with nearly all the affected persons. Before dealing with the replies received to NSSO questionnaire, it would be appropriate to briefly describe the land allotment procedure followed by NVDA. From the Land Bank, land is allotted to PAFs depending on proximate availability of Government land. NVDA is required to offer land close to Resettlement sites. Resettlement sites are required to be close to villages inhabited by PAFs. It is the availability of sufficient irrigated cultivable land close to the affected villages which is at issue. NVDA’s procedure required the person declining Government land to make an application for SRP. On such application, SRP is approved by NVDA and first installment to enable the person to identify the land is paid. When the person completes the purchase, the balance is paid directly to the seller. Reasons for acceptance of SRP furnished by the PAFs to NSSO are displayed in the following table.

<i>Reason for settling on SRP</i>	<i>Number of PAFs</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
ATR-I		
1. Package found attractive	1,553	38.4
2. Through GRA (Grievance Redressal Authority)	133	3.3
3. Followed relative/others	1,058	26.1
4. Others:	1,303	32.2
4.1 <i>No intimation on allotment of land/plot/other assets</i>	319	7.9
4.2 <i>Not satisfied with the allotted land</i>	98	2.4
4.3 <i>Other cases</i>	886	21.9
Total	4,047	100.0

2.19 It would be observed that nearly two-third of the PAFs accepted SRP because they found the SRP more attractive or followed their relatives than accepting the alternative i.e. Government land. From the spectrum of replies received, there seems to be no reason to believe that PAFs' have been coerced to accept SRP in place of Government land. During NSSO verification, 3294 PAFs confirmed initial allotment of Government Land and refusal for the reasons mentioned in the table (para 2.18). It seems that the quality of land available in the Land Bank was by and large average; it was not irrigable and cultivable. Considerable efforts would be needed to bring it to standard of cultivable and irrigated land. While it is specified that any investment required to make such land to the required standard would be made by the Government, it is the case that this would be a tedious process. In other words, the contrast between the category of land offered, generally grazing land from the Land Bank, and the alternative of expecting to purchase irrigated land of one's own choice seemed to tilt the balance in favour of the latter. Acceptance of SRP by over 96 per cent of PAFs is therefore no surprise. In conclusion, while it is true that the offer of Government land was made to an overwhelming majority of the PAFs, but it is equally true that most of them expressed their unwillingness to accept the land because it could not be directly put under the plough and the SRP was appealing, especially from point of view of buying land of one's own choice. That an overwhelming majority of PAFs opted for SRP, thus, needs to be understood and interpreted in terms of choices open to them at that time.

Validity of Special Rehabilitation Package

2.20 It would not be out of place to mention that during 2005 the validity of SRP was referred to GRA for its opinion. The matter also came up before GRA who considered grant of permission for raising height of the dam from 110.64 mtrs. to 121.92 mtrs. In its opinion, GRA stated "this observation by the Supreme Court cannot be construed to mean that if the land to be allotted by the State to an oustee family is not from land bank, then compliance with the land purchased by the oustee family of his own choice with the help of SRP granted in that behalf would amount to non-compliance with the observations of the Supreme Court or with the provisions of the NWDT Award". In the opinion of the GRA, SRP is legal and legitimate. Its acceptance has been voluntary and for the reasons detailed in Table 5 of the NSSO

survey, the SRP was found to be attractive by a fairly substantial proportion of the PAFs.

2.21 For the reasons mentioned in Chapter 3, land purchases through the SRP mechanism have picked up in recent weeks. While GOMP reported 652 cases in ATR, NSSO found 1137 persons had drawn the second installment and purchased land. NVDA has now reported that, as of 20th June 2006, 1650 persons have completed purchase of land.

CHAPTER 3

Resettlement and Rehabilitation

3.1 The OSG is required to,

(e) Ascertain when all measures of rehabilitation, resettlement and civic amenities as mandated by the Award (para 152 of Supreme Court Judgment) will be in existence.

Overall Assessment of Resettlement and Rehabilitation Sites

3.2 An exercise was undertaken to ascertain the present position vis-à-vis R&R facilities before answering the more substantive issue of when all measures (facilities) mandated by NWDT would be in existence. This exercise was accomplished by six teams headed by eminent resource persons and is appended with this report.

The overall assessment of the R&R teams was as follows:-

	Total sites	Reserved for Dam height 122-138 mtrs.	Available upto 122 mtrs.
	86 *	7	79 @
Of which good	37	-	37
Average	25	2	23
Below Average/Poor	24	5	19

* Break up details in **Annexure-VII.**

@ Break up details in **Annexure-VIII.**

3.3 The major findings of the R&R Report are as follows:-

- 1) "Most of the sites are located either by the side of the main road/ countryside road or close to it. These sites are connected to major towns in the tehsil/district under reference.
- 2) With a few exceptions, approach roads are available on most of the sites. Inner roads have also been constructed at most of the sites but these are not free of operational deficiencies, most glaring being poor maintenance and repairs, particularly of those constructed a few years ago.

- 3) The plots demarcated on the private land were already levelled. In many cases, the private land acquired for the R & R sites constitutes the bulk of plots. However, in about 20 sites, the land is black cotton soil which is porous and is usually not suitable for traditional house construction. Around 50 per cent of the plots demarcated on government land appeared to be in an underdeveloped condition. These plots are demarcated either on the rocky area or on slopes of hillocks and/or require substantial filling.

However, the number of developed plots being more than the number of plots allotted to PAFs (actually earmarked for allotment when the dam height goes beyond 121.92 mtrs. EL), these additional plots are presently being used for exchange if a PAF is not happy with his present allotment.

While most of the plots are free from litigation and encroachment, yet these problems have arisen in some specific cases.

- 4) In respect of a few sites, it was alleged that the well-off among the PAFs were allotted better plots.
- 5) All the sites have been provided with electrical poles with wiring. The teams also found that the sites habited with families have been provided with street lights. In overall terms, the electrification of most of the sites is satisfactory. However, there is a need to ensure continuous supply of electricity.
- 6) Hand Pumps and Bore Well Pumps are the main source of drinking water. Almost on every site, most of the Hand Pumps were in working condition. The teams also observed that the water is portable. However, the water storage system at a majority of the sites was not satisfactory. Water pipe networks were yet to be laid in some places and those already installed at other places were not fully functional. There is a need to ensure steady supply of water.
- 7) The sites needs better drainage and sanitation system”.

Overall Conclusion of Resettlement and Rehabilitation Report

3.4 “In overall terms, the inspection of the 86 R&R sites throws up a mixed picture. There are gaps between the R&R claims lodged by NVDA and those

discovered, through spot inspection, by the verification teams. There are good, average and below average sites. The development work done by Govt. of Madhya Pradesh is of a mixed character, good at 37 sites, average at 25 sites and deficient elsewhere. Undoubtedly, the basic edifice for many infrastructural facilities and civic amenities does exist in respect of a majority of sites, yet, whether these facilities are affording a reasonable living support to the PAFs who have already started living at the assigned R&R sites, or would do so to those who may come in later, cannot be taken for granted. For example, in terms of institutional infrastructure, the teams found that most of the sites are provided with buildings for the school, dispensary, panchayat bhavan, seed storage; in a few cases, buildings/structures for anganwadis and veterinary dispensary also exist. However in almost all cases, these facilities are not functioning. These are just bare buildings without any provision of the staff and support structure for their functioning. Many of these buildings were constructed a few years ago and hence require repairs and proper maintenance. The absence of ponds and children parks is rather glaring”.

3.5 “In our opinion, the deficiencies at most of the sites can be removed by levelling/developing the uneven plots, proper maintenance and repair of the inner roads, proper maintenance of buildings already constructed, providing better and steady water supply system, providing proper drainage and sanitation and taking care of the other deficiencies pointed out in the report. The buildings constructed for schools, dispensaries, panchayat bhawans, etc., can be made functional only after renovation of the buildings is done to suit for the specific purposes, and provision of necessary furniture, other equipment, and staff requirements are taken care of”.

Requirement of Resettlement and Rehabilitation Facilities

3.6 These sites are supposed to address the needs of roughly 15,000 PAFs as follows:-

	Dam Height 121.92 mtrs. (Number)
Loss of Homestead	15054
Persons entitled to Homestead for losing 25% or more land	1738(+)
Persons who have accepted cash compensation	1845(-)
Total Requirement	14,947

3.7 NVDA has allotted plots to all the persons. Of the allotted plots, 46 per cent were at sites classified as good, 34 per cent at sites classified average and 20 per cent at sites considered poor. Even though 80 per cent allotment has been at good or average sites, yet construction of houses and resettlement have been very poor. Less than 10 per cent PAFs have constructed houses and about 5 per cent have shifted to R&R sites. Even in sites rated as good in the R&R Report, shifting by PAFs has been modest. That it has been all the more slow in the total of R&R sites is evident from the following figures.

Number of plots allotted	14391
Number of Houses constructed	1451
Number of PAFs shifted	757

Reasons for PAFs not moving to R&R sites

3.8 Before proceeding to the reasons for this, mentioned in the R&R report, it would be pertinent to note the provision in the NWDT Award. Clause XI dealing with programme for payment provides in Sub Clause V (3) (iii) as follows:

“Gujarat shall, at each successive stage of submergence, intimate to Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra the area coming under submergence at least 18 months in advance. The inhabitants of the area coming under the respective stages of submergence will be entitled to occupy or use their properties without being required to pay anything for such occupation and use till a date to be notified by the State concerned which date shall not be less than six months before submergence. They must vacate the area by the notified date”.

3.9 It would be observed that the NWDT Award allows persons who have been paid compensation to continue to occupy the land and their property till they are asked to shift out through a separate notification six months prior to date of submergence. In other words, payment and acquisition have been separated from the possession. Possession can be taken immediately prior to actual requirement i.e. submergence. In December 2005, for the first time, NVDA notified all 177 villages, affected by Dam Height 121.92 mtrs. to leave within six months. A copy of one such notification is appended (**Annexure-IX**). This now empowers NVDA to

secure possession and PAFs to vacate properties acquired but still in their possession.

3.10 When this issue was posed to PAFs they replied as follows:-

- (a) to the NSSO field parties they stated that during the last three years there had been no inundation, in particular during the monsoon. This despite the fact that by June 2004 the Dam Height had risen to 110.64 mtrs, the backwater level for this Dam Height was 114-115 mtrs. This being the Dead Storage Level, water at Sardar Sarovar Dam has always stayed at 110.64 mtrs. to date;
- (b) to the R&R inspection teams, the answers provided was no threat of immediate submergence. In most cases, submergence will be temporary and partial and should that happen, PAFs may move to slightly higher ground.

3.11 While the Terms of Reference imply lack of R&R facilities as the main reason for inadequate resettlement, the facts are more complex. The PAFs were not legally obliged to shift to R&R sites and most of them chose not to relocate as they did not feel threatened by inundation. There is always the attraction of tilling acquired land and enjoying the crop till the land is actually submerged. As a result, they continue to live also at their erstwhile homes.

3.12 The other reasons, as reported to the R&R inspection teams, for the poor and slow pace of construction and shifting are:

- 1) There is a feeling that compensation may further increase for those who are yet to shift.
- 2) They want plots to be improved like filling up of low lying plots, better leveling on slopes, removal of stones, no plots on soft black soil, better drainage of rain water, and so on.

Completion of Work at Resettlement and Rehabilitation Sites

3.13 OSG is required to ascertain when all facilities vis-à-vis R&R will be in place. The defects detailed in the R&R report are neither major nor remedial action time consuming. Site leveling, building repairs, village road up-gradation, hand pump

repair etc. can be accomplished within the current financial year of sites where deficiencies on these counts have led to the site being graded as average or poor. Money does not appear to be an issue because GOG is bearing the entire cost and lack of funds has not been a problem.

3.14 At present, services such as health and education are not available at the rehabilitation sites. At the same time, villages in which PAFs continue to reside have schools and health facilities. The distance between the two i.e. present village abadi and the rehabilitation site is not large. Generally, the sites are within 1-2 kms. of village abadi from where the PAFs are supposed to be displaced. In other words, there needs to be a plan for shifting these services from present locations to R&R sites in tandem with the movement of PAFs. While such a plan has not been discussed at present, we see no impediment to the preparation and execution of a plan which makes available services at R&R sites as PAFs move out from the affected villages.

3.15 In conclusion, the issue is of upgrading facilities to the required standards and this can be accomplished during the current financial year. Now that GOMP is empowered to secure possession of acquired properties a plan is also necessary for movement of PAFs and resettlement at designated sites. Proper and continued maintenance of assets would depend on PAFs moving to resettlement sites.

CHAPTER 4

Conclusions and Recommendations

4.1 OSG group has been asked to

“(f) Recommend a system to ensure that all families affected by an increase in the height of the dam to 121.92 meters, receive within the next three months, the benefit of the Relief and Rehabilitation package as per the norms laid down by the Award, the orders of the Supreme Court and the orders of the Grievance Redressal Authority of Madhya Pradesh.”

Progress of construction of SSP Dam

4.2 According to GOG, the SSP Dam is unlikely to reach the permitted height of 122 mtrs before the commencement of 2006 monsoon. When work stops around 7 July 2006, masonry level would be around 119 mtrs. The remaining work i.e. (a) masonry to 121.92 mtrs (b) erection of vertical masonry columns and (c) installation of gates will take about two seasons roughly going upto July 2008.

4.3 It would be recalled that measurements of water flows are at present recorded at Garudeshwar. For the reasons mentioned in Chapter 1, this recording is not very relevant in view of the construction of various projects on the Narmada. The OSG was informed that the Central Water Commission has put in place a system of measurement of water flows from the origin of the river upto Sardar Sarovar. This system is vital to the management of R&R because, for the last several years, in the absence of flow measurements upstream of SSP, most of the water levels are through simulation exercises. Given the absence of submergence during previous seasons and the anticipation of some submergence during the present monsoon, it is very important that this aspect of measurement and its dovetailing with R&R efforts should be accomplished as soon as possible.

Conclusions

4.4 No substantial deviation was discovered in the number of PAFs. Claims, now made during survey could not be substantiated. However, while enumerating

PAFs for the next stage (FRL), NVDA should also make use of this enumeration and judge each case on its merit. Even after that process, the opportunity to approach GRA will remain available.

4.5 Over 4,000 cases have been referred by GRA to NVDA. Progress in disposal of these cases requires to be expedited, and in any event all cases need to be disposed of during the next three months. NCA should monitor the progress of disposal.

4.6 NSSO verification with regard to payments made and entitlements, as recorded in the ATR, revealed that by and large the information in ATR corresponds to the ground reality.

4.7 The offer of Government land in place of land acquired did not meet the requirements of PAFs and was the most significant reason for their opting for SRP.

4.8 SRP, in the opinion of GRA, is a legitimate substitute for providing land for land as stipulated in the NWDT Award. Progress of disbursement of second installment and consequential acquisition of land under SRP has picked up significantly in recent weeks.

4.9 Facilities created at R&R sites throw up a mixed picture, good at 37 sites, average at 25 sites and poor in 24 sites. Deficiencies at most sites can be removed by developing uneven plots and by proper maintenance and repair of roads and buildings. The deficiencies can be removed during the present financial year. For the present, the number of developed plots exceeds the number of PAFs who need to be rehabilitated.

4.10 Poor progress in shifting of PAFs to R&R sites is due to provisions of the NWDT Award (please refer to discussion in paras 3.8 to 3.12) and the absence of threat of submergence, amongst the PAFs.

Recommendations

4.11 The present system would require some changes. During 2006-07, the height of the Dam would not increase significantly and may not thus require GOMP to apply and secure further permission, in terms of the procedure outlined in paragraph 1.9.4. And yet a great deal needs to be done including.

- (a) An action plan should be prepared for 37 sites classified as good. This plan should be based on 100 per cent survey of PAFs assigned to these sites and should spell out dates by which current habitation will be vacated and new sites occupied. Based on provision of services, health and education, electricity connections etc, NCA may monitor shifting of PAFs to resettlement sites. NCA would need to be considerably strengthened to do so.
- (b) A programme of up-gradation of all sites classified as Average or Poor and of seven sites reserved for PAFs between Dam height 121.92 mtrs. and 138 mtrs needs to be drawn up. An overall review to accommodate all PAFs i.e. (a) about 19,000 PAFs upto 122 mtrs + (b) 15,000 beyond 122 mtrs say 34,000 PAFs in all in relation to facilities available at existing sites would require to be undertaken. Since acquisition of agriculture land upto FRL has already taken place, and because SRP seems to be working, the major issue now is largely about relocation to new Homesteads. A connected issue relates to 3879 PAFs who were entitled to land for land, who opted for SRP and from amongst whom nearly 1650 have purchased land of their choice. Land purchases at places of their choice may well preclude many of them from settling at the R&R sites assigned to them. Increase in number of PAFs accepting cash instead of resettlement supports this and should be taken into account.
- (c) In July 2005, about 4000 claims were forwarded by GRA to NVDA for verification and settlement. While some of these have already been disposed of, the number is not very large. NVDA under the superintendence of GRA would therefore be required to dispose of all claims before arriving at a final list of PAFs.
- (d) Supreme Court had directed that all major sons would also be entitled to land where the PAFs are entitled to land. NVDA should confirm to NCA that this exercise has been completed even in respect of major sons whose cases were rejected earlier in view of a different interpretation.

- (e) All functions in relation to SSP in M.P. are to date performed by NVDA. The District Administration has played at best a supportive role. Considering the kind of field work required and the time available it may be desirable for the district administration to be more directly involved in planning and implementation of the several actions required for securing compliance with NWDT award and Supreme Court directions. The modus vivendi is within the competence of GOMP.
- (f) GOMP should, within two months prepare a plan of action, assign mile stones, secure approval of R&R Sub-group and commence action for resettlement of PAFs upto FRL.
- (g) Monitoring to secure compliance should remain the responsibility of NCA. NCA would certainly need considerable support. The kind of support which became available to OSG was clearly predicated on an extraordinary situation which required NSSO to achieve deadlines by diverting staff from other projects. Ministry of Water Resources needs to evolve mechanisms to provide adequate professional support to NCA to discharge its obligations.

Prof. G.K. Chadha
Member
SSP R&R
Oversight Group

Dr. Jaiprakash Narayan
Member
SSP R&R
Oversight Group

V.K. Shunglu
Chairman
SSP R&R
Oversight Group

A N N E X U R E S

**NARMADA VALLEY DEVELOPMNET DEPARTMENT
MANTRALAYA, VALLABH BHAWAN, BHOPAL**

No.

Bhopal, Dtd 20/06/2006

To ,
Mr.V.Jayaraman,
Sardar Sarovar Project R & R Oversight Group,
Ministry of Water Resources,
Shram Shakti Bhawan ,
New Delhi-110001

Sub: A Comparative Statement of R&R Policy/Package for the Oustees of SSP & ISP.

As desired by Over Sight Group of SSP a Comparative Statement of R&R Policy/Package for the Oustees of SSP and ISP has been prepared highlighting the major differences of the provisions of R&R Package of both the projects. Kindly acknowledge the same and oblige.

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(R.C.Gamod)
Dy.Secretary,
Narmada Valley Development Department
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, M.P.

**Comparative Statement of R&R Package for
the Oustees of SSP & ISP**

Item	SSP	ISP	Remarks
Applicability of the provisions of R&R Policy/NWDT Award	The R&R is being governed by the provisions of Narmada Water Dispute Tribunal Award and the R&R Policy of the State specially formulated for Narmada Projects.	The R&R is being governed by the provisions of R&R Policy of the State specially formulated for Narmada Projects.	The provisions of NWDT Award are applicable only on SSP. Where as provisions of R&R Policy are applicable on ISP.
Compensation of Agricultural land	Agricultural land will be compensated as per sec. 23 of Land Acquisition Act (market value of the Land Compensation of wells, pipelines & trees as per valuation report given by PHE, forest and Horticulture Deptts. + 30% solatium+12% interest (Interest payable from date of notification of section 4).	Agricultural land will be compensated as per sec. 23 of Land Acquisition Act (market value of the Land Compensation of wells, pipelines & trees as per valuation report given by PHE, forest and Horticulture Deptts. + 30% solatium+12% interest (Interest payable from date of notification of section 4).	Same in both the Projects.
Compensation of houses	Compensation of houses and other immovable properties will be paid as per section 23 of Land Acquisition Act (as per CSR) + 30% solatium + 12% interest (Interest payable from date of notification of section 4).	Compensation of houses and other immovable properties will be paid as per section 23 of Land Acquisition Act (as per CSR) + 30% solatium + 12% interest (Interest payable from date of notification of section 4).	Same in both the Projects.
Land for Land	Eligible Families losing 25% or more agricultural land will be allotted land for land (minimum 2 ha. and maximum 8 ha.). The major sons of such families will also be allotted minimum 2 ha. of land or equivalent amount of money	Eligible Families will be allotted land for land as far as possible (minimum 2 ha. and maximum 8 ha.).	Special provision for SSP:- Major sons of land owning PAFs will also be entitled for minimum 2 Ha. of land even if they are not getting any compensation.
Special Rehabilitation Package (Payable on refusal of land for land)	PAF's refusing to accept offer of land will be paid special rehabilitation package as per details given below -	PAF's willing to purchase the land of their choice will be paid special rehabilitation grant in lieu of land for land -	-

	<p>1. Average cost of one acre irrigated or unirrigated land of acquired village prior to 1 year and from the date of section 4 notification.</p> <p>2. Average cost of same quality of land in same Tehsil excluding submerged villages prior to 1 year.</p> <p>3. Average cost of 1 acre irrigated land of the 'Sakalda' Command Area within 1 year. The calculation year will be 2000-2001 for the calculation of average cost in all cases already decided. For new cases the average cost will be calculated 1 year prior to the date of section 4 notification.</p> <p>The maximum per acre cost from the above 3 alternatives shall be assessed as cost of per acre land, which will be calculated as per following formula:- Cost of each acre land X area of land as per entitlement + 30% solatium (-) amount of the award (the amount of the award will be considered as zero for major sons). The amount of SRP received earlier will be adjusted.</p> <p>Special Rehabilitation Package will be offered to those families, who :- (i) Will handover the possession of acquired land to Govt. (ii) Shall purchase irrigated agricultural land of their own choice. (iii) Will not demand land for land from Govt.</p>	<p>1. Average cost of one acre irrigated or unirrigated land prior to 1 year and from the date of section 4 notification</p> <p>2. Average cost of same quality of land in same Tehsil excluding submerged villages prior to 1 year.</p> <p>3. Average cost of 1 acre irrigated land of the 'Harda' Command Area within 1 year. The calculation year will be 1997-1998 for the calculation of average cost in all cases already decided. For new cases the average cost will be calculated 1 year prior to the date of section 4 notification.</p> <p>The maximum per acre cost from the above 3 alternatives shall be assessed as cost of per acre land, which will be calculated as per following formula:- Cost of each acre land X area of land in acres + 30% solatium (-) amount of the award. The amount of SRG received earlier will be adjusted.</p>	<p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>Sakalda command in SSP Harda command in ISP.</p> <p>Special provisions for SSP SRP will be paid for minimum of 2 Ha. of agriculture land even if a PAP is loosing less than 2 Ha. of land.</p>
--	---	--	---

Rehabilitation Grant	(1) Agricultural landless labourers families, SC/ST/Small and marginal farmers families = Rs.18,700/- (2) Other families Rs 9350/-	(1) Agricultural landless labourers families, SC/ST/Small and marginal farmers families = Rs.18,700/- (2) Other families Rs 9350/-	Same in both the Projects.
Employment Resources Grant	(1) Rs. 49,300/- to landless agricultural labourers, landless SC&ST families (2) Rs 33,150/- to other landless families	(1) Rs. 49,300/- to landless agricultural labourers, landless SC&ST families (2) Rs 33,150/- to other landless families	Same in both the Projects.
Transportation Grant	Free transportation or Rs. 5,000/- for transportation of their house hold goods to new R&R site	Free transportation or Rs. 5,000/- for transportation of their house hold goods to new R&R site	Same in both the Projects.
Residential Plots	90' X 60'= 5400 Sq. ft. plot or Rs.50000/- to each family in rural area. For urban R&R site the plot size of 40' X 60'= 2400 Sq. ft. or Rs.50,000/- Joint Families refusing the residential plot will have to take at least 1 plot minimum.	90' X 60'= 5400 Sq. ft. plot or Rs 20000/- to each family in rural area. For urban R&R site the plot size of 40' X 60'= 2400 Sq. ft., 1500 Sq. ft. & 540 Sq. ft. or Rs. 20,000/-	Special provision for SSP:- Financial grant of Rs. 50,000/- in lieu of residential plot.
Stamp/Registration fee	For purchase of Agriculture land or immovable property within M.P. up to the limits of amounts paid to PAFs (excluding transportation grant)	For purchase of Agriculture land or immovable property within M.P. up to the limits of amounts paid to PAFs (excluding transportation grant)	Same in both the Projects.
Special Provisions	(i) Option of acquiring total agriculture land if 75% of land holdings are acquired (ii) Compensation of encroached land if encroachment is prior to 13th April 1987 (iii) Major sons & major unmarried daughters will be treated as separate family if they were major on the date of section 4 notification.	(i) Option of acquiring total agriculture land if 75% of land holdings are acquired (ii) Compensation of encroached land if encroachment is prior to 13th April 1987 (iii) Major sons & major unmarried daughters will be treated as separate family if they were major on the date of section 4 notification.	Same in both the Projects.

- The provisions of NWDT Award are applicable only on SSP. Where as provisions of R&R Policy are applicable on ISP.
- An Oustee in SSP will be allotted minimum 2 Ha. of land even if he is losing less than 2 Ha. of his agricultural land in SSP.
- The major sons of land owning Oustees of SSP, eligible for land allotment will also be allotted minimum 2 ha. of land even if they are not getting any compensation.
- The major sons of land owning Oustees of ISP are not allotted any agricultural land.
- In SSP, Sakalda Command area is considered to be the area for valuation/assessment of the agricultural land of the Oustee.
- In SSP, an offer of allotment of agricultural land from the pool of Land Bank is made to each and every Oustee eligible for land allotment as per NWDT Award. When an oustee express his/her unwillingness to accept the offered land, he/she submits a request in writing that he/she wants to avail the provision of Special Rehabilitation Package to buy alternate land of his/her own choice. Then SRP is offered to him/her.
- A sum of Rs. 20000/- is paid to an Oustee of ISP in lieu of one residential plot. The family is not bound to take minimum one residential plot.
- A sum of Rs. 50000/- is paid to an Oustee of SSP in lieu of residential with a compulsion for the family to take atleast one residential plot.

**Annexure-III
(Para 2.13)**

Demographic Changes between 1991 and 2001 census

Sl. No.	Date of Land Acquisition (Agri.Land/ Abadi)	Ref. Sl. No.	Name of Village	Tehsil/ District	No. of PAF	No. of addl. claims	Population		Households	
							1991	2001	1991	2001
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
1.	22.11.1991/ 07.01.2000	108	Anwali	Thikri/ Barwani	374	69	0	1206	0	245
2.	15.06.2001/ 29.06.2001	98	Bagud	Barwani/ Barwani	229	84	224	905	40	220
3.	04.10.2002/ 16.08.2002	112	Barda	Thikri/ Barwani	335	599	601	2761	117	547
4.	02.04.1993/ 18.02.2000	74	Bhanwariya	Kukshi/ Dhar	191	474	280	2661	48	452
5.	11.06.1993/ 27.09.2002	152	Brahamangaon	Thikri/ Barwani	315	142	409	1996	69	361
6.	04.01.1993/ 04.01.1993	2	Chikalda	Alirajpur/ Jhabua	4	218	179	483	12	571
7.	22.11.1991/ 07.01.2000	116	Datwada	Thikri/ Barwani	341	121	349	1303	67	257
8.	08.06.2001/ 29.06.2001	105	Dhanora	Barwani/ Barwani	335	131	417	1424	62	259
9.	21.09.2001/ 21.09.2001	104	Ekalwara	Manawar/ Dhar	104	259	495	1829	98	334
10.	11.05.2001/ 07.09.2001	92	Kasrawad	Barwani/ Barwani	447	160	871	3253	172	623
11.	15.06.2001/ 18.02.2000	70	Khaparkheda	Kukshi/ Dhar	271	175	213	867	42	167
12.	02.08.2002/ 18.10.2002	126	Malangaon	Manawar/ Dhar	16	105	1422	766	243	159
13.	27.08.2001/ 14.09.2001	58	Nisarapur	Kukshi/ Dhar	1608	471	1033	7444	190	1401

Sl. No.	Date of Land Acquisition (Agri.Land/ Abadi)	Ref. Sl. No.	Name of Village	Tehsil/ District	No. of PAF	No. of addl. claims	Population		Households	
							1991	2001	1991	2001
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
14.	08.06.2001/ 29.06.2001	101	Piplod	Barwani/ Barwani	224	114	1010	985	185	191
15.	08.06.2001/ 07.09.2001	107	Pipri	Barwani/ Barwani	50	78	2888	636	559	93
16.	21.02.2003	174	Sala	D.Puri/ Dhar	139	90	2465	2924	441	577
17.	02.04.1994/ 20.05.1994	41	Dharamrai	Kukshi/ Dhar	265	64	801	4472	124	672
18.	01.06.2001/ 14.09.2001	62	Karondiya	Kukshi/ Dhar	228	52	1050	970	202	166
19.	12.06.1994/ 07.01.2000	124	Khedikhurd	Thikri/ Barwani	67	53	594	553	95	99
20.	27.09.2002	128	Mandwada	Thikri/ Barwani	130	57	515	4424	82	836
21.	09.04.1993/ 18.10.2002	134	Patwar	Manawar/ Dhar	74	52	185	818	27	160
22.	09.04.1993/ 18.10.2002	118	Sharikpura	Manawar/ Dhar	88	52	2056	711	378	132
Total					5835	3620	18057	43391	3253	8522
Total for 177 villages					18965	6485	143773	162448	24539	29172

Demographic changes of 12 inhabited Villages in 1991

Village	1991 (inhabited)		Date of issue of notice for acquisition of land and abadi		2001	
	House-holds	Popu-lation	Abadi	Land	House-holds	Popu-lation
Barud	92	548	Viran	1.6.2001	0	0
Bhatbadya	12	52	Viran	unaffected	0	0
Chhachhakuroa	162	1057	29.5.1992	25.5.1992	0	0
Dabhani	58	419	29.5.1992	29.5.1992	0	0
Dasana	85	546	25.9.1992	29.5.1992	0	0
Gajnera	407	2333	Viran	6.12.1991	0	0
Kastha	60	378	5.2.1993	5.2.1993	0	0
Katarkheda	57	331	29.5.1992	29.5.1992	0	0
Kawada	99	660	Unaffected	13.2.1992	0	0
Khujawa	156	942	21.2.2003	9.4.1993	0	0
Rohana	114	711	Viran	12.2.1993	0	0
Sandewa	490	2531	Viran	22.11.1991	0	0

Demographic changes of 11 Uninhabited Villages in 1991

Village	1991 (un-inhabited)		Date of issue of notice for acquisition of land and abadi		2001 (Inhabited)	
	House-holds	Popu-lation	Abadi	Land	House-holds	Popu-lation
Adalpura	0	0	4.4.03	Unaffected	18	101
Amba Bada	0	0	15.9.93	15.9. 93	34	235
Anwali	0	0	7.1.2000	22.11.1991	245	1206
Bagdi (Bajadi)	0	0	10.6.1994	5.2.1993	133	656
Kathora	0	0	Viran	4.10.2002	167	863
Kothada	0	0	5.2.1998 & 26.1.2001	25.12.1998 & 26.1.2001	240	1267
Lakhangaon	0	0	27.9.2002	22.11.1991	195	1045
Malwada	0	0	7.1.2000	2.4.1993	67	365
Nandgaon	0	0	17.2.1999 10.8.2001	24.12.1999 8.6.2001	93	483
Pichhodi	0	0	12.2.1999 10.8.2001	24.12.1999 8.6.2001	350	2055
Shahpura	0	0	14.2.2003	Unaffected	69	314

**Annexure-VI
(Para No.2.14)**

**Verification List of GOMP of 571 Persons claiming rights with Relevant
Khasra Nos. in proof of acquisition of land/land in question above FRL**

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
1	BARWANI	BARWANI	AMLALI	UDAY SINGH S/O SHANKAR	ST	5.431	2.304	3/2 10/6 11/4 13/1 19/3 20/2 20/3 21/1 52/3 85/3 106/3 122 136/5 157 136/5	11/4 13/1 19/3 20/2 20/3 21/1 52/3 85/3 10/6 3/2		106/3 122 136/5 157 136/5
2	BARWANI	BARWANI	AWALDA	VITHAL JALAV S/O JALAV BANGA	ST.	1.954	1.172	6/1/2 30/15 103/4 103/9	6/1/2 103/4 103/9		30/15
3	BARWANI	BARWANI	AWALDA	GORABAI D/O BHADIA	ST	0.810	0.810	37/3 37/4	37/3 37/4		
4	BARWANI	BARWANI	BABULTAD	CHADHAR SINGH S/O SUKHIA	ST	0.547	0.547	19/20			19/20
5	BARWANI	BARWANI	BAGUD	SHRIRAM SON OF SHOBARAM	OTHERS	6.200	0.959	22/1	22/1		
6	BARWANI	BARWANI	BAGUD	MAHENDRA SON OF SHOBARAM	OTHERS	8.000	0.959	22/1	22/1		
7	BARWANI	BARWANI	BAGUD	RAJENDRA SON OF SHOBARAM	OTHERS	6.677	0.959	22/1	22/1		
8	BARWANI	BARWANI	BAGUD	AYODHYABAI DAUGHTER OF SHOBARAM	OTHERS	0.954	0.954	22/1	22/1		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
9	BARWANI	BARWANI	BAGUD	VIDYABAI DAUGHTER OF SHOBARAM	OTHERS	0.954	0.954	22/1	22/1		
10	BARWANI	BARWANI	BAGUD	GULABBAI WIDOW OF SHOBARAM	OTHERS	0.954	0.954	22/1	22/1		
11	BARWANI	BARWANI	BHAWTI	BABU S/O JOGA	ST	0.024	0.014	121/3	121/3		
12	BARWANI	BARWANI	BHAWTI	TULSI BAI W/O PARTAP	ST	0.879	0.879	37/1	37/1		
13	BARWANI	BARWANI	BHAWTI	SUKH DEV S/O RANJEET	ST	0.202	0.202	66/2	66/2		
14	BARWANI	BARWANI	BHAWTI	BALU S/O PANNA	ST	.648,.809	.648,.172	122/3 56/1/3	122/3 56/1/3		
15	BARWANI	BARWANI	BHAWTI	PANNA LAL CHANNA LAL S/O RATAN SINGH	ST	0.163	0.131	63/5			63/5
16	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHAJARA	SILDHAR S/O BHACHRYIA	OTHERS	1.305	1.305	3/3	3/3		
17	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHAJARA	AAN SINGH S/O BACHRIYA	OTHERS	1.305	1.305	3/2	3/2		
18	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHAJARA	BHUR SINGH S/O BACHRIYA	OTHERS	1.305	1.305	3	3		
19	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHAJARA	NANLI WIDOW MALSINGH	OTHERS	1.305	1.305	3/1	3/1		
20	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHANORA	JAYANTI D/O GOKUL	OTHERS	JOINTLY		8/1A			8/1A
21	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHANORA	KUSHI BAI W/O GOKUL	OTHERS	JOINTLY		8/1A			8/1A
22	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHANORA	MUKUND S/O MANGILAL	OTHERS	2.835		21/2 22/4 22/5			21/2 22/4 22/5

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
23	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHANORA	SHANKAR S/O MOHAN	OTHERS	2.640		28/9 8/1/A			28/9 8/1/A
24	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHANORA	RAM PARSAD S/O CHANDER	OTHERS	1.466		29/2			29/2
25	BARWANI	BARWANI	DHANORA	DEVJI S/O BALAJI	OTHERS	0.809		32/1 8/1/A			32/1 8/1/A
26	BARWANI	BARWANI	JANGARWA	SAKHA RAM S/O KALYA	ST	3.582	1.543	54/10 60/2 71/1	54/10 60/2		71/1
27	BARWANI	BARWANI	JANGARWA	MADHYA S/O SUKHYA	ST	1.939	1.312	13/6 13/8 51/8 74/9 49/9 WITH HOUSE	13/6 13/8 74/9 WITH HOUSE		51/8 49/9
28	BARWANI	BARWANI	JANGARWA	ROOP SINGH S/O NAGA	ST	4.701	3.467	82/2 11 37	82/2 11 37		
29	BARWANI	BARWANI	JANGARWA	RAMESHWAR S/O PARTAP	ST	6.250	4.620	31/2 46/2 47 49 53	31/2 46/2 47 49 53		
30	BARWANI	BARWANI	JANGARWA	SHOBHA RAM S/O BISHAN	ST	0.829	0.676	22/12 80/3	80/3		22/12
31	BARWANI	BARWANI	JANGARWA	TRILOK S/O BABU	ST	2.113	0.579	51/5 75/1 77/3 54/13	51/5 75/1 77/3 54/13		
32	BARWANI	BARWANI	JANGARWA	DUDA LAL S/O BHONDAR	ST	1.877	1.503	51/4 56/2 56/3 74/1	51/4 56/2 56/3 74/1		
33	BARWANI	BARWANI	JANGARWA	JAGAN S/O RUKHARIA	OTHERS	4.036	4.036	23/1 23/2 23/3	23/1 23/2 23/3		
34	BARWANI	BARWANI	KULI	JAWAR SINGH S/O RAJA AN	ST	1.743	0.744	3/3 6/1	3/3, 6/1		
35	BARWANI	BARWANI	MORKATTA	SARDAR S/O CHOTIYA	ST	3.868	3.868	94/2 94/3 94/4 94/5	94/2,94/3, 94/4,94/5		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
36	BARWANI	BARWANI	NANDGAON	GOKUL S/O BAINYA	ST	1.116	0.162	8/1 8/6 69/J 69/GH	8/1		8/6 69/J 69/GH
37	BARWANI	BARWANI	NANDGAON	RAMESH S/O RAM KISHAN	ST	3551	3.551	4/12 34/1 46/3 46/2 48/3 48/4 54/2	4/12 34/1 48/3 48/4		46/2 46/3 54/2
38	BARWANI	BARWANI	PENDRA	BAISAR BAI WIDOW RAMA	ST	2.517	2.517	88/1			88/1
39	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	DAYA RAM S/O SUKHYA	ST	1.1115	0.385	153/5	153/5		
40	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	MEERA BAI W/O SUKHYA	ST	0.466	0.466	48/3	48/3		
41	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	GORI BAI W/O JAGAN	ST	0.809	0.202	119/4	119/4		
42	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	RATAN BAI D/O FATYA	SC	0.420	0.367	27/6,3314	27/6,3314		
43	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	HIRA S/O KALLU	ST	0.921	0.667	57/3, 25/1/2	25/1/2		57/3
44	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	DIMBIA S/O BHURARI	ST	2.763	1.188	19/2, 51/1, 57/4, 126/1, 164/1,148/1	19/2, 51/1, 57/4,		126/1, 164/1,14 8/1
45	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	MANGHYA S/O MASIYA	ST	1.683	0.344	14/1 46/2 50/3 162/3 201/2	14/1 46/2 50/3		162/3 201/2
46	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	TULSI RAM S/O NAGA	OTHERS	0.049	0.049	100/13	100/13		
47	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	JIV RAJ S/O LATIA	ST	0.041	0.041	100/17	100/17		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
48	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	BAN S/O DAR A	SC	0.089	0.089	100/10 100/18 100/20			100/10 100/18 100/20
49	BARWANI	BARWANI	PICHHODI	KAIL ASH S/O SUKH RAM	SC	10182	0.394	120/6 120/13 120/14	120/6 120/13 120/14		
50	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	SITA RAM S/O ONKAR	OTHERS	1.748	0.024.	40/6/4			40/6/4
51	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	BHIVIYA S/O NATHU	OTHERS	1.244	0.045	10/10			10/10
52	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	AMANIYA S/O BHEEMA	OTHERS	1.436	0.020	40/6/3			40/6/3
53	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	RANCHHORL S/O MANSARAM	OTHERS	21.196	2.428	12/2, 13/1, 26/3			12/2, 13/1, 26/3
54	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	RAMU BAI D/O AMAR SINGH	OTHERS	14.725	0.032	7/4, 7/15/3			7/4, 7/15/3
55	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	TULSI BAI WO/O NANU RAM	OTHERS	3.294	0.81	7/8,7/9			7/8,7/9
56	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	BALRAM S/O NANU RAM	OTHERS	3.294	0.065	10/4			10/4
57	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	TULSI RAM S/O PREMA	OTHERS	4.391	0.0932	10/3			10/3
58	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	SHOBHA RAM S/O MUKUND	OTHERS	12.634	0.032	N.A			N.A
59	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	GARPETH S/O PUNAJI	OTHERS	10.688	0.073	40/5			40/5
60	BARWANI	BARWANI	PIPRI	BEENA BAI WO/O KALOO	OTHERS	6.157	0.065	N.A			N.A

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
61	BARWANI	BARWANI	SONDUL	BHAGA S/O KALYA	ST	1.295	0.092	103/1 108/1 128/4 131/1 158/1 159/1 175/1	128/4, 131/1		158/1,103, 159/1,175/1, 108/7
62	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	DHROPTI BAI D/O MUASAYA	SC	0.073	0.073	44/5	44/5		
63	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	LEELA D/O MUASAY A	SC	0.073	0.073	44/5	44/5		
64	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	RADHA D/O SURJI	SC	0.073	0.073	44/5	44/5		
65	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	SUMAN D/O MANI SHANKAR	OTHERS	0.886	0.405	37/1 45	37/1,45		
66	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	GAITRI D/O MANI SHANKAR	OTHERS	0.886	0.405	37/1 45	37/1, 45		
67	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	SUDHA D/O MANI SHANKAR	OTHERS	0.886	0.404	37/1 45	37/1, 45		
68	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	SANDHYA D/O MANISHANKER	OTHERS	0.886	0.404	37/1 45	37/1, 45		
69	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	SUBASH S/O VISHAWANATH	OTHERS	0.053	0.053	40/2	40/2		
70	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	PRAKASH S/O VISHAWANATH	OTHERS	0.053	0.053	40/2	40/2		
71	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	PREMLATA S/O SURESH CHANDER	OTHERS	0.053	0.053	40/2	40/2		
72	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	MOHANLAL S/O GANPAT	OTHERS	0.032	0.032	40/2	40/2		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
73	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	MURLIDHAR S/O GANPAT	OTHERS	0.032	0.032	40/2	40/2		
74	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	AJAY S/O GANPAT	OTHERS	0.032	0.032	40/2	40/2		
75	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	SHALENDER S/O GANPAT	OTHERS	0.032	0.032	40/2	40/2		
76	BARWANI	BARWANI	SUNGAON	CHITRANJAN S/O GANPAT	OTHERS	0.031	0.031	40/2	40/2		
77	BARWANI	BARWANI	TUWARKHEDA	BHAI SINGH S/O MOJA	ST	1.011	1.011	14/1	14/1		
78	BARWANI	BARWANI	TUWARKHEDA	BHAGIYA S/O RAM SINGH	OTHERS	1.011	1.011	22/1	22/1		
79	BARWANI	BARWANI	TUWARKHEDA	SILDHAR S/O RAM SINGH	OTHERS	1.012	1.012	26/2	26/2		
80	BARWANI	BARWANI	TUWARKHEDA	REM SINGH S/O RATAN SINGH.	OTHERS	1.517	1.517	18/1	18/1		
81	BARWANI	BARWANI	TUWARKHEDA	RAGNYA S/O ROOP SINGH	ST	2.500	2.500	9/1 30/1	9/1, 30/1		
82	BARWANI	THIKRI	ANWALI	GOVIND SON OF NATTHU	OTHERS	2.687	1.206	6/1 , 6/2	-	-	6/1 , 6/2
83	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	ONKAR SON OF SH. BALA	SC	0.020	0.020	34/19 B	-	-	34/19 kh
84	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	MANSA RAM SON OF SH. GOVIND	OTHERS	0.020	0.020	34/27	34/27	-	-
85	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	KALLU SON OF SHRI GOKUL	OTHERS	0.080	0.080	57/2/1	-	-	57/2/1
86	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	RADHESH SAYAM SON OF GANIPATI	OTHERS	10.522	0.080	34/8	34/8	-	-
87	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	JAGADISH SON OF SHRI DURYODHAN	OTHERS	4.521	0.080	24	24	-	-

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
88	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	RAMESHWAR SON OF SHRI DURYODHAN	OTHERS	4.521	0.080	24	24	-	-
89	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	BEENA BAI DAUGHTER OF GANGA RAM	OTHERS	2.987	0.109	34/25	34/25	-	-
90	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	HEERA LAL SON OF SHRI NATHU	OTHERS	7.729	0.040	34/7	34/7	-	-
91	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	RAMESH SON OF SH. BHILU RAM	OTHERS	1.416	0.059	62/3	-	-	62/3
92	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	SHIVJI SON OF THE BHILU RAM	OTHERS	1.416	0.059	62/3	-	-	62/3
93	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	MAHADEV SON OF SH. BHILU RAM	OTHERS	1.416	0.059	62/3	-	-	62/3
94	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	HIRA LAL YADAV SON OF SH. ONKAR	OTHERS	5.005	0.024	34/21/4	34/21/4	-	-
95	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	JAGDISH SON OF SH. SITA RAM	OTHERS	3.759	0.040	34/23	34/23	-	-
96	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	GOPAL SON OF SH. ONKAR	OTHERS	5.612	0.028	34/21/1	34/21/1	-	-
97	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	DEVI LAL YADAV SON OF SH. ONKAR	OTHERS	5.005	0.024	34/21/3	34/21/3	-	-
98	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	BHILU RAM SON OF SH. GANPAT	OTHERS	3.763	0.036	34/16 C	34/16 g	-	-
99	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	DAYA RAM SON OF SH. BHILU RAM	OTHERS	1.619	0.119	66/22 B	-	-	66/22 kh
100	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	RAM LAL SON OF SH. BHIKU RAM	OTHERS	1.250	0.150	66/2 F/67/2	-	-	66/2 ch 67/2

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
101	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	SHANKAR SON OF SH. GANPAT	OTHERS	7.300	0.700	34/16 229	34/16 Kh	-	229
102	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	SITA RAM SON OF SH. GANPAT	OTHERS	7.316	0.240	34/16 F 242	34/16 k	-	242
103	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	KHEM RAM SON OF SH. SITA RAM	OTHERS	1.812	0.100	253/2	-	-	253/2
104	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	RAMESWAR SON OF SHRI SAVAN	OTHERS	1.600	0.028	45/1/3	-	-	45/1/3
105	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	SALAK RAM SON OF DEVJI	OTHERS	3.54	1.00	38/2/3	38/2/3	-	-
106	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	BABU LAL SON OF NATHU	OTHERS	3.739	0.203	38/2/2 & 157/2	38/2/2	-	157/2
107	BARWANI	THIKRI	BARDA	RADHEY SHAM	OTHERS	10.370	0.020	34/8 & 129/1 & 146/1	34/8	-	129/1 & 146/1
108	BARWANI	THIKRI	BRAHMAN-GAON	GIRDHARI S/O MANILAL	OTHERS	5.066	0.361	4	4	-	-
109	BARWANI	THIKRI	DATWADA	MANOHARSIINGH SON OF KISHORSINGH	OTHERS	1.692	0.300	122 /B	-	-	122 /B
110	BARWANI	THIKRI	DATWADA	WAGHSINGH SON OF KISHORSINGH	OTHERS	1.692	0.300	122 /B	-	-	122 /B
111	BARWANI	THIKRI	DATWADA	LALSINGH SON OF KISHORSINGH	OTHERS	1.692	0.300	122 /B	-	-	122 /B
112	BARWANI	THIKRI	DATWADA	SURESHSINGH SON OF KISHORSINGH	OTHERS	1.692	0.300	122 /B	-	-	122 /B
113	BARWANI	THIKRI	DATWADA	SUSHILABAIL BHAGVANSINGH	OTHERS	1.200	0.886	NA	-	-	NA

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
114	BARWANI	THIKRI	DATWADA	GAMGARA,M SON OF SIKADAR	OTHERS	3.629	0.133	57/1 , 155	57	-	155
115	BARWANI	THIKRI	GAWLA-13	RAMESH S/O SHANKAR	SC	1,402	0,402	68/69	68,69	-	-
116	BARWANI	THIKRI	GAWLA-13	SUKHDEV S/O HARCHAND	OTHERS	14,164	0,393	64/1,77	64/1,77	-	-
117	BARWANI	THIKRI	GAWLA-7	LALURAM S/O GARKYA	SC	1.526	0.371	28/2,36/3,49 , 53, 56/4,89	28/2,36/3	-	-
118	BARWANI	THIKRI	GOLATA	GOPALSINGH S/O GUMAN SINGH	OTHERS	0.672	0.672	25/3,26/1,27 /2/1	25/3,26/1,27 /2/1	-	-
119	BARWANI	THIKRI	GOLATA	PRATAPSINGH S/O JATUSINGH	OTHERS	0.133	0.133	14/13/2	14, 19/2	-	-
120	BARWANI	THIKRI	GOLATA	MANA S/O GABBU	OTHERS	0.745	0.745	17/2/1,33/1	17/2/1,33/1	-	-
121	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	JASWANT S/O FKAN	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
122	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	BHAGIR S/O FAKAN	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
123	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	SHIVRAM S/O FAKAN	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
124	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	NATHU S/O NANDYA	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
125	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	DEVJI S/O NANDYA	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
126	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	SOBHARAM S/O NANDYA	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
127	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	UDAY S/O DIVYA	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
128	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	GANPATI S/O DIVYA	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
129	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	RADHYSHYAM S/O DIVYA	OTHERS	0.309	0.261	22,23/3	22,23/3	-	-
130	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	DATUBHAI W/O GHISALAL	OTHERS	0.727	0.313	10/2,10/3,10 /4,11,19/2 ,20	10/2,10/3,10 /4,11,19/2 ,20	-	-

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
131	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	DITLA S/O BAWALIYA	OTHERS	0.101	0.101	3/3	3/3	-	-
132	BARWANI	THIKRI	KIRMOHI	LAXMAN S/O BAWALIYA	OTHERS	0.101	0.101	3/3	3/3	-	-
133	BARWANI	THIKRI	LOHARA	SHERU S/O KESHAV	OTHERS	7,155	0.146	17/7	-	-	.17/7
134	BARWANI	THIKRI	MOHIPURA	KARAN S/O SIKHDAR SINGH	OTHERS	1.796	0.182	329/1	-	-	329/1
135	BARWANI	THIKRI	MOHIPURA	VIKRAM S/O SIKDAR SINGH	OTHERS	1.796	0.182	329/1	-	-	329/1
136	BARWANI	THIKRI	PICHHOLA	SUMERSINGH SON OF LIMJA	ST	4.399	1.150	14/2, 33/2, 81/3, 82/6	33/2	-	14/2, 81/3, 82/6
137	BARWANI	THIKRI	PICHHOLA	RADHE SHAYAM S/O RAGHU NATH	ST	0.676	0.202	28/4/2,24/4/1	-	-	28/4/2,24/4/1
138	BARWANI	THIKRI	VISHWANATHK HEDA	JAGDISH S/O RAMA	OTHERS	0.089	0.089	48	48	-	-
139	DHAR	DHARAMPURI	KHATADGAON	GULAB SON OF GANPAT	SC	0.533	0.533	102/6	102/6		
140	DHAR	DHARAMPURI	KHATADGAON	SODAN SON OF KARSAN	SC	0.605	0.605	102/5	102/5		
141	DHAR	DHARAMPURI	KHATADGAON	JAGDISH SON OF SHANTAYA	SC	0.824	0.824	102/3/2	102/3		
142	DHAR	DHARAMPURI	KHATADGAON	LAKHAN SON OF KARAN SINGH	OTHERS	0.632	0.632	102/3/1	102/3		
143	DHAR	DHARAMPURI	SHAHPURA	ATTAR SINGH S/O LAXMAN	OTHERS	0.253	0.253	1/7			1/7
144	DHAR	DHARAMPURI	SHAHPURA	GAJRAJ SINGH S/O RATAN SINGH	OTHERS	0.506	0.506	1/4 , 1/5			1/4, 1/5

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
145	DHAR	KUKSHI	AMLABAWADI	ABID S/O IKBAL	OTHERS	1.359	0.359	85/1			85/1
146	DHAR	KUKSHI	AMLABAWADI	ARIFS/O IKBAL	OTHERS	1.113	1.113	80/3			80/3
147	DHAR	KUKSHI	BABULGAON	DILIP SON OF ANNAR SINGH	ST	1.513	0.400	54/1/1	54/1/1		
148	DHAR	KUKSHI	BABULGAON	BHURIA SON OF RAMA	ST	0.809	0.809	49/1	49/1		
149	DHAR	KUKSHI	BABULGAON	HAGRI BAI WIFE OF NARSINGH	ST	2.765	1.069	49/2	49/2		
150	DHAR	KUKSHI	BATGAON	BALU S/O SHOBHA-EXPIRED TEJUBAI WIDOW OF BALU	OTHERS	2.934	2.934	5/1/ 2B	5/1/2 B		
151	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	GULAB S/O RUPA	SC	1.052	0.100	123	123		
152	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	BABU S/O RUPA	SC	1.052	0.100	123	123		
153	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	RAMESH S/O RUPA	SC	1.052	0.100	123	123		
154	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	GAPPU S/O RUPA	SC	1.052	0.100	123	123		
155	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	DINESH S/O HARJEE	SC	4.452	4.452	138/2	138/2		
156	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	POURASA S/O MANGILAL	ST	0.777	0.777	146/1,147/5/3,147/5/2	146/1,147/5/3, 147/5/2		
157	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	AHILYA D/O MANGILAL	ST	0.777	0.777	147/5/2/2	147/5/2/2		
158	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SITARAM S/O PITARAMA	OTHERS	9.158.	9.158	106/3/1,137	137		106/3/1
159	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	REVARAM S/O PITARAMA	OTHERS	9.158.	9.158	147/2,148,142/5,153/2			147/2,148,142/5,153/2
160	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	REVARAM S/O LATE SHANKAR	ST	2.428	2.428	136/2	136/2		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
161	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	REMSINGH S/O LATE SHANKAR	ST	2.428	2.428	136/2	136/2		
162	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	KALABAI SONJI W/O SONJI	ST	2.428	2.428	136/2	136/2		
163	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	GOVINDS/O PIRAMJI	OTHERS	12.177	0.141	100	100		
164	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SUNDARBAI W/O PIRAMJI	OTHERS	6.963	0.845	108/1/2, 152/1/1/1/1/ 2	108/1/2, 152/1/1/1/1/ 2		
165	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	HIRALAL S/O PIRAMJ	OTHERS	12.177	0.141.	177/1/2	177/1/2		
166	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	BANSILAL S/O BHAGWANSHAI	OTHERS	8.605	1.375	19/5	19/5		
167	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	RUKHADEO S/O SONA	ST	4.261	0.089	152/1/4	152/1/4		
168	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SUSHILABAI WIDOW HIRALAL	OTHERS	10.677	3.149	13, 177/2	13, 177/2		
169	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SANDEEP S/O RAMESHWAR	OTHERS	6.963	0.845	NA	N.A.		
170	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	BEHARIA S/O MUKUND	OTHERS	0.498	0.498	107/1/2, 152/1/1/1/2 ,152/1/1/3, 152/3	107/1/2, 152/1/1/1/2 ,152/1/1/3, 152/3		
171	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	RAMESHCHANDRA S/O GANESH	OTHERS	1.055	0.672	116/4, 118,143	116/4, 118,143		
172	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	YOGESH CHANDRA S/O GANESH CHANDRA	OTHERS	1.055	0.672	116/4, 118,143	116/4, 118,143		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
173	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	GOPAL S/O BHAGWAN	OTHERS	11.674	3.386	103, 104, (1), 105(2), 116(1), 365	103, 104, (1), 105(2), 116(1), 365		
174	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	RAMESHWAR S/O NARAYAN	OTHERS	5.612	1.448	104(2), 105/1/1,	104(2), 105/1/1,		
175	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	BHAGWAN S/O VISHRAM	OTHERS	2.000	2.000	105/1/2	105/1/2		
176	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	BHAGWAN S/O VISHRAM KULML	OTHERS	6.794	0.274	108/1/1	108/1/1		
177	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	JAGDISH BHAGWAN ,RAMESHWAR NARYAN	OTHERS	6.794	0.274	166,169	169		166
178	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SHANTILAL S/O NARAYAN	OTHERS	3.577	0.049	95/2	95/2		
179	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	KANTILAL S/O NARYAN	OTHERS	3.577	0.049	95/2	95/2		
180	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	JAGDISH S/O BADRILAL	OTHERS	4.860	0.012	95/1	95/1		
181	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	MAHESH S/O UMRAO	OTHERS	10.354	10.020	127, 129/1,129/2	127, 129/1,129/2		
182	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	RAMESHWAR S/O UMRAO	OTHERS	10.354	10.020	129/434,131	129/434,131		
183	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	GOMTI D/O AMRAVATI & W/O RAMSINGH	OTHERS	0.405	0.405	126/1/3	126/1/3		
184	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	LADBAI RAMA	ST	7.228	2.324	124,125	124,125		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
185	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	BUDI BAI D/O RUMAL SINGH	ST	3.501	3.201	49/2/2, 51/4, 52/5, 52/6			49/2/2, 51/4, 52/5, 52/6
186	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SHARDA D/O RUNAL SINGH	ST	3.501	3.501	49/7/2, 51/4			49/7/2, 51/4
187	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SUSHILA D/O RUNAL SINGH	ST	3.501	3.501	52/5, 52/6			52/5, 52/6
188	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	MANGILAL	OTHERS	7.228	2.334	124,125	124,125		
189	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	KUM. BHAGWATI D/O MANGILAL	OTHERS	6.414	6.300	136/1	136/1		
190	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	KALYA S/O SATYA	OTHERS	6.156	1.756	106/2, 107/2,	106/2, 107/2,		
191	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SHOBARAM S/O NARYAN	OTHERS	4.963	2.053	9/1/5, 9/2/1	9/1/5, 9/2/1		
192	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	NARYAN S/O DALLA	OTHERS	7.230	1.553	6/1, 6/2, 11/2, 12/2, 11/3, 12/3	6/1, 11/2, 12/2, 11/3, 12/3		6/2
193	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	MANGILAL S/O DEVA	OTHERS	1.481	1.481	108/2	108/2		
194	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	DEVA S/O CHITRA	OTHERS	8.923	1.481	349, 351/2	349, 351/2		
195	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	KAILASH S/O MANGILAL	OTHERS	1.481	1.481	108/2	108/2		
196	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	JAGDISH S/O MANGILAL	OTHERS	1.481	1.481	108/2	108/2		
197	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	CHOGALAL S/O BAPU	OTHERS	2.00	2.00	9/6, 9/5	9/6,		9/5
198	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	NATWAR S/O VITHAL G.	OTHERS	2.000	0.500	N.A.	N.A.		N.A.

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
199	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	HIRALAL S/O VITHAL G.	OTHERS	2.000	0.500	N.A.	N.A.		
200	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	JASODABAI WIDOW RANCHOD	OTHERS	1.740		408/2			408/2
201	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	RAJENDER S/O GANGARAM	OTHERS	1.970	0.550	431/2, 432/2,	431/2, 432/2,		
202	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	SANTOSH S/O AMBARAM	OTHERS	2.016	0.556	432/6, 431/7	432/6,		431/7
203	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	JAGDISH S/O BHOLA	OTHERS	1.250	0.632	431/4			431/4
204	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	MAHENDER K. S/O BHAGWAN	OTHERS	9.053	0.250	18/2, 84/2, 87	18/2, 84/2, 87		
205	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	BHAGWAN S/O RANCHOD	OTHERS	8.605	1.375	19/5, 86, 16/1	19/5.		86, 16/1
206	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	MOHAN KULMI S/O BHAGWAN	OTHERS	9.756		20/4/2			20/4/2
207	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHANWARIYA	TULYA S/O DHURJI	ST	0.007	0.007	159			159
208	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	MANGI LAL S/O JAM SINGH	ST	10.497	5.896	23/15	23/15		
209	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	VACHALIA S/O HAGARIA	ST	1.607	1.607	48,49/2	48,49/2		
210	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	BODAR S/O NARLA	ST	0.486	0.486	NA	N.A.		
211	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	KAILASH S/O PEMA	OTHERS	3.095	2.795	43/5	43/5		
212	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	RAMESH S/O NARAYAN	OTHERS	3.956	2.061	43/8	43/8		
213	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	GOVIND S/O RAMA	OTHERS	0.693	0.518	43/4	43/4		
214	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	HEERA S/O RAMA	OTHERS	0.694	0.519	43/6	43/6		
215	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	RADHIA S/O RAMA	OTHERS	0.694	0.519	43/4,43/6	43/4,43/6		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
216	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	RAMESHWAR S/O ONKAR	OTHERS	2.815	1.775	43/1,43/3	43/1,43/3		
217	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	NIRMALA D/O HEERALAL	OTHERS	3.924	1.060	36/4/5	36/4/5		
218	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	MANISH S/O P.JAIN	OTHERS	10.942	2.307	42/4	42/4		
219	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	USHA D/O RAMESH	OTHERS	10.497	5.896	23/15	23/15		
220	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	MEHINDRA S/O GOPAL	OTHERS	9.972	8.071	10/2,19/2,20/1,20/2,20/3.	20/1,20/2,20/3.		10/2,19/2
221	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	GOPAL S/O NARSINGH	OTHERS	13.041	4.555	21/2,11,14	21/2		11,14
222	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	JANKI BAI W/O GOPAL	OTHERS	2.00	2.00	21/1			21/1
223	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	RATTAN SINGH S/O BHIM SINGH	ST	6.360	1.195	23/11	23/11		
224	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	LATTU S/O BHIMSINGH	ST	2.632	0.920	23/5	23/5		
225	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	SOMJI S/O NATHU	ST	2.106	0.777	45/1/2,45/2/2	45/1/2,45/2/2		
226	DHAR	KUKSHI	BHILSUR	GOMTIBAI D/O RUKHADIA	ST	2.981	2.128	46/4, 47	46/4, 47		
227	DHAR	KUKSHI	BODHWADA	GAJANAND YADAV S/O GAYA	OTHERS	7.689	0.105	10/32, 59/77	59/77		10/32
228	DHAR	KUKSHI	BODHWADA	RADHEY SHYAM S/O GAYA	OTHERS	7.049	0.098	12, 41, 52/1, 40, 42			12, 41, 52/1, 40, 42
229	DHAR	KUKSHI	BODHWADA	MANIRAM S/O GAYA	OTHERS	2.023	0.755	11/1/2			11/1/2
230	DHAR	KUKSHI	BODHWADA	VIJAY SINGH S/O MUKHAR	OTHERS	0.404	0.404	86/1			86/1

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
231	DHAR	KUKSHI	BODHWADA	KANWARSINGH S/O MUKHAR	OTHERS	0.304	0.304	86/1			86/1
232	DHAR	KUKSHI	BODHWADA	BHANWARLAL S/O MUKHAR	OTHERS	0.304	0.304	86/1			86/1
233	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHANDANKHEDI	KHUM SINGH S/O SUKH LAL	ST	0.431	0.431	191/2	191/2		
234	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHANDANKHEDI	BASLI BAI W/O SUKH LAL	ST	0.652	0.652	144/1	144/1		
235	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHANDANKHEDI	RUKERIYA S/O HULIYA	ST	2.775	1.005	66/1, 46/2, 46/7	46/2,		66/1,46/7
236	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHANDANKHEDI	BABU SINGH S/O KHERA	ST	2.939	1.000	58/1, 60/1	60/1		58/1
237	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHANDANKHEDI	JAYA RAM S/O UJA	ST	2.023	1.147	148, 154/4	148, 154/4		
238	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHANDANKHEDI	DOGAN SINGH S/O MEKENE	ST	1.099	0.836	39/2, 46/1/2/1/A			39/2, 46/1/2/1/A
239	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHANDANKHEDI	ROOP SINGH S/O KILAN	ST	0.352	0.352	16/1/1/4, 86/1/1/1/3			16/1/1/4, 86/1/1/1/3
240	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHANDANKHEDI	KALU S/O KHENE	OTHERS	4.904	0.867	102, 103,	102, 103,		
241	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHIKHALDA	GAFFUR SON OF RASHID	OTHERS	2.208	2.208	148/3A & 148/3B&148/3C			148/3A & 148/3B& 148/3C
242	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHIKHALDA	MUMTAJ BAI WIFE OF JALLUDDIN	OTHERS	1.418	1.418.	62/2/1 &62/2/2			62/2/1 &62/2/2

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
243	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHIKHALDA	NARESH CHNADER SON OF DAYA RAM	OTHERS	4.472	2.455	41/2			41/2
244	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHIKHALDA	MANSOOR KHAN SONOF HABIB KHAN	OTHERS	3.093	3.093	171/2			171/2
245	DHAR	KUKSHI	CHIKHALDA	MANGI LAL SHARMA	OTHERS	0.010	0.010	218/2			218/2
246	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	RECHLEYA SON OF KAKRIA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
247	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	KHETALAYA SON OF KAKRIA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
248	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	FUDDAN SON OF KHETALAYA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
249	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	MANGIA SON OF FUDDAN	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
250	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	NARANG SON OF FUDDAN	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
251	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	MULLAMA SON OF FUDDAN	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
252	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	KHABDOOR SON OF BHAWARA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
253	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	CHCHAKAMA SON OF DHABRA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
254	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	KODANG SON OF KHABBDOOR	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
255	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	KHEMARA SON OF KODANG	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
256	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	RUMADD SON OF KODANG	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
257	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	JADIA SON OF KHABDOOR	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
258	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	BABHEYA SON OF KABDOOR	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
259	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	TEN SINGH SON OF CHCHAKAMA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
260	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	CHIDRA SINGH SON OF CHCHAKAMA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
261	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	KUNWAR SINGH SON CHCHAKAMA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
262	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	ROOPA DAUGHTER OF CHCHAKAMA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
263	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	BHAMBRA SON OF CHCHAKAMA	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
264	DHAR	KUKSHI	DASANA	FUDDAN SON OF KUNDSINGH	OTHERS	5.580	5.580	50, 56, 58	50		56,58
265	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	YASHVANT S/O TARA SINGH	ST	1.143		237/3/1			237/3/1
266	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	MANGI LAL S/O GULAB	SC	0.309	0.141	454/3	454/3		
267	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	GOVIND S/O GULAB	SC	0.309	0.141	454/3	454/3		
268	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	RAMESHWAR S/O GULAB	SC	0.309	0.141	454/3	454/3		
269	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	SURESH S/O JALAL	ST	2.431	2.236	461/4 485/4 460/3	461/4 485/4 460/3		
270	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	KISHORE S/O LAJYA	ST	4.736	2.302	81 225 251	225, 251		81
271	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	TIKKAM S/O SOMARIYA	SC	1.326		307/2/1 307/12/3			307/2/1 307/12/3

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
272	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	AMARIYA S/OTUKARIY	ST	1.309		302/2/3			302/2/3
273	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	BALA S/O SHANKAR	SC	4.426	0.713	1/2 203 204	203 204		1/2
274	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	SURAJBAI WIDOW RAJARAM	OTHERS	4.097	10926	243 245 265/2 281	243 245		265/2 281
275	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	SHOBA RAM S/O KALU	SC	0421 0.14	0.421 0.14	173 45/1/1	173		45/1/1
276	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	KULARIYA S/O SUMARIYA	ST	1.089	0.707	2/2, 3			2/2, 3
277	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	BISHAN S/O KULARIA	ST	1.089	0.707	2/2, 3			2/2, 3
278	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	RAM DAS S/O KULARIA	ST	1.089	0.707	2/2, 3			2/2, 3
279	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	RADU S/O SUMARIYA	ST	1.089	0.707	2/2, 3			2/2, 3
280	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	KALIA S/O SUMARIYA	ST	1.089	0.707	2/2, 3			2/2, 3
281	DHAR	KUKSHI	DEHAR	KAKARIA S/O VASTA	ST	11.075	0.219	416			416
282	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	BHUPENDER SINGH S/O BHAGAT SINGH	OTHERS	1.775	0.859	239/2, 236, 234, 407/3	239/2, 236, 234, 407/3		
283	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	MUNNA S/O MAMA SETH	ST	41.68	27.28	123/5, 129/2,	123/5, 129/2,		
284	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	YARAKHA S/O VAKAN	ST	8.932	1.672	88, 101, 103, 105, 108, 109	103,108		88,101,1 05, 109
285	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	SARDAR SINLGH S/O KISHAMSIR	ST	3.124	3.124	51	51		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
286	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	RAI SINGH S/O RATAN SINGH	ST	3.928	0.812	307/2, 6, 347/10, 1268, 1267/3			307/2, 6, 347/10, 1268, 1267/3
287	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	MUNJAM BAI W/O MURAJ SIR	ST	1.995	1.995	386/2	386/2		
288	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	RESHMA W/O MALERIYA	ST	6.353	6.353	1/6			1/6
289	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	DARAON W/O HAGULWA	ST	6.353	6.353	1/6			1/6
290	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	NAKSI BAI W/O YALLLBHADSA	OTHERS	2.626	1.417	113/3, 117, 169, 170, 180, 181, 213,	169,170,180,181,213		113/3,117
291	DHAR	KUKSHI	DHARAMRAI	RESHMA D/O NETER SINGH	SC	0.5649	0.5649	154/3, 156, 157, 138	154/3, 156, 157, 138		
292	DHAR	KUKSHI	GEHALGAON	AMBA RAM S/O HEERA	OTHERS	4.309	0.032	97/1/3	97/1/3		
293	DHAR	KUKSHI	KARONDIA	SETULBAI WO/O JAGAN	ST	1.223	0.448	66/1, 111	111		66/1
294	DHAR	KUKSHI	KARONDIA	GANGA BAI W/O SEKARIYA	ST	1.669	0.698	59/2, 47/60, 59/5, 62/5, 92/2, 95/5	59/2,59/5, 62/5		47/60, 92/2, 95/5
295	DHAR	KUKSHI	KARONDIA	SOM JI S/O TIKAM BUDI BAI SUNDER BAI WO/O TIKAM	OTHERS	6.699	0.382	72, 77/2	72, 77/2		
296	DHAR	KUKSHI	KARONDIA	MAL SINGH S/O KANNA	OTHERS	0.202	0.202	96/1/2	96/1/2		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
297	DHAR	KUKSHI	KASTA	FUGAN SON OF FUNSHA	OTHERS	3.711	2.788	150,152,153,155	152,153,155		150
298	DHAR	KUKSHI	KASTA	PIRAG SON OF FUNSHA	OTHERS	3.711	2.788	156,158,175,191	156,158		175,191
299	DHAR	KUKSHI	KASTA	FUDA SON OF FUNSHA	OTHERS	3.711	2.788	150,152,153,155,156,158,175,191	152,153,155,156,158,191		175,150
300	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	LAIPRABAI WIDOW NA RAIN	ST	0.875	0.875	96/1 98/1			96/1 98/1
301	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	KAMLIBAI W/O RATR SINGH	ST	6.906	0.040	28	28		
302	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	MAVJI S/O BHLIA	ST	0.915	0.038	21			21
303	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	PUNA S/O BHLIA	ST	0.915	0.038	21			21
304	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	BAIPARI BAI WIDOW BHLIA	ST	0.915	0.038	21			21
305	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	MADAN S/O GUL SINGH	ST	0.922	0.241	93/4	93/4		
306	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	SARBAN S/O LOTIA	ST	5.934	0.447	8			8
307	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	KISHAN S/O BHUKHA	ST	7.360	1.178	78	78		
308	DHAR	KUKSHI	KATNERA	BHIMJI S/O CHAKA	OTHERS	3.670	0.126	58	58		
309	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	GUMAN SIN SON OF GHANNA	ST	4.177	0.578	8/5,32,9/2,8,10/1,12	32,9/2,10/1		8/5, 8, 12
310	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	BHWAN SINGH SON OF GHANNA SINGH	ST	4.177	0.578	22/6,23/2,23/5,23/7			22/6,23/2,23/5,23/7
311	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	TERSINGH SON OF GHANNA	ST	4.177	0.578	8/5,32,9/2,8,10/1,12	32,9/2,10/1		8/5, 8, 12

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
312	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	GULAB SINGH SON OF GHANNA	ST	4.177	0.578	22/1,23/2,23/5,23/7	22/1		23/2,23/5,23/7
313	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	NARAN SINGH SON OF KARCHANYA	ST	2.955	1.121	127/2, 125,129,130/1	127/2		129,125, 130/1
314	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	JANGAN SON OF NARAN	ST	2.955	1.121	191,195			191, 195
315	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	KARAN SON OF NARAN	ST	2.955	1.121	127/2, 128,129,130/1	127/2, 128		129, 130/1
316	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	ROOPAN SON OF BANN	ST	2.955	1.121	127/2 128 129 130/1	127/2, 128		129, 130/1
317	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	SONAR SINGH SON OF ROOPAN	ST	2.955	1.121	191 195			191 195
318	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	SIKANDAR SON OF BABUNA BALMIKUI	ST	2.955	1.121	127/2 128 129 130/1 191 195	127/2, 128		129,130/1,191, 195
319	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	BAJARIA SON OF SIKANDAR	ST	2.955	1.121	127/2 128 129 130/1 191 195	127/2, 128		129,130/1,191, 195
320	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	KARBARI SON OF SIKANDAR	ST	2.955	1.121	127/2 128 129 130/1 191 195	127/2, 128		129,130/1,191, 195
321	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	THATH SINGH SON OF BABUNA BALMIKI	ST	2.955	1.121	127/2 128 129 130/1 191 195	128, 127/2		129,130/1,191, 195
322	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	MANNA SON OF BABUNA BALMIKI	ST	2.955	1.121	127/2 128 129 130/1 191 195	128, 127/2		129,130/1,191, 195

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
323	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	TEAR SINGH SON OF BHIM SINGH	ST	6.386	0.950	125/3 125/6 126 127/4 127/5	125/3,127/4		125/6,126, 127/5
324	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	MANNA SON OF BHIM SINGH	ST	6.386	0.950	133 135 136/9 188 201/1			133 135 136/9 188 201/1
325	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	GATIA SON OF BHIM SINGH	ST	6.386	0.950	201/2,			201/2,
326	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	JATIA SON OF BHIM SINGH	ST	6.386	0.950	125/3, 125/6, 126, 127/4, 127/5	125/3,127/4		125/6, 126
327	DHAR	KUKSHI	KAWDA	NAN SINGH SON OF BHIM SINGH	ST	6.386	0.950	133, 135, 136/9, 188, 201/1, 201/2			133, 135, 136/9, 188, 201/1, 201/2
328	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	DEVINDER S/O RAJA RAM	OTHERS	1.808	1.808	54	54		
329	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	DHARMENDER S/O RAJA RAM	OTHERS	1.808	1.808	54	54		
330	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	JAGDISH S/O GANPAT	OTHERS	1.833		20/1	20/1		
331	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	ANIL S/O GANPAT	OTHERS	1.833	6.311	78,83,82,	78,83,82,		
332	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	KAMALS/O PARAS RAM	OTHERS	7.427	4.882	201/3,230	230		201/3

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
333	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	BASANTI LAL S/O HRIDEY RAM	OTHERS	7.159	4.237	126/3,126/4,35/1	126/3,126/4,35/1		
334	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	YAHYA BHAI S/O KADAR ALI	OTHERS	1.893	1.893	66/51	66/51		
335	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	NARAYAN SINGH S/O TANTIYA	ST	3.765	2.311	74/12,76/6,161/3,196/1	76/6,161/3,196/1		74/12
336	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	MUKESH S/O MANGE LAL	ST	3.765	2.311	74/12,76/6,161/3,196/1	76/6,161/3,196/1		74/12
337	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	BUDA S/O PYAR SINGH	ST	1.285	0.916	76/4 161/7 191/3 196/4 197/1	76/4 161/7 191/3, 197/1		196/4
338	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	DOGRA S/O PYARA SINGH	ST	1.285	0.916	200/4 76/4 161/7 191/3 196/4 197/1	76/4 161/7 191/3		197/4,200/4, 196/4
339	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	SRI RAM S/O PYARA SINGH	ST	1.285	0.916	76/4 161/7 191/3 200/4 196/4 197/4	76/4,161/7, 191/3		197/4,200/4, 196/4
340	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	KALU S/O ONKAR	SC	3.742	2.405	28 179 185 188 195	28,179,188, 195		185
341	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	TUKA RAM S/O KALU	SC	3.742	2.405	177 123 124	177 123 124		
342	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	RAJA RAM S/O KALU	SC	3.742	2.405	177 123 124	177 123 124		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
343	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	RAJESH S/O KALU	SC	3.742	2.405	177 123 124	177 123 124		
344	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	SUDESH S/O SHANKAR	SC	3.742	2.405	177 123 124	177 123 124		
345	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	BALA S/O SHARWAN	SC	3.742	2.405	177 123 124	177 123 124		
346	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	JAGDISH BALA	SC	3.742	2.405	28,179,185, 188,195,	28,179,185, 188,195,		
347	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	MOTI S/O BALA MOHAN	SC	3.742	2.405	177,123,	177,123,		
348	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	MOHAN S/O BALA	SC	3.742	2.405	124	124		
349	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	SANTOSH S/O BALA	SC	3.742	2.405	124	124		
350	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	REWA BAI WIDOW BABU LAL	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169 , 210,	127,128,169 , 210,		
351	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	RAJENDAR S/O BABU LAL	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169 , 210,	127,128,169 , 210,		
352	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	RAKESH S/O BABU LAL	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169 , 210,	127,128,169 , 210,		
353	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	RAM BHAROSHE S/O BABU LAL	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169 , 210,	127,128,169 , 210,		
354	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	KAMAL S/O BABU LAL	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169 , 210,	127,128,169 , 210,		
355	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	KAILASH S/O TETIYA	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169 , 210,	127,128,169 , 210,		
356	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	MANGA S/O ONKAR	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169 , 210,	127,128,169 , 210,		
357	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	KUNVAR JI S/O MANGA	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169 , 210,	127,128,169 , 210,		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
358	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	AMAR SINGH S/O MANGA	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169,210,	127,128,169,210,		
359	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	GOVIND S/O MANGA	SC	0.873	0.873	127,128,169,210,	127,128,169,210,		
360	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	KAMAL S/O GUDDAN	SC	0.873	2.365	117,134,142,324,227,142/3	117,134,142,324,142/3		227
361	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	CHUNNI LAL S/O MEHTAB	OTHERS	2.365	1.334	117/134/142/1,224,142/3,223	117/134/142/1,224,142/3,223		
362	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	SOHAN S/O SITA RAM	OTHERS	2.549	2.500	204/1,1,	204/1,1,		
363	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	SURESH S/O SITA RAM	OTHERS	2.547	2.245	204/2,	204/2,		
364	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	RAMANAND S/O NARMA SANKAR	OTHERS	6.320	1.638	173/3,178	178		173/3
365	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	NEMI CHAND S/O MANGE LAL	OTHERS	2.850	0.076	120	120		
366	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	GENDU BAI WIDOW LAXMAN	OTHERS	4.042	0.614	60,65,115	60,65,115		
367	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	KAILASH S/O KISHAN	OTHERS	1.770	1.770	52	52		
368	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHAPARKHEDA	KAMAL S/O KISHAN	OTHERS	1.770	1.770	52	52		
369	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHARAJANA	MADAN SON OF BHURA LAL	OTHERS	4.613	0.20	55/2,	55/2		
370	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHARAJANA	RAMESH SON OF RADHEY SHAM	OTHERS	10.159	0.20	46/2	46/2		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
371	DHAR	KUKSHI	KHARAJANA	DEV RAM SON OF MISHRI NARAIN	OTHERS	4.047	0.106	57,58,59			57,58,59
372	DHAR	KUKSHI	KIKARWAS	GURJAR SINGH	ST	2.988	1.705	407/1	407/1		
373	DHAR	KUKSHI	KIKARWAS	GALMA S/O KITANYA	ST	2.988	1.705	407/1	407/1		
374	DHAR	KUKSHI	KIKARWAS	MAHINDER S/O LALAJI	ST	1.592	1.503	407/2 498/2	407/2 498/2		
375	DHAR	KUKSHI	KIKARWAS	DAYA RAM S/O BALAJI	ST	1.592	1.503	407/2 498/2	407/2 498/2		
376	DHAR	KUKSHI	KIKARWAS	SAMDAN S/O RATAN	ST	5.416	2.205	483 621 623 486/721 494/723 494/ 724	483 621 623 486/721 494/723 494/ 724		
377	DHAR	KUKSHI	KIKARWAS	BUVAAN SINGH S/O RATAN	ST	5.416	2.205	483 621 623 486/721 494/723 494/724	483 621 623 486/721 494/723 494/ 724		
378	DHAR	KUKSHI	KIKARWAS	TITLIBAI S/O DEV SINGH	ST	1.592	1.592	407/2 489/2	407/2 489/2		
379	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOLGAON	SITA BAI WIFE OF YATI RAM	OTHERS	0.674	0.200	171/4	171/4		
380	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOLGAON	DILIP SON OF PAPPRU	OTHERS	2.833	2.833	9/1	9/1		
381	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOLGAON	MUKESH SON OF PAPPORU	OTHERS	3.120	3.120	9/2	9/2		
382	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOLGAON	PIKESOO SON OF BISSAN	OTHERS	2.926	2.926	142/2, 146	142/2, 146		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
383	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOLGAON	RAMESH SON OF GOPAL	OTHERS	0.716	0.716	156, 157	156, 157		
384	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOLGAON	MAHENDER SON OF GOPAL	OTHERS	0.716	0.716	157/1	157/1		
385	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOLGAON	GHANSHAM SON OF GOPAL	OTHERS	0.716	0.716	157/2	157/2		
386	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOLGAON	VIJAY SON OF BADRI LAL	OTHERS	3.420	0.480	159/1/1	159/1/1		
387	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOTHDA	LAKSMIKANTA S/O ANKARA	OTHERS	4.856	0.595	24/1, 56,85	24/1, 56,85		
388	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOTHDA	BHARAT S/O MUKAND	OTHERS	0.853	0.105	18, 35/1	18, 35/1		
389	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOTHDA	DAGU BAI D/O MURAR	OTHERS	0.351	0.351	2/1, 7/2/2, 8/2,			2/1, 7/2/2, 8/2,
390	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOTHDA	TRILOK CAND S/O BHARU	OTHERS	3.268	1.029	37, 40/1, 178/6, 179	37, 40/1, 178/6, 179		
391	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOTHDA	MHANDAR S/O MANGI LAL	OTHERS	0.476	0.071	25/1, 41/2, 42/2, 43/1, 44/1	25/1, 41/2, 42/2, 43/1, 44/1		
392	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOTHDA	KAMAL S/O MANGI LAL	OTHERS	0.476	0.071	25/1, 41/2, 42/2, 43/1, 44/1	25/1, 41/2, 42/2, 43/1, 44/1		
393	DHAR	KUKSHI	KOTHDA	BUDHI CHAND S/O KASHI RAM	OTHERS	1.518	1.518	143/1B,	143/1B,		
394	DHAR	KUKSHI	MALWADI	GONDA BAI WO/O KEKERIYA	ST	1.000	1.000	26			26
395	DHAR	KUKSHI	MALWADI	DERYAB S/O CHANDERIYA	ST	0.919	0.919	26			26

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
396	DHAR	KUKSHI	MOLKHAD	BHIMA NABIYA	OTHERS	3.201	2.440	56/1	56/1		
397	DHAR	KUKSHI	NAVADPURA	MOHAN MISHRILAL DHOLI	OTHERS	1.189	0.599	72	72		
398	DHAR	KUKSHI	NAVADPURA	CHHAGAN MANGTYA	OTHERS	1.120	1.120	69	69		
399	DHAR	KUKSHI	NAVADPURA	HIRALIBAI WIFE OF RENSINGH	ST	8.027	4.407	34/1			34/1
400	DHAR	KUKSHI	NAVADPURA	GANI;SH RANCHOD	OTHERS	1.119	0.616	64/1	64/1		
401	DHAR	KUKSHI	NAVADPURA	NIKHLESH SHRIRAM	OTHERS	0.860	0.430	64/2			64/2
402	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	PRAVEEN S/O DHANNA LAL	OTHERS	1.563	1.563	142/3	142/3		
403	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	BABITA D/O BALWANT SINGH	ST	2.000	2.000	9/1,9/3,11/1,56,57/1,92,93/1	9/1,9/3,11/1		56,92,57/1,93/1
404	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	SAJJAN SINGH S/O BALWANT SINGH	ST	2.000	2.000	9/1.9/3,11/1,56,57/1,92,93/1	9/1,9/3,11/1		56,92,57/1,93/1
405	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	GHOOR JI S/O SHIVA	OTHERS	1.789	0.101	150	150		
406	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	RAJESH S/O GHOOR JI	OTHERS	1.789	0.101	150	150		
407	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	KOMAL D/O BENI RAM	OTHERS	3.137	0.105	394	394		
408	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	KARAN S/O BALWANT	SC	2.000	2.000	9/1,.9/3,11/1,56,57/1,92,93/1	9/1,9/3,11/1		56,57/1,92,93/1
409	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	SAJJAN SINGHS/O SARDAR SINGH	ST	1.678	1.678	11/5,12/5,92/1	12/5		11/5,92/1

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
410	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	KAILASH CHAND S/O RAMAN LAL JAYANTI	OTHERS	8.575	0.321	N A	N A		
411	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	GHANSHYAM S/O RAMA	OTHERS	2.307	0.461	N A	N A		
412	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	GHANSHYAM S/O CHAPA	OTHERS	3.330	0.680	N A	N A		
413	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	SURAJ GIRI S/O KAILASH GIRI	OTHERS	2.000	1.818	N A	N A		
414	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	BEDIYA S/O SUKH LAL	OTHERS	0.146	0.146	N A	N A		
415	DHAR	KUKSHI	NISARPUR	BHARAT GIRI GOSHWAMI	OTHERS	3.512	1.483	384/1			384/1
416	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	SUNIL S/O OHCHAND	SC	3.771	3.333	8/1 6, 23	8/1 6, 23		
417	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	ONKAR S/O BADYA	OTHERS	0.217	0.126	11/1-11/5	11/1-11/5		
418	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	RAMESH S/O KALU	ST	3.371	3.3333	8/1 6,23, 24	8/1 6, 23, 24		
419	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	MUKESH S/O MOHAN	OTHERS	0.217	0.126	11/1 11/5	11/1 11/5		
420	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	AAP SINGH S/O KAKARIA	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2			
421	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	RAMESH S/O AAP SINGH	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
422	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	MOHAN S/O AAP SINGH	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
423	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	PAHAR SINGH S/O KAKRIA	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
424	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	BHAVARIA S/O KAKARIA	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
425	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	K.HEMA S/O KAKARIA	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
426	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	VEER SINGH S/O KAKARIA	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
427	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	NEHAR SINGH S/O KAKARIA	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
428	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	SUR SINGH S/O KAKARIA	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
429	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	MOHAN S/O BHARO SINGH	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
430	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	SOHAN S/O BHARO SINGH	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
431	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	HEERA BAI WIDOW BHARO SINGH	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
432	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	BHAGRI BAI WIDOW KAKARIA	ST	2.229	1.237	5/1/2 29/2/2	5/1/2 29/2/2		
433	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	KESAR SINGH S/O DOGRIA	ST	1.130	0.220	29/2/1	29/2/1		
434	DHAR	KUKSHI	RASWA	PANNA LAL S/O SOKALYA	OTHERS	0.217	0.126	4/2/3	4/2/2003		
435	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	RAMA S/O NARSINGH	ST	1.917	0.203	20/3			20/3
436	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	VIJAY S/O DINU	OTHERS	1.330	1.106	6/2 7	6/2 7		
437	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	SHRI RAM S/O RAMSINGH	ST	0.320	0.282	5/2	5/2		
438	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	GOPAL S/O NARSINGH	ST	0.473	0.139	20/3/2			20/3/2

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
439	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	SHANTI S/O BABLYA	ST	2.803	1.505	48 , 25 26/1			48 , 25 26/1
440	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	RAMA BAI WIDOW SHAMIR	ST	0.900	0.325	27/3/5 46/3/5	27/3/5 46/3/5		
441	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	SANTOSH S/O MUKAT	ST	1.839	0.460	27/3/3 46/3/3	27/3/3 46/3/3		
442	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	JAWAR SINGH S/O GANASHIA	ST	0.945	0.495	13	13		
443	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	SAT NARAIN S/O HARI	OTHERS	1.259	0.546	3/3	3/3		
444	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	GIRDHARI S/O NARAIN	SC	1.974	1.074	9	9		
445	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	HEM RAJ S/O NARAIN	SC	1.974	1.074	9	9		
446	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	BHAGIRATH S/O NARAIN	SC	1.974	1.074	9	9		
447	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	DWARKA BAI WIDOW NARAIN	SC	1.974	1.074	9	9		
448	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	SURAJBAI WIDOW SOHAN LAL	SC	1.974	1.074	9	9		
449	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	RAMESH S/O SHANKAR	SC	1.974	1.074	9	9		
450	DHAR	KUKSHI	REKTI	BHAGABAI WIDOW MOHAN	SC	1.974	1.074	9	9		
451	DHAR	KUKSHI	SISGAON	CHHAGAN S/O NANA - EXPIRED	OTHERS	0.051	0.051	49	49 Peki		
452	DHAR	MANAWAR	ACHHODA	BABU SINGH S/O RUDRANATH SINGH	OTHERS	6.136	0.303	122/8,123/2	122/8, 123/2		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
453	DHAR	MANAWAR	ACHHODA	GANPAT S/O KALU	OTHERS	0.418	0.418	166/2,173, 171/2	166/2, 173 171/2		
454	DHAR	MANAWAR	ACHHODA	MANOHAR SINGH S/O CHAIN SINGH	OTHERS	0.936	0.936	140/1			140/1
455	DHAR	MANAWAR	BARDA	NANURAM S/O JANGALIYA	SC	0.042	0.036	356,366/1	356, 366/1		
456	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Pagar singh S/o Rema singh	ST	0.545	0.545	319/3/3	319/6, 319/3		319/3/3
457	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Sagar singh S/o Prem singh	ST	0.589	0.589	319/1/3	319/1/3		
458	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Prveen S/o Kalu	SC	0.418	0.418	516, 12			516, 12
459	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Pura S/o Katsa	SC	0.418	0.418	516, 12			516, 12
460	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Bharat S/o Ganesh	SC	0.418	0.418	516, 12			516, 12
461	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Gutam S/o Ganesh	SC	0.418	0.418	516, 12			516, 12
462	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Bebo bai W/o Katse	SC	0.418	0.418	516, 12			516, 12
463	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Vikram S/o Champa lal	SC	0.418	0.418	516, 12			516, 12
464	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Sunil S/o Champa lal	SC	0.418	0.418	516, 12			516, 12
465	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Komal D/o Champa lal	SC	0.418	0.418	516, 12			516, 12
466	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Shobh ram S/o Ratan	OTHERS	0.711		340	340		
467	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Sita ram S/o Ratan	OTHERS	0.711		340	340		
468	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Lela bai W/o Myaram	OTHERS	0.711		340	340		
469	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Dharmende S/o Myaram	OTHERS	0.711		340	340		
470	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Komal D/o Myaram	OTHERS	0.711		340	340		
471	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Raja ram S/o Anar singh	ST	1.000	1.000	319/1/5	319/1/5		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
472	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Rakesh S/o Babulal	OTHERS	0.920	0.376	339, 342			339, 342
473	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Ramesh S/o Babulal	OTHERS	0.920	0.376	339, 342			339, 342
474	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Ashok S/o Nathu	OTHERS	0.920	0.376	339, 342			339,342
475	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Hukam S/o Nathu	OTHERS	0.920	0.376	339, 342			339,342
476	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Ganesh S/o Kashi ram	OTHERS	0.920	0.376	339, 342			339,342
477	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Nelesh S/o Kashi ram	OTHERS	0.920	0.376	339, 342			339, 342
478	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Nanu ram S/o Daya ram	OTHERS	0.711	0.360	340	340		
479	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Shivram S/o Phard Singh	OTHERS	2.000	2.000	409/1/2	409/1/2		
480	DHAR	MANAWAR	EKALWARA	Surya s/o Tarya	OTHERS	1.029	1.029	319/16,411/ 2,417/2,416	319/16, 411/2, 416		417/2
481	DHAR	MANAWAR	GANGLI	SAYANI SON OF OUSAR	ST	0.400	0.400	439/7/4/B	439/7		439/7/48
482	DHAR	MANAWAR	GANGLI	KAMALABAI DAUGHTER OF BAPU	OTHERS	0.094	0.094	376/2	376/2		
483	DHAR	MANAWAR	GANGLI	KAMALCHAND SON OF CHAULAL	OTHERS	0.635	0.125	201			201
484	DHAR	MANAWAR	GANGLI	MANOJ SON OF DEVA	OTHERS	0.431	0.392	177/8 , 213/2, 306/2 , 390/391	177/18, 390, 391		177/8, 213/2
485	DHAR	MANAWAR	GANGLI	KUSUMBAI WIFE OF UDAIRAM	OTHERS	0.356	0.356	411 ,412, 373	411, 412, 373		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
486	DHAR	MANAWAR	GANGLI	RAM KRISHNA DAS SON OF BALARAMDAS	OTHERS	0.209	0.209	439/1/A	439/1/1		439/1/A
487	DHAR	MANAWAR	GOPALPURA	Kasturi D/o Bhima	ST	0.266	0.165	34/4, 93/6			34/4, 93/6
488	DHAR	MANAWAR	GOPALPURA	Sona Bai W/o Ranjit	ST	0.878	0.496	24/5,64/2			24/5, 64/2
489	DHAR	MANAWAR	GOPALPURA	Kamla Bai W/o Devji	OTHERS	1.440	0.610	9/2/1, 11/1/9, 43/3/1			9/2/1, 11/1/7 43/3/1
490	DHAR	MANAWAR	KALYANPURA	UMRAO S/O BALLU	SC	0.251	0.010	202/2	202/2		
491	DHAR	MANAWAR	KALYANPURA	DONGAR,MUNSHI LAL ,GANESH,M.LAL S/O BHIM SINGH	SC	2.315	0.300	192/5			192/5
492	DHAR	MANAWAR	KALYANPURA	MEHTAB S/O BALLU	SC	6.307	0.200	196			196
493	DHAR	MANAWAR	KALYANPURA	SHUBAN S/O BALLU	SC	8.048	0.200	197,198			197, 198
494	DHAR	MANAWAR	KALYANPURA	MOHAN SINGH,PHOOL SINGH,GENDA LAL S/O KOLA	SC	4.232	0.250	171			171
495	DHAR	MANAWAR	KOTHRA	JATIBAI W/O GOPAL	OTHERS	1.138	1.113	183/2/2	183/2/2		
496	DHAR	MANAWAR	KOTHRA	MANUBAI W/O HARIRAM	OTHERS	0.405	0.102	183/2/1/2	183/2		183/2/ 1/2
497	DHAR	MANAWAR	KOTHRA	DHANKUNWAR W/O UMRAO	OTHERS	2.169	1.265	209/1/1,209/ 2/2	207/1,209/3		209/1/1, 209/2/2
498	DHAR	MANAWAR	KOTHRA	RAISINGH S/O JAMSINGH	ST	3.024	0.610	187,188	187, 188		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
499	DHAR	MANAWAR	KOTHRA	ACHU S/O KHETRA	ST	0.447	0.355	229,222,224,226,231,215,216,217,218,219	220,222,224,226,231,215,216,217,218,219		229
500	DHAR	MANAWAR	KOTHRA	VAGMAK S/O CHETRA	ST	0.447	0.356	220,222,224,226,231,215,216,217,218,219	220,222,224,226,231,215,216,217,218,219		
501	DHAR	MANAWAR	MALANGAON	NATTHU SON OF PARSI	ST	0.690	0.073	152			152
502	DHAR	MANAWAR	MALANGAON	ANAR SINGH	OTHERS	2.205	0.093	97/4,98/2/17,172	97/4, 98/2		171,172
503	DHAR	MANAWAR	MALANGAON	RUKDYA SON OF HAGYA	ST	1.103	0.041	98/2 172	98/2		172
504	DHAR	MANAWAR	MALANGAON	DADU SINGH SON OF SHRI SUBHAN	OTHERS	1.076	0.043	177/1	177/1		
505	DHAR	MANAWAR	MALANGAON	TRILOK SINGH SON OF SHRI BABU SINGH	OTHERS	1.008	0.067	36/4/1	36		36/4/1
506	DHAR	MANAWAR	MALANGAON	BHARAT SINGH SON OF SHRI NATTHU	OTHERS	2.008		44	44		
507	DHAR	MANAWAR	PATWAR	SANYAJ S/O DHANNA LAL	OTHERS	0.950	0.556	NA			
508	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	PREMBAI WO/O BAPU SINGH	OTHERS	0.031	0.031	188	188		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
509	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	JUMA KHAN S/O ABDUL RAZAK	OTHERS	0.163	0.163	179, 184/2	179, 184/2		
510	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	JUGAL HUSAIN S/O ABKUL RAZAK	OTHERS	4.832	0.832	159/1, 184/1	159/1,184/1		
511	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	MOOHBAT SINGH S/O VALA	OTHERS	0.338	0.338	210/2, 211/2, 212/4, 211/3	210/2,211/2, 213/4,211/3		
512	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	RADIKA BAI S/O MOTI LAL	OTHERS	0.272	0.272	183/202	183,202		
513	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	DHNAAL LAL S/O LAKSHMAN	SC	5.017	0.659	181, 152/1, 192/2, 192/3 ,192/4	181,192/1, 192/2,192/3, 192/4		152/1
514	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	NANO RAM S/O MOLA	SC	5.942	5.894	142/2,142/3, 142/5,142/6, 143/2,145/1, 147,148/2,1 49/3	142/2,142/3, 142/5,142/6, 143/2,145/1, 147,148/2, 149/3		
515	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	PUNIYA S/O RAM SINGH	OTHERS	0.250	0.250	205/1,1/1/B, 163,164	205/1/1/ 1/1/B,163, 164		
516	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	KALU S/O KISHAN VAGERA	SC	0.635	0.147	211/4,212/2, 213/2	211/4,212/2, 213/2		
517	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	BHARAT SINGH S./O NAVAL SINGH	OTHERS	2.710	0.732	215/2	215/2		

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
518	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	KAMAL SINGH S/O RUKHERIYA	OTHERS	1.084	0.044	214/3	214		214/3
519	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	BHIM SINGH S/O SAKADU SINGH	OTHERS	1.085	0.045	204/2	204/2		
520	DHAR	MANAWAR	PERKHAD	KAMLA BAI W/O BHART SINGH	OTHERS	5.0707	0.710	60/1, 60/2	203/2		60/1,60/2
521	DHAR	MANAWAR	RAJPURA	SUNDER BAI W/O MATTU	OTHERS	3.162	0.200	11/1/2			11/1/2
522	DHAR	MANAWAR	RAJPURA	GIRDHARI ,MOHAR SINGH.CHOTU.S/O CHUNNI LAL	OTHERS	4.072	0.063	11/1/1			11/1/1
523	DHAR	MANAWAR	RAJPURA	RAJU S/O LAL CHAND	OTHERS	3.151	0.823	11/2			11/2
524	DHAR	MANAWAR	RAJPURA	RAMLAL S/O LAXMAN	OTHERS	0.696	0.696	41/2			41/2
525	DHAR	MANAWAR	RATWA	GAVRAN S/O FATALAYA	OTHERS	3.863	1.218	58/2 96/6	58/2,96/6		
526	DHAR	MANAWAR	RATWA	RAJARAM S/O FATAYA	OTHERS	1.051	0.336	58/2 96/2	58/2,96/6		
527	DHAR	MANAWAR	RATWA	GANESH S/O BATTU	OTHERS	1.051	0.336	58/2 96/2	58/2,96/6		
528	DHAR	MANAWAR	RATWA	SHANTILAL S/O BATTU	OTHERS	1.051	0.336	58/2 96/2	58/2,96/6		
529	DHAR	MANAWAR	RATWA	BHURESINGH S/O GAPPU SINGH	OTHERS	2.300	2.258	32/9 32/4 32/2 32/3 12/10	32/9,32/4, 32/10,32/12, 32/13		32/2,32/3, 12/10
530	DHAR	MANAWAR	RATWA	HARI S/O SUKHLAL	OTHERS	0.166	0.149	32/114 32/115 33 34	32/14,32/15, 33,34		32/114,32/115

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
531	DHAR	MANAWAR	RATWA	RAJAYAN S/O SUKHLAL	OTHERS	0.166	0.149	30/14 32/15 33 34	32/14,32/15, 33,34		30/14
532	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Dashrath S/o Galiya	ST	8.716	0.953	169/3 , 183/196 C	169/3,183/ 196		
533	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Sukh Lal S/o Nanuram	ST	9.954	2.387	131, 155/1, 169/4, 133, 153,183/196 D	131,155/1, 169/4,133, 153,183/196 D		
534	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Suban S/o Gal Singh	ST	5.221	0.492	169/112, 183/196 A 2	169/1/2, 183/196 A-2		
535	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Kashi Ram S/o Puna	ST	5.944	0.232	151	151		
536	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Umrao S/o Bhura	ST	3.251	0.308	162	162		
537	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Galiya S/o Chhagun	ST	6.639	0.450	160/1, 167/1	160/1		
538	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Kalu S/o Umrao	ST	5.261	0.518	169/1/1, 183/196/ 61	169/1/1, 183/196 D		
539	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Jaam Singh S/o Sheru	ST	0.670	0.670	171	171		
540	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Rumal S/o Ghungirya	ST	6.902	0.374	160/2, 167/2	160/2,167/2		
541	DHAR	MANAWAR	SARASGAON	Roop singh S/o Cakeriya	ST	4.097	0.181	124	124		
542	DHAR	MANAWAR	SHARIKPURA	KARSAN S/O GAPPU	SC	0.804	0.575	94 136/5	94,136/5		
543	DHAR	MANAWAR	SHARIKPURA	BALLU S/O GAPPU	SC	0.804	0.575	94 136/5	94,136/5		
544	DHAR	MANAWAR	URDANA	SITA RAM S/O NANYA	SC	1.766	1.701	196/203/2, 205, 208/3	196,203/2, 205,208/3		
545	DHAR	MANAWAR	URDANA	SUNIL S/O MURAR	SC	2.209	1.819	16/3, 20.5	16/3,20/1/2		20/5

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
546	DHAR	MANAWAR	URDANA	KAMLA BAI S/O NATHYA	SC	2.144	0.680	188/5, 196,	196		188/5
547	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	BADA AMBA	VOSALSINGH SON OF VELJI	ST	0.631	0.209	7,10,16	16		7,10
548	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	BADA AMBA	VADI WIFE OF LALSINGH DAUGHTER OF VELJI	ST	0.631	0.210	7,10,16	16		7,10
549	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	BADA AMBA	SANIYA SON OF HAJARIYA	ST	0.940	0.210	4,29/2,31	29/2,31		4
550	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	BADA AMBA	AATIYA SON OF MOTI	ST	1.214	1.214	24/2	24/2		
551	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	BEHADWA	DEVLA SON OF SURTAN	ST	1.400	1.400	147			147
552	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	CHAMELI	SHANKAR SON OF TATRIYA	ST	3.46	3.19	30/1	30		30/1
553	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	CHIKALDA	BHAVSINGH SON OF MANA	ST	0.324	0.324	31/3			31/3
554	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	DUBKHADDA	VANKARIYA SON OF KUGGI	ST	3.110	1.301	10,12,14/2	10,12,14/2		
555	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	DUBKHADDA	JATARIYA SON OF KUGGI	ST	3.110	1.301	10,12,14/2	10,12,14/2		
556	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	DUBKHADDA	VAKALYA SON OF CHIMALYA	ST	1.744	1.744	27	27		
557	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	DUBKHADDA	RANJA SON OF CHIMALYA	ST	1.744	1.744	27	27		
558	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	JALSINDHI	NAVSINGH SON OF BORA	ST	1.070	1.070	61/1	61/1		
559	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KAKARSELA	DARJI SON OF SIMJI	ST	3.443	3.000	13,14,16	13,16		14

S. No.	Distname	Tehsil Name	Village Name	Name	Caste	Landhold	Affected	Khasra	Whether land Khasra No. is affected by submergence		
									Kh No. Acquired as per Award	Kh No. Affected but NOT Acquired	Not affected Kh. No.
560	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KAKRANA	NANSINGH SON OF PATTU	ST	4.992	2.890	49	49		
561	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KAKRANA	SHARDYA SON OF NANSINGH	ST	0.299	0.299	71/2	71/2		
562	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KAKRANA	BHAILA SON OF NANSINGH.	ST	0.226	0.226	74/1/2	74/1,74/2		
563	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KAKRANA	NAKLA SON OF NANSINGH	ST	0.271	0.271	73/2	73/2		
564	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KAKRANA	JOGI SON OF DHANIA	ST	2.165	0.320	275/1	275/1		
565	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KAKRANA	DAMODAR SON OF MISHRILAL	OTHERS	0.020	0.020	275/3	275/3		
566	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KUKDIA	BHURSINGH SON OF TIKHYA	ST	3.49	3.11	186,192,193,217,175,176,180,182,184	186,192,193,217,175,176,180,184		
567	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KUKDIA	LATCHHU SON OF DARLIYA	ST	4.20	1.95	555,556,545,551,554	555,556,545,551,554		
568	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	KUKDIA	SURLA SON OF KESHARIYA	ST	3.67	2.66	707,703,	703		707
569	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	MAHALGAON	LALSING SON OF KALU	ST	0.870	0.870	26/2, 33/1			26/2, 33/1
570	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	MAHALGAON	RAJLA SON OF KALU	ST	0.870	0.870	26/2,33/1			26/2, 33/1
571	JHABUA	ALIRAJPUR	MAHALGAON	MASARI WIFE OF KALU	ST	0.870	0.870	26/2,33/1			26/2, 33/1

Status of R&R Sites/Plots Planned, Developed and Allotted (86 R&R Sites)

TEAM I

GOOD CATEGORY							
District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots			
				Planned	Developed	Allotted	
Dhar	1	Sala	Dharampuri	515	425	163	
	2	Nombola	Dharampuri	375	352	116	
	3	Khalkhurd	Dharampuri	1219	292	267	
	10	Khujawa	Dharampuri	520	301	279	(8)
	11	Dharampuri	Dharampuri	3956	3462	1546	
				6585	4832	2371	(8)
AVERAGE CATEGORY							
	4	Khal Bujurg	Dharampuri	805	300	131	
	5	Morghadi	Dharampuri	565	200	88	
	13	Kesur	Dhar	182	182	-	
				1552	682	219	
POOR CATEGORY							
	6	Balwada	Dharampuri	111	109	34	
	7	Eklera Buzurg	Dharampuri	105	45	8	
	8	Guleti	Dharampuri	24	24	-	
	9	Pipalda Garhi	Dharampuri	113	43	-	
	12	Musapura	Dhar	120	100	-	
				473	321	42	
		Total		8610	5835	2632	

TEAM II

GOOD CATEGORY								
District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots				
				Planned	Developed	Allotted		
Dhar	1	Ganpur Sirsi	Manawar	310	125	52	(31)	
	2	Gangli	Manawar	121	104	98	(18)	
	4	Kavathi	Manawar	241	239	186	(96)	
	6	Semalda	Manawar	593	323	102		
	8	Urdana	Manawar	302	205	74	(1)	
	9	Sharikpura	Manawar	102	99	68	(35)	
	11	Ratwa	Manawar	106	77	52		
	13	Barda	Manawar	475	344	73		
				2250	1516	705	(181)	
	AVERAGE CATEGORY							
		3	Ekalwara	Manawar	223	151	97	(1)
		5	Achhoda	Manawar	145	137	51	
		7	Perkhad	Manawar	312	237	197	(12)
	12	Dagadpura	Manawar	28	13	-		
				708	538	345	(13)	
POOR CATEGORY								
	10	Mirzapur	Manawar	203	204	71	(16)	
	14	Tawlaikhurd	Manawar	177	150	127	(1)	
				380	354	198	(17)	
		Total		3338	2408	1248	(211)	

TEAM III

GOOD CATEGORY

District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots			
				Planned	Developed	Allotted	
Dhar	1	Nisarapur-I	Kukshi	676	580	580	(215)
	4	Chandankhedi	Kukshi	112	112	104	(76)
	11	Ganpur	Kukshi	898	283	283	(14)
	12	Bhanwaria	Kukshi	367	193	163	(4)
	13	Bhilsur	Kukshi	52	23	-	-
Jabua	15	Khajuri	Thandla	52	52	47	-
	16	Umda	Jobat	100	61	59	-
				2257	1304	1236	(309)
AVERAGE CATEGORY							
Dhar	2	Nisarapur-II	Kukshi	2641	890	890	(55)
	5	Dehar	Kukshi	93	80	80	-
	6	Kikarwas	Kukshi	181	146	145	-
	10	Chikhalda	Kukshi	941	830	783	(1)
	14	Rekti	Kukshi	66	63	41	-
				3922	2009	1939	(56)
POOR CATEGORY							
	3	Nisarapur-III	Kukshi	-	1739	1000	-
	7	Dharamrai	Kukshi	430	250	247	-
	8	Kadmal	Kukshi	197	173	173	-
	9	Gehalgaon	Kukshi	395	392	377	(12)
				1022	2554	1797	(12)
Total				7201	5867	4972	

TEAM IV

GOOD CATEGORY

District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots				
				Planned	Developed	Allotted		
Barwani	1	Kasba Barwani-I	Barwani	332	235	138	(145)	
	2	Kasba Barwani-II	Barwani	261	261	163	(204)	
	3	Kasba Barwani-III	Barwani	984	804	447	(221)	
	4	Eklara	Barwani	142	142	136	(126)	
	5	Kalyanpura	Barwani	67	67	59	(4)	
	6	Jamda	Barwani	134	134	103	-	
	9	Amlali	Barwani	54	37	37	-	
	10	Bamta Awalda	Barwani	59	59	59	-	
					2033	1739	1142	(700)
	AVERAGE CATEGORY							
	7	Sirsani	Barwani	62	62	62	-	
	8	Bijasan	Barwani	233	60	60	(34)	
	11	Awalda	Barwani	447	59	59	-	
	12	Bhavti-I	Barwani	192	187	187	(16)	
				934	368	368	(50)	
POOR CATEGORY								
	13	Bhavti-II	Barwani	29	29	29	-	
	14	Sondul	Barwani	290	302	290	-	
	15	Morkatta	Barwani	112	68	68	-	
				431	399	387	-	
Total				3398	2506	1897		

TEAM V

GOOD CATEGORY

District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots				
				Planned	Developed	Allotted		
Barwani	2	Borlai-III	Barwani	620	518	361	(90)	
				620	518	361	(90)	

AVERAGE/BELOW AVERAGE CATEGORY

	1	Borlai-II	Barwani	516	364	333	(105)	10*
	3	Khedi	Barwani	730	730	573	(140)	51*
	4	Borlai-I	Barwani	174	156	144	(60)	
	6	Gulata	Thikri	48	48	35	(64)	
	8	Chakeri	Thikri	143	120	106	(72)	
	9	Mohipura	Thikri	312	240	215	(100)	17*
	10	Panya	Thikri	220	215	142	-	10*
	11	Mandwada	Thikri	402	291	189	(3)	5*
	12	Pichhola Kirmohi	Thikri	255	116	73	(5)	
	14	Takiapur	Thikri	175	160	84	(53)	20*
				2975	2440	1894		

POOR/VERY POOR CATEGORY

	5	Barda	Thikri	900	889	334	-	203*
	7	Datwada	Thikri	361	215	215	('36)	
	13	Lokara	Thikri	127	127	72	-	127*
				1388	1231	621		

* The plots are unfit and unsuitable as they do not exist, indrain/on steep slop/on rocky

Total **4983** **4189** **2876** **443***

TEAM VI

GOOD CATEGORY

District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots				
				Planned	Developed	Allotted		
Barwani	1	Lakhangaon	Thikri	151	158	143	(44)	
	2	Raswa	Thikri	40	35	3	-	
	4	Vishwanathkheda	Thikri	206	124	87	(24)	
	5	Brahmangaon	Thikri	575	466	225	-	
	7	Gawla-I	Thikri	81	50	24	(17)	
	8	Gawla-II	Thikri	61	53	53	(21)	
	9	Chichli	Thikri	246	211	86	(5)	
Khargone	12	Kathora	Kasarwad	155	140	50	-	
				1515	1237	671	(111)	

AVERAGE/BELOW AVERAGE CATEGORY

Barwani	3	Chainpura	Thikri	62	50	11	(10)	
	6	Nalwai **	Thikri	148	148	48	-	
	10	Navadakhedi **	Thikri	29	29	13	-	
Khargone	11	Adalpura	Thikri	15	15	9	-	
	13	Balkhad	Kasarwad	127	127	23	-	
	14	Khalkhurd **	Kasarwad	242	190	30	-	
				623	559	134	(10)	
				2138	1796	805	(121)	

** Below Average

	GOOD			AVERAGE/ BELOW AVERAGE			POOR/ VERY POOR			TOTAL SITES		
	Planned	Developed	Allotted	Planned	Developed	Allotted	Planned	Developed	Allotted	Planned	Developed	Allotted
TEAM I	6585	4832	2371	1552	682	219	473	321	42	8610	5835	2632
TEAM II	2250	1516	705	708	538	345	380	354	198	3338	2408	1248
TEAM III	2257	1304	1236	3922	2009	1939	1022	2554	1797	7201	5867	4972
TEAM IV	2033	1739	1142	934	368	368	431	399	387	3398	2506	1897
TEAM V	620	518	361	2975	2440	1894	1388	1231	621	4983	4189	2876
TEAM VI	1515	1237	671	623	559	134	0	0	0	2138	1796	805
TOTAL	15260	11146	6486	10714	6596	4899	3694	4859	3045	29668	22601	14430

**Status of R&R Sites/Plots Planned, Developed and Allotted (79 R&R Sites)
excluding 7 sites where allotment not taken place**

TEAM I

GOOD CATEGORY

District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots			
				Planned	Developed	Allotted	
Dhar	1	Sala	Dharampuri	515	425	163	
	2	Nombola	Dharampuri	375	352	116	
	3	Khalkhurd	Dharampuri	1219	292	267	
	10	Khujawa	Dharampuri	520	301	279	(8)
	11	Dharampuri	Dharampuri	3956	3462	1546	
				6585	4832	2371	(8)

AVERAGE CATEGORY

4	Khal Bujurg	Dharampuri	805	300	131	
5	Morghadi	Dharampuri	565	200	88	
			1370	500	219	

POOR CATEGORY

6	Balwada	Dharampuri	111	109	34	
			111	109	34	

Total 8066 5441 2624

TEAM II

GOOD CATEGORY

District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots			
				Planned	Developed	Allotted	
Dhar	1	Ganpur Sirsi	Manawar	310	125	52	(31)
	2	Gangli	Manawar	121	104	98	(18)
	4	Kavathi	Manawar	241	239	186	(96)
	6	Semalda	Manawar	593	323	102	
	8	Urdana	Manawar	302	205	74	(1)
	9	Sharikpura	Manawar	102	99	68	(35)
	11	Ratwa	Manawar	106	77	52	
	13	Barda	Manawar	475	344	73	
				2250	1516	705	(181)

AVERAGE CATEGORY

3	Ekalwara	Manawar	223	151	97	(1)
5	Achhoda	Manawar	145	137	51	
7	Perkhad	Manawar	312	237	197	(12)
			680	525	345	(13)

POOR CATEGORY

10	Mirzapur	Manawar	203	204	71	(16)
14	Tawlaikhurd	Manawar	177	150	127	(1)
			380	354	198	(17)

Total 3310 2395 1248 (211)

TEAM III

GOOD CATEGORY							
District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots			
				Planned	Developed	Allotted	
Dhar	1	Nisarpur-I	Kukshi	676	580	580	(215)
	4	Chandankhedi	Kukshi	112	112	104	(76)
	11	Ganpur	Kukshi	898	283	283	(14)
	12	Bhanwaria	Kukshi	367	193	163	(4)
Jabua	15	Khajuri	Thandla	52	52	47	-
	16	Umda	Jobat	100	61	59	-
				2205	1281	1236	(309)
AVERAGE CATEGORY							
Dhar	2	Nisarpur-II	Kukshi	2641	890	890	(55)
	5	Dehar	Kukshi	93	80	80	-
	6	Kikarwas	Kukshi	181	146	145	-
	10	Chikhalda	Kukshi	941	830	783	(1)
	14	Rekti	Kukshi	66	63	41	-
				3922	2009	1939	(56)
POOR CATEGORY							
	3	Nisarpur-III	Kukshi	-	1739	1000	-
	7	Dharamrai	Kukshi	430	250	247	-
	8	Kadmal	Kukshi	197	173	173	-
	9	Gehalgaon	Kukshi	395	392	377	(12)
				1022	2554	1797	(12)
Total				7149	5844	4972	

TEAM IV

GOOD CATEGORY								
District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	Plots				
				Planned	Developed	Allotted		
Barwani	1	Kasba Barwani-I	Barwani	332	235	138	(145)	
	2	Kasba Barwani-II	Barwani	261	261	163	(204)	
	3	Kasba Barwani-III	Barwani	984	804	447	(221)	
	4	Eklara	Barwani	142	142	136	(126)	
	5	Kalyanpura	Barwani	67	67	59	(4)	
	6	Jamda	Barwani	134	134	103	-	
	9	Amlali	Barwani	54	37	37	-	
	10	Bamta Awalda	Barwani	59	59	59	-	
					2033	1739	1142	(700)
	AVERAGE CATEGORY							
	7	Sirsani	Barwani	62	62	62	-	
	8	Bijasan	Barwani	233	60	60	(34)	
	11	Awalda	Barwani	447	59	59	-	
	12	Bhavti-I	Barwani	192	187	187	(16)	
				934	368	368	(50)	
POOR CATEGORY								
	13	Bhavti-II	Barwani	29	29	29	-	
	14	Sondul	Barwani	290	302	290	-	
	15	Morkatta	Barwani	112	68	68	-	
				431	399	387	-	
Total				3398	2506	1897		

TEAM V

District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	GOOD CATEGORY				
				Planned	Developed	Allotted		
Barwani	2	Borlai-III	Barwani	620	518	361	(90)	
				620	518	361	(90)	
				AVERAGE/BELOW AVERAGE CATEGORY				
	1	Borlai-II	Barwani	516	364	333	(105)	10*
	3	Khedi	Barwani	730	730	573	(140)	51*
	4	Borlai-I	Barwani	174	156	144	(60)	
	6	Gulata	Thikri	48	48	35	(64)	
	8	Chakeri	Thikri	143	120	106	(72)	
	9	Mohipura	Thikri	312	240	215	(100)	17*
	10	Panya	Thikri	220	215	142	-	10*
	11	Mandwada	Thikri	402	291	189	(3)	5*
	12	Pichhola Kirmohi	Thikri	255	116	73	(5)	
	14	Takiapur	Thikri	175	160	84	(53)	20*
				2975	2440	1894		
				POOR/VERY POOR CATEGORY				
	5	Barda	Thikri	900	889	334	-	203*
	7	Datwada	Thikri	361	215	215	(36)	
	13	Lokara	Thikri	127	127	72	-	127*
				1388	1231	621		
			Total	4983	4189	2876		443*

* The plots are unfit and unsuitable as they do not exist, indrain/on steep slop/on rocky

TEAM VI

District	S.No.	Name of Site	Tehsil	GOOD CATEGORY				
				Planned	Developed	Allotted		
Barwani	1	Lakhangaon	Thikri	151	158	143	(44)	
	2	Raswa	Thikri	40	35	3	-	
	4	Vishwanathkheda	Thikri	206	124	87	(24)	
	5	Brahmangaon	Thikri	575	466	225	-	
	7	Gawla-I	Thikri	81	50	24	(17)	
	8	Gawla-II	Thikri	61	53	53	(21)	
	9	Chichli	Thikri	246	211	86	(5)	
Khargone	12	Kathora	Kasarwad	155	140	50	-	
				1515	1237	671	(111)	
				AVERAGE/BELOW AVERAGE CATEGORY				
Barwani	3	Chainpura	Thikri	62	50	11	(10)	
	6	Nalwai **	Thikri	148	148	48	-	
	10	Navadakhedi **	Thikri	29	29	13	-	
Khargone	11	Adalpura	Thikri	15	15	9	-	
	13	Balkhad	Kasarwad	127	127	23	-	
	14	Khalkhurd **	Kasarwad	242	190	30	-	
				623	559	134	(10)	
			Total	2138	1796	805	(121)	

** Below Average

	GOOD			AVERAGE/ BELOW AVERAGE			POOR/ VERY POOR			TOTAL SITES		
	Planned	Developed	Allotted	Planned	Developed	Allotted	Planned	Developed	Allotted	Planned	Developed	Allotted
TEAM I	6585	4832	2371	1370	500	219	111	109	34	8066	5441	2624
TEAM II	2250	1516	705	680	525	345	380	354	198	3310	2395	1248
TEAM III	2205	1281	1236	3922	2009	1939	1022	2554	1797	7149	5844	4972
TEAM IV	2033	1739	1142	934	368	368	431	399	387	3398	2506	1897
TEAM V	620	518	361	2975	2440	1894	1388	1231	621	4983	4189	2876
TEAM VI	1515	1237	671	623	559	134	0	0	0	2138	1796	805
TOTAL	15208	11123	6486	10504	6401	4899	3332	4647	3037	29044	22171	14422

**Annexure – IX
(Para 3.9)**

Office of the Commissioner (Reh.),
Narmada Valley Development Authority,
Narmada Bhawan, BG Sector, Scheme No.74,
Indore.

Letter No. *5113*/SSP/06

Indore, dated *24/06/2006*

To,

Shri V.K. Shungloo,
Chairman,
Over Sight Group,
Sardar Sarovar Project Relief & Rehabilitation,
NEW DELHI – 110001.

As directed by you, the copy of notices served to the oustees of Sardar Sarovar Project during December 2005 to vacate submergence area and total agricultural land acquired are annexed herewith for your kind perusal please.


Commissioner (Field)
Narmada Valley Development Authority,
Indore.

Encl. No 5114/SSP/06

Date 24/6/06

Copy is forwarded to :

1. The Vice Chairman, Narmada Valley Development Authority, Bhopal,
for information .


Commissioner (Field)
Narmada Valley Development Authority,
Indore.

कार्यालय पुनर्वास अदिकासी सस्दार सरोवर परियोजना कुशी (जिला-धार) म.प्र.

प्रति,

श्री रूपसिंह मिश्रा
कोशी

APP 3-2573
26 DEC 2005



विषय :- मानसून वर्ष 2006 में संभावित दूध क्षेत्र की सूचना ।

आप नाम रूपसिंह तहसील - कुशी जिला - धार के निवासी होकर सस्दार सरोवर परियोजना की दूध से प्रभावित परिवार है । आपकी 1 हेक्टर कृषि भूमि तथा 1 भूखण्ड परियोजना के दूध से प्रभावित होने के कारण नर्नादा घाटी विकास प्राधिकरण द्वारा अर्जित की जा चुकी है । नर्नादा घाटी विकास के न्यायिकरण एवं म.प्र./पुनर्वास राज्य की पुनर्वास नीति के प्रावधानों के अनुसार आपको निम्नानुसार पुनर्वास पैकेज प्राप्त करने की पात्रता है । जिसका लाभ आपको दिया जा चुका है/प्राप्त करने की सूचना दी जा चुकी है ।

- | क्र.सं. | प्राप्ति | की गई सुविधा |
|---------|--|--|
| 1. | अर्जित कृषि भूमि का पुनर्वास :- | रूपसे <u>1</u> दिनांक <u>26/12/05</u> को/ 60 प्रतिशत राशि रूपसे <u>100</u> एवं/अथवा का भुगतान 100 प्रतिशत राशि रूपसे का भुगतान किया जा चुका है/सूचना दी जा चुकी है । |
| 2. | अर्जित आवास भूखण्ड का पुनर्वास :- | रूपसे <u>22571=00</u> दिनांक <u>26/12/05</u> का भुगतान किया जा चुका है/किया जा रहा है । |
| 3. | वैकल्पिक कृषि भूमि की व्यवस्था :- | एन.एन.पी.डी.टी. अर्थात् प्रावधान Clause XI-IV(7) के अनुसार आपको दिनांक <u>26/12/05</u> को म.प्र./पुनर्वास के तहत <u>1</u> में कृषि भूमि आवंटित की गई है एवं दिनांक <u>26/12/05</u> को आपने श्वसन द्वारा आवंटित भूमि को अर्जित करते हुए उपरोक्त पुनर्वास अनुदान के माध्यम से स्वयं कृषि भूमि क्रय करने का आवेदन प्रस्तुत किया है, जिसके फलस्वरूप आपको पुनर्वास राशि रु. <u>279125=00</u> एवं उपरोक्त पुनर्वास अनुदान की प्रथम किस्त/दोनों किस्त रु. <u>279125=00</u> का भुगतान किया जा चुका है एवं आपके द्वारा प्राप्त <u>1</u> में सफल <u>1</u> क्षेत्रफल <u>1</u> कृषि भूमि क्रय की जा चुकी है/कृषि भूमि क्रय करना शेष है । |
| 4. | अवशेष भूखण्ड का अर्जन :- | दिनांक <u>26/12/05</u> को पुनर्वास हेतु <u>1</u> का भुगतान रु. <u>1166</u> अर्जित किया जा चुका है/दिनांक <u>26/12/05</u> को आपके द्वारा धार जाने पर अवशेष भूखण्ड के बट्टे रु. <u>50000/-</u> का भुगतान किया जा चुका है/जा रहा है । |
| 5. | पुनर्वास अनुदान :- | रूपसे <u>100</u> दूध क्षेत्र रिक करने की सूचना आपके द्वारा प्रस्तुत करने पर भुगतान किया जावेगा । |
| 6. | उत्पन्न परिष्कारित दूध करने हेतु अनुदान राशि | ----- |
| 7. | परिष्कारित अनुदान राशि | ----- |
| 8. | अन्य देय अनुदान रूपसे | ----- |

मानसून 2006 में आपकी सम्पत्ति दूध से प्रभावित हो सकती है । अतः इसे रिक करते हुए वैकल्पिक स्थान पर तत्काल पहुंचने की कार्यवाही करें ।

[Signature]
संस्कार कर्मचारी
कुशी (जिला-धार) म.प्र.

A P P E N D I X - I

Appendix - I

**REPORT ON FIELD VERIFICATION
OF THE STATUS OF SARDAR
SAROVAR PROJECT AFFECTED
FAMILIES IN MADHYA PRADESH**

BY

**NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY ORGANISATION
MINISTRY OF STATISTICS & PROGRAMME
IMPLEMENTATION**

**SUBMITTED TO THE OVERSIGHT GROUP
SARDAR SAROVAR PROJECT**

**NEW DELHI
21st JUNE, 2006**

CONTENTS

Sl.No.	Item	Page No.
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Number of villages and families	2
3.	PAFs featuring in the ATR: Major findings	2
	3.1 Number of PAFs	2
	3.2 Assets affected	3
	3.3 PAFs disagreed to accept allotted land and reasons thereof	4
	3.4 PAFs who accepted SRP by reason	5
	3.5 Details regarding receipt of SRP installments	5
	3.6 PAFs not received the second installment by reason	6
	3.7 Incidence of floodwater entering the land/ house	6
	3.8 Variation in the entries: ATR vis-à-vis Field Verification	6
4.	Other claimants: Major findings	11
5.	Field problems	12
	ATR-1	
	Table 1: Number of villages and PAFs by Tehsil (ATR-1)	14
	Table 2: Number of PAFs losing Agri. Land house and other productive assets (ATR-1)	15
	Table3: Distribution of PAFs using agriculture land (ATR-1)	16
	Table 3A: Percentage distribution for table 3 (ATR-1)	17
	Table 4: Distribution of PAFs by reason for setting on SRP (ATR-1)	18
	Table 5: Distribution of PAFs who have not received second installment by reason	19
	Table 6: Percentage of PAFs reporting entry of Flood Water	20
	Table 7: Details regarding land allotted in hect.	21
	Table 8: Details regarding receipt of SRP installments	22
	Table 9: Details regarding receipt of SRP installments	23
	Table 10: Details regarding land purchases by PAFs	24
	Table 11: Details regarding cash payment in lieu of residential plots	25
	Table 12: Details regarding SRP given to those whose land affected is less than 25%	26
	Table 13: Details regarding grant for resettlement	27
	Table 14: Details regarding grant for other assets	28
	Table 15: Details regarding transport grant Rs5,000/-	29

Sl.No.	Item	Page No.
	Table 16: Details regarding land possessed in hecets.	30
	Table 17: Details regarding land affected in hecets.	31
	Table 18: Details regarding house affected	32
	Table 19: Details of other claimants listed in schedule 2	33
	ART-2	
	Table 1: Number of villages and PAFs by Tehsil as per ATR-2	34
	Table 2: Number of PAFs losing agri. Land house and other productive assets	35
	Table 3: Blank	
	Table 4: Distribution of PAFs by reason for settling on SRP	36
	Table 5: Blank	
	Table 6: Percentage of PAFs reporting entry of flood water during the last thee years	37
	Table 7: Details regarding land allotted in hecets.	38
	Table 8: Details regarding receipt of SRP installments	39
	Table 9: Details regarding receipt of SRP installments	40
	Table 10: Blank	
	Table 11: Details regarding cash payment in lieu of residential plot	41
	Table 12: Blank	
	Table 13: Details regarding grant for resettlement	42
	Table 14: Details regarding grant for other assets	43
	Table 15: Details regarding transport grant Rs.5,000/-	44
	Table 16: Blank	
	Table 17: Details regarding land affected in hecets.	45
	Table 18: Details regarding house affected	46
	Table 19: Details regarding land possessed in hecets.	47
	ATR-I: Proforma in Hindi	48-50
	ATR-II: Proforma in Hindi	51-53
	NSS Sch.2: List of other claimants not in ATR	54

Sardar Sarovar Project
Status of Project Affected Families
(A report by NSSO based on the field verification)

1. Introduction

1.1 At the instance of the three-man Oversight Group (OSG) under the Chairmanship of Shri V.K. Shunglu, National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO) in the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, carried out the field verification of the Status of Project Affected Families (PAFs) in Madhya Pradesh who are affected due to the raising of the dam height up to 121.92m. The field verification was carried out during 23rd May 2006 to 8th June 2006 as per the survey instruments approved by the OSG. Fifty one teams of field officials each team comprising two officials, were deployed for the purpose after imparting them adequate training on the concepts and methodology. The training conference was conducted under the guidance of Prof. G.K. Chadha, member of the OSG. All OSG members including the Chairman, in addition to senior officers of NSSO, including Director General, NSSO and Deputy Director General, Field Operations Division, NSSO monitored the field operations. Two Director/Deputy Director level officers were stationed at Indore for guidance/ supervision of survey operations and data entry, on rotation basis.

1.2 The following specific jobs were assigned to the teams:

- Verifying the facts in the Action Taken Report (ATR) as reported by the State Government for the PAFs covered under list-1 (being henceforth referred to as ATR-I), which includes the backlog for dam height up to 110.64m and the affected families in the dam height between 110.64m and 121.92m;
- Verifying the facts in the ATR as reported by the State Government for the PAFs covered under list-2 (being henceforth referred to as ATR-II),

which includes the affected families in the dam height less than 110.64m; and

- Recording certain details about reported loss of properties in respect of other 'claimants' who are neither covered in ATR-I nor in ATR-II.

1.3 The schedules canvassed for field verification are enclosed in the Annexure. Section 2 discusses the number of villages and PAFs surveyed. Section 3 deals with the major findings for the PAFs covered under ATR-I and ATR-II while Section 4 summarizes the findings in respect of the other claimants for which details were collected through schedule 2. Section 5 discusses the field problems, in brief.

2. Number of villages and families

2.1 The fieldwork was carried out in all the 177 villages for which list was made available by the State Government. These villages are spread over 7 tehsils of Madhya Pradesh namely, Alirajpur, Kukshi, Manawar, Dharamपुरi, Barwani, Thikri and Kasrawad. In all, 14,061 PAFs featured in ATR-I while 5,307 PAFs featured in ATR-II. (There were some common PAFs) Out of all such PAFs, 18,360 PAFs (13,354 from ATR-I & 5,006 from ATR-II) could be contacted for the field verification, when NSSO undertook the survey.

2.2 In addition to the above, during the survey, a total number of 6,485 families/claimants reported their version that their properties were affected, although they were left out from any package of compensation. Properties affected could be in the form of agricultural land, house and / or other productive assets, as reported by such people, and these details were recorded in schedule 2 format canvassed.

3. PAFs featuring in the ATR: Major findings

3.1 Number of PAFs

3.1.1 As stated already under paragraph 2.1, ATR-I included 14,061 PAFs among whom 13,354 PAFs could be contacted. On the other hand, ATR-II comprised

5,307 families among whom 5,006 could be interviewed. Naturally, the findings discussed under Section 3 relate to the universe of those families whom could be contacted. Tehsil-wise details of number of PAFs are presented in Table 1. It may be noted that out of 177 villages considered for the field verification, in 27 villages, not a single PAF was there as per ATR-I. However, PAFs covered under ATR-II were spread over 73 villages only. Three tehsils namely, Kukshi, Dharampuri and Barwani together account for about 82% of the total number of PAFs featuring in ATR-I. So far as ATR-II is concerned, not a single PAF was available in two tehsils namely Alirajpur and Kasrawad. In the tehsil of Dharampuri, only 39 PAFs were available out of whom 38 were contacted for detailed enquiry.

3.2 Assets affected

3.2.1 Number of PAFs for different affected properties are shown below (see Table 2 for details). It is worth noting that for about 32% of the PAFs of ATR-I, agricultural land was affected. But for ATR-II, such percentage is only 1%. Both in terms of house affected and other productive assets affected, incidence is higher among the PAFs belonging to ATR-II.

ATR-I

- Total number of PAFs interviewed: 13,354
- Agricultural land affected: 31.6% (4,218 PAFs)
- House affected: 39.1% (5,216 PAFs)
- Other productive assets affected: 29.6% (3,950 PAFs)

ATR-II

- Total number of PAFs interviewed: 5,006
- Agricultural land affected: 1.04% (52 PAFs)
- House affected: 55.0% (2,752 PAFs)
- Other productive assets affected: 77.4% (3,874 PAFs)

3.2.2 Among the PAFs with agricultural land affected (ATR-I), for about 62% of the PAFs, such affected agricultural land was found to be more than 25% of the total land possessed by the respective families. In case of ATR-II, for 6 out of 52 PAFs whose agricultural land was affected, area of affected land was more than 25% of the total land possessed.

3.3 PAFs disagreed to accept allotted land and reasons thereof

3.3.1 For ATR-I, among 4,218 PAFs with agricultural land affected (which is 4175 as per ATR I, increase due to time factor, settlement of cases etc), 3,904 PAFs were found to have been allotted with agricultural land. But a large majority of them – numbering 3,715 – disagreed to accept allotted land (Table 3). Distribution of such PAFs by reason for disagreement is given below (see Tables 3 and 3A for details). About 21% of the PAFs disagreed to accept allotted land since they were interested to purchase land as per their own choice. Another 28% disagreed because the allotted land was distantly located. Nearly 12% of the PAFs reported that the allotted land was not suitable for cultivation.

<i>Reason for disagreement to accept allotted land</i>	<i>Number of PAFs</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
1. Interested to purchase land as per own choice	784	21.1
2. Allotted land not irrigable	82	2.2
3. Allotted land distantly located	1,020	27.5
4. Allotted land not found suitable for cultivation	437	11.8
9. Others:	1,392	37.5
9.1 No intimation on allotment of land/plot/other assets	515	13.9
9.2 No compensation received for land/plot/other assets	55	1.5
9.3 Less compensation	55	1.5
9.4 Not satisfied with the allotted land/plot	63	1.7
9.5 Other cases	704	19.0
Total	3,715	100.0

3.3.2 In case of ATR-II, there was no information for agreement/disagreement and hence, no verification was possible.

3.4 PAFs who accepted SRP by reason

3.4.1 It may be noted that in case of ATR-I, a majority of the PAFs numbering 4,047 (Table 4) accepted the Special Rehabilitation Package (SRP). Distribution of such PAFs is given below. About 38% of the PAFs who opted for SRP found the package to be attractive while another 26% followed their relatives/others in accepting the SRP.

<i>Reason for settling on SRP</i>	<i>Number of PAFs</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
ATR-I		
1. Package found attractive	1,553	38.4
2. Through GRA (Grievance Redressal Authority)	133	3.3
3. Followed relative/others	1,058	26.1
4. Others:	1,303	32.2
4.1 No intimation on allotment of land/ plot/other assets	319	7.9
4.2 Not satisfied with the allotted land	98	2.4
4.3 Other cases	886	21.9
Total	4,047	100.0

3.4.2 In case of ATR-II, 5 PAFs accepted the SRP. While 2 of them accepted SRP through GRA, the remaining 3 quoted 'Other' reason (other than the listed reasons) for settling on the SRP.

3.5 Details regarding receipt of SRP installments

3.5.1 In case of ATR-I, by the time of field verification, 3,727 PAFs received the first installment and 652 PAFs also received the second installment. The corresponding figures for ATR-II were 7 and 1 respectively. Details are available in Tables 8 and 9.

3.6 PAFs not received the second installment by reason

3.6.1 In case of ATR-I, 2,807 PAFs were yet to receive the second installment at the time of field verification (Table 5). Thus in all 1137 PAFs have purchased land out of 3727 PAFs who have received the first installment for purchase of land. There are 465 PAFs who have purchased land, but not received the second installment. The most prominent reason behind not receiving the second installment was found to be 'high escalation of land cost' – about 54% of the PAFs reported this reason as the factor for not receiving the second installment.

ATR-I

<i>Reported reason for not receiving 2nd installment</i>	<i>Number of PAFs</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Land purchased but 2nd installment yet to be received	465	16.6
No land available near the village	189	6.7
No land available near the rehabilitation site	48	1.7
High escalation of land cost	1,505	53.6
Others	600	21.4
Total	2,807	100.0

3.7 Incidence of floodwater entering the land/house

3.7.1 All PAFs were asked whether floodwater entered their land or house during the last 3 years preceding the date of survey. For ATR-I, only 132 out of 13,354 PAFs i.e. about 1% of the PAFs interviewed reported that floodwater entered their land/house during the last three years. The percentage was highest (4.3%) for the tehsil of Thikri. In case of ATR-II, only 4 out of 5,006 PAFs (0.1%) reported the above event (see Table 6 for details).

3.8 Variation in the entries: ATR vis-a-vis Field Verification

A. General

3.8.1 Based on the actual field verification, certain variations have been observed in the entries in many cases when these are compared with the corresponding

entries reported in the ATRs. Details are narrated in the succeeding paragraphs.

B. Receipt of SRP installments

3.8.2 According to ATR-I and ATR-II, number of PAFs who received the first SRP installment was 3,675 and 7 respectively. As per the field verification, corresponding numbers were found to be 3,727 and 7, i.e. more as per field verification in case ATR-I (Table 8). This might be due to the fact that some PAFs received the first installment after the date when the ATR statement was prepared /finalized. For 360 PAFs of ATR-I and 1 PAF of ATR-II, actually received amount of first installment was found to be different from the one reported in the ATRs. Of these, in 324 cases, actual amount received was reported to be less than the amount reported in the ATR.

3.8.3 In the case of second installment, number of PAFs receiving the installment was 652 as per the field verification as against 66 as per ATR-I. For ATR-II, this figure is “1” as per both sources (Table 9). Not a single case has been found where amount actually received is found to differ from what has been reported in the ATRs.

C. Purchase of land

3.8.4 As per ATR-I, number of PAFs who purchased land was 458. But as per field verification, the said number is much higher (1,147). In case of ATR-II, not a single PAF was found to have purchased land as per the ATR as well as field verification (Table10). For 74 PAFs based on ATR-I, area of purchased land as per the field verification was found to differ from the area reported in the ATR. In 49 out of 74 such cases, land actually purchased was found to be more than that reported in the ATR.

D. Cash payment in lieu of residential plot

3.8.5 The details are as under (Table 11):

SI.No.	Characteristic	ATR-I	ATR-II
1	Number of PAFs who received cash payment:		
	(a) As per ATR	1,352	26
	(b) As per field verification	1,561	373
2	Number of PAFs who actually received cash payment:		
	(a) Less than that reported in ATR	97	0
	(b) More than that reported in ATR	3	0

E. SRP to those whose land affected is less than 25% (ATR-I)

3.8.6 As per ATR-I, for a total of 4,218 PAFs, agricultural land was affected (Table 3). Among them for 1,594 PAFs (i.e. 38%), affected land was less than 25% of their total land possessed as per the ATR (Table 2). Of these 1,594 PAFs, 1,445 PAFs received SRP payment as per ATR-I but as per field verification their number was found as 1,455 (Table 12). Further, in 166 cases, the received amount was found different than the one reported in the ATR. While in 163 cases, received amount was reported to be less than that mentioned in the ATR, in the remaining 3 cases the received amount was reported to be more.

F. Receipt of grant for resettlement

3.8.7 Details of receipt of grant for resettlement are summarized below (see Table 13 for tehsil-wise details):

SI.No.	Characteristic	ATR-I	ATR-II
1	Number of PAFs who received grant:		
	(a) As per ATR	968	2,143
	(b) As per the field verification	1,212	2,477
2	Number of PAFs for whom the received amount of grant to found to be:		
	(a) Less than that reported in the ATR	40	451
	(b) More than that reported in the ATR	2	5

G. Receipt of grant for other assets

3.8.8 Number of PAFs who received grant for other assets and the divergences observed between the ATR and actual field verification are summarized below (refer to Table 14 for tehsil-wise information):

Sl.No.	Characteristic	ATR-I	ATR-II
1	Number of PAFs who received grant for other assets:		
	(a) As per ATR	105	253
	(b) As per field verification	143	587
2	Number of PAFs who received grant for other assets but received amount:		
	(a) Less than that reported in ATR	2	5
	(b) More than that reported in ATR	0	60

H. Receipt of transfer grant of Rs. 5,000/-

3.8.9 The details (see Table 15 also) are as under:

Sl.No.	Characteristic	ATR-I	ATR-II
1	Number of PAFs who received transfer grant		
	(a) As per ATR	170	172
	(b) As per field verification	207	347
2	Number of PAFs who received transfer grant but actual amount received found to be:		
	(a) Less than that reported in ATR	2	1
	(b) More than that reported in ATR	0	1

I. Land possessed

3.8.10 Number of PAFs who possessed land was found to be higher as per the field verification as compared to the number reported in the ATRs (Table 16). Further, there were divergences in the figures of land possessed as per the two sources. The details are as under:

Sl.No.	Characteristic	ATR-I	ATR-II
1	Number of PAFs who possessed land		
	(a) As per ATR	4445	251
	(b) As per field verification	4523	324
2	Number of PAFs for whom land possessed as reported during the field verification found to be:		
	(a) Less than that reported in ATR	157	18
	(b) More than that reported in ATR	105	12

J. Land affected

3.8.11 A more or less similar trend as observed in the case of 'land possessed' is also noticed for 'land affected' (Table 17).

Sl.No.	Characteristic	ATR-I	ATR-II
1	Number of PAFs whose land was affected:		
	(a) As per ATR	4175	42
	(b) As per field verification	4218	52
2	Number of PAFs for whom land affected as reported during the field verification found to be:		
	(a) Less than that reported in ATR	86	1
	(b) More than that reported in ATR	80	1

K. House affected

3.8.12 As per field verification, number of PAFs who reported that their houses were affected was found to be higher than the number shown against ATR. This is true for both the ATRs (see Table 18). Further, there were cases where the number of houses affected as per the alternative sources (i.e. ATR and field verification) differed. For majority among such cases, the number of houses affected as reported during the field verification was found to be more than what is reported in the ATR (see Table 18 for tehsil-wise details).

Sl.No.	Characteristic	ATR-I	ATR-II
1	Number of PAFs whose houses are affected:		
	(a) As per ATR	4852	2681
	(b) As per field verification	5216	2752
2	Number of PAFs for whom number of affected houses during the field verification was reported to be:		
	(a) Less than that reported in ATR	9	10
	(b) More than that reported in ATR	451	91

L. Land allotted (ATR-I)

3.8.13 As per ATR-I, 3,904 PAFs were allotted with agricultural land (Tables 3 and 7). However, only 3,249 PAFs confirmed allotment of land at the time of field verification (Table 7). Further in 661 cases, the respondents were not aware of any such allotment.

4. Other claimants: Major findings

4.1 A total of 6,485 other claimants (see Table 19) reported their version that their properties were affected, although they were not listed in the ATRs. The details as reported by the respondents are summarized below:

Details about other claimants

- Total number of other claimants: 6,485
- Number reporting loss of agricultural land: 631 (9.7%)
 - Number reporting loss of agricultural land more than 25% 458
- Number reporting loss of house: 6,414 (98.9%)
- Number reporting loss of other productive assets: 325 (5.0%)
- Number approached GRA: 1,367 (21.1%)
 - o Number approached GRA & claim rejected: 137
 - o Number approached GRA & claim pending: 1,230
- Number who did not approach GRA: 5,118 (78.9%)

4.2 631 claimants reported loss of land. In respect of 571 claimants, details were made available to Government of Madhya Pradesh for further verification, as per the direction of OSG.

5. **Field problems**

5.1 The field officers faced problems resulting in taking considerable time to convince the agitating persons at the time of commencement of field work in 3 villages of Thikri Tehsil and 3 in Barwani tehsil. In 5 villages of Thikri Tehsil, 3 in Barwani and almost all villages in Manawar tehsil, the fieldwork was intermittently disturbed, resulting in delay in completing the survey.

.....
* Back check of survey conducted by NSSO by a team of
IDC and NSSO

In order to check the veracity of the data collected, it was also decided by OSG that there should be at least 5% of the PAFs to be checked by Validation survey of data verified by NSSO. Accordingly a back check was organized in 9 randomly selected villages, where a team of Infrastructure Development Consultants, under the supervision of Shri Vijay Kumar, Deputy CAG (Retd.) and National Sample Survey Organization officials participated.

After the verification work carried by NSSO, verified copies of ATR I & ATR II for the nine selected villages were provided to the teams of IDC/NSSO and training was imparted to team by NSSO Officers at Indore. The back check survey was carried out in all the nine villages during 08-13 June 2006.

The back check observations reveal that, the error was negligible, constituting less than 0.01% of the total number of entries made.

The back check by an independent team appointed by the OSG reveals that the data collected are as per the ground realities. Based on the findings of the Validation Survey it can confidently be concluded that the variations observed are not at all significant. Further, the variations do not affect or alter the findings of the report submitted by NSSO to the Oversight Group.

No. M-12011/10/2006-NSSO (CPD)

Dated the 21st June, 2006

To

The Chairman
OSG
Sardar Sarovar Project
New Delhi

Sub: **Report of the field verification of the status of Sardar Sarovar Project affected families in Madhya Pradesh-regarding**

Sir,

The National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO) has undertaken the above survey as per the request of Ministry of Water Resources, as per the decision of the OSG. The NSSO has undertaken the survey commencing from 21st of May, 2006 as per the approved line of action and survey instruments approved by the OSG.

2. The fieldwork was under taken during 23rd May to 8th June 2006, after imparting the training to the field functionaries and supervisors on the 22nd of May, 2006 at Indore. The NSSO is thankful to the Members of the OSG who not only gave the survey instruments but also took part in training and field supervision activities, guidance etc.

3. The Report includes tables for the ATR-1, ATR-2 as well as a table generated for the claimants as project affected families, who neither appear in ATR-1 nor in ATR-2.

4. The NSSO is also thankful to the Chairman, Governing Council as well its members for permitting NSSO to undertake this work.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(Dr. K.V. Rao)
DG & CEO, NSSO

Table 1: Number of Villages and PAFs by Tehsil (ATR 1)

District	Tehsil	No. of Villages Surveyed		Total Number of PAFs as per Sch. 1	
		Total	With '0' PAF*	Featuring in ATR	Contacted by Surveyed
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	22	7	181	170
Barwani	Barwani	40	4	4390	4091
	Thikri	27	4	1296	1282
	(subtotal)	67	8	5686	5373
Dhar	Manawar	22	5	812	769
	Kukshi	37	1	4372	4062
	Dharampuri	19	3	2855	2827
	(subtotal)	78	9	8039	7658
Khargone	Kasrawad	10	3	155	153
Grand Total		177	27	14061	13354

Table 2: Number of PAFs losing Agri. Land, house and other productive assets (ATR 1)

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAF's for whom			
		Agri. Land affected	Affected land >25%	House Affected	Other productive assets affected
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	79	25	27	14
Barwani	Barwani	1993	1210	1639	257
	Thikri	262	149	625	496
	(subtotal)	2255	1359	2264	753
Dhar	Manawar	254	160	317	174
	Kukshi	1601	1056	1565	506
	Dharampuri	24	19	957	2441
	(subtotal)	1879	1235	2839	3121
Khargone	Kasrawad	5	5	86	62
Grand Total		4218	2624	5216	3950

Note: Col.6= No. of PAF's with positive entry in Col 20 of Sch 1.

Table 3: Distribution of PAFs losing agriculture land, (ATR 1)

District	Tehsil	Number of PAFs		Number disagreed to take Agriculture land by reason						Break up of column 10 remarks code=9		
		losing agri. Land	allotted with agri.	Code						No intimation	no other option	Pending with GRA
				1	2	3	4	9	all			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Jhabua	Alirajpur	78	57	12	16	3	0	15	46.0	17		
Barwani	Barwani	1984	1505	488	39	266	363	212	1368.0	140	3	40.0
	Thikri	258	213	63	1	107	21	13	205.0	15		9.0
	(subtotal)	2242	1718	551	40	373	384	225	1573	155	3	49
Dhar	Manawar	240	380	8	1	328	0	40	377.0	26		
	Kukshi	1588	1741	205	25	316	53	1112	1711.0	472	51	19.0
	Dharampuri	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	3		
	(subtotal)	1850	2121	213	26	644	53	1152	2088	501	51	19
Khargone	Kasrawad	5	8	8	0	0	0	0	8			
Grand Total		4175	3904	784	82	1020	437	1392	3715	673	54	68

code 1 : Interested to purchase land as per own choice

code 2 : Alloted land not irrigable

code 3 : Alloted land distantly located

code 4 : Alloted land not found suitable for cultivation

code 9 : others (Specify

Table 3 A: Percentage distribution for table 3 (ATR1)

District	Tehsil	No. of PAFs losing agri. land	% allotted with Agri. Land (Col.3/Col.2 of table 3)X 100	% Distribution of Col. 9 Table 3					
				Reason					
				1	2	3	4	9	All
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Jhabua	Alirajpur	78	73.1	26.1	34.8	6.5	0.00	32.6	100.0
Barwani	Barwani	1984	75.9	35.7	2.9	19.4	26.5	15.5	100.0
	Thikri	258	82.6	30.7	0.5	52.2	10.2	6.3	100.0
	(subtotal)	2242	158.5	35.0	2.5	23.7	24.4	14.3	100.0
Dhar	Manawar	240	158.3	2.1	0.3	87	0	10.6	100.0
	Kukshi	1588	109.6	12.0	1.5	18.5	3.1	65.0	100.0
	Dharampuri	22	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	(subtotal)	1850	112.9	10.2	1.2	30.8	2.5	55.2	100.0
Khargone	Kasrawad	5	160	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Grand Total		4175	92.6	21.1	2.2	27.5	11.8	37.5	100.0

- Code 1 No intimation on allotment of land/plot/other assets
- Code 2 No compensation received for land/plot/other assets
- Code 3 Less compensation
- Code 4 Not satisfied with the allotted Land/Plot
- Code Other Cases

Table 4: Distribution of PAFs by reason for settling on SRP (ATR1)

District	Tehsil	Disagreed to take agri. Land	No. of PAFs				% Distribution for SRP codes (0.0)			
			Those by SRP Code in Col 25(b)				Reason Code			
			1	2	3	9	1	2	3	9
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Jhabua	Alirajpur	46	28	0	2	15	60.9	0.0	4.3	32.6
Barwani	Barwani	1368	673	31	494	302	49.2	2.3	36.1	22.1
	Thikri	205	94	15	46	63	45.9	7.3	22.4	30.7
	(subtotal)	1573	767	46	540	365	48.8	2.9	34.3	23.2
Dhar	Manawar	377	255	82	74	61	67.6	21.8	19.6	16.2
	Kukshi	1711	479	5	429	862	28.0	0.3	25.1	50.4
	Dharampuri	0	16	0	13	0	55.2	0.0	44.8	0.0
	(subtotal)	2088	750	87	516	923	35.9	4.2	24.7	44.2
Khargone	Kasrawad	8	8	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Grand Total		3715	1553	133	1058	1303	41.8	3.6	28.5	35.1

Note: Col 8 = (Col 4/Col 3)*100, Col 9= (Col 5/Col 3)*100, Col 10= (Col 5/Col 3)*100

SRP (How did you settle on SRP) Codes:

code 1: Package found attractive

code 2: Through GRA

code 3: Followed relatives/ others

code 9: Others (Specify)->

Table 4: Distribution of PAFs by reason for settling on SRP (ATR1)

District	Tehsil	Disagreed to take agri. Land	No. of PAFs				% Distribution for SRP codes (0.0)			
			Those by SRP Code in Col 25(b)				Reason Code			
			1	2	3	9	1	2	3	9
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Jhabua	Alirajpur	46	28	0	2	15	60.9	0.0	4.3	32.6
Barwani	Barwani	1368	673	31	494	302	49.2	2.3	36.1	22.1
	Thikri	205	94	15	46	63	45.9	7.3	22.4	30.7
	(subtotal)	1573	767	46	540	365	48.8	2.9	34.3	23.2
Dhar	Manawar	377	255	82	74	61	67.6	21.8	19.6	16.2
	Kukshi	1711	479	5	429	862	28.0	0.3	25.1	50.4
	Dharampuri	0	16	0	13	0	55.2	0.0	44.8	0.0
	(subtotal)	2088	750	87	516	923	35.9	4.2	24.7	44.2
Khargone	Kasrawad	8	8	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Grand Total		3715	1553	133	1058	1303	41.8	3.6	28.5	35.1

Note: Col 8 = (Col 4/Col 3)*100, Col 9= (Col 5/Col 3)*100, Col 10= (Col 5/Col 3)*100

SRP (How did you settle on SRP) Codes:

code 1: Package found attractive

code 2: Through GRA

code 3: Followed relatives/ others

code 9: Others (Specify)->

Table 5: Distribution of PAFs who have not received second installment by reason

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs not received second installment by reason						% Distribution (0.0) of PAF's who did not receive second installment by reason codes					
		1	2	3	4	9	All*	1	2	3	4	9	All
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	2	0	2	7	11	0.0	18.2	0.0	18.2	63.6	100.0
Barwani	Barwani	193	58	16	559	201	1027	18.8	5.6	1.6	54.4	19.6	100.0
	Thikri	2	4	11	38	5	60	3.3	6.7	18.3	63.3	8.3	100.0
	(subtotal)	195	62	27	597	206	1087	17.9	5.7	2.5	54.9	19.0	100.0
Dhar	Manawar	57	9	4	116	126	312	18.3	2.9	1.3	37.2	40.4	100.0
	Kukshi	209	107	17	788	260	1381	15.1	7.7	1.2	57.1	18.8	100.0
	Dharampuri	2	6	0	0	0	8	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	(subtotal)	268	122	21	904	386	1701	15.8	7.2	1.2	53.1	22.7	100.0
Khargone	Kasrawad	2	3	0	2	1	8	25.0	37.5	0.0	25.0	12.5	100.0
Grand Total		465	189	48	1505	600	2807	16.6	6.7	1.7	53.6	21.4	100.0

*All in Col. 7 corresponds with the total number of PAF's for whom col.30 in Sch 1 is blank

Col 8 =(Col2/Col7)*100, Col9=(Col3/Col7)*100, Col10 =(Col4/Col7)*100..

Reason codes for not receiving second installment :

code 1: Land purchased but second installment yet to be received

code 2: No land available near the village

code 3: No land available near the rehabilitation site

code 4: High escalation of land cost →

Table 6: Percentage of PAFs reporting entry of Flood Water

Disrict	Tehsil	Total	Number of PAFs contacted those reorted entering of flood water	
			Number (code 1 in Col. 44)	Percentage (0.0)
1	2	3	4	5
Jhabua	Alirajpur	170	1	0.6
Barwani	Barwani	4091	62	1.5
	Thikri	1282	55	4.3
	(subtotal)	5373	117	2.2
Dhar	Manawar	769	4	0.5
	Kukshi	4062	9	0.2
	Dharampuri	2827	1	0.0
	(subtotal)	7658	14	0.2
Khargone	Kasrawad	153	0	0.0
Grand Total		13354	132	1.0

Note: Col 5 = (Col 4/ Col 3)*100

Table 7: Details Regarding Land Alloted in Hects.

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who were Alloted land		Resp. not aware	No. of PAFs who were Alloted land	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO		but were alloted is less than reported in ATR	but were alloted is more than reported in ATR
1	2	3	4		5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	57	57	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	1505	1416	94	0	0
	Thikri	213	200	13	0	0
	(subtotal)	1718	1616	107	0	0
Dhar	Manawar	380	381	0	0	0
	Kukshi	1741	1187	554	7	27
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	2121	1568	554	7	27
Khargone	Kasrawad	8	8	0	0	0
Grand Total		3904	3249	661	7	27

Table 8: Details Regarding Receipt of SRP Instalments

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received First Instalment		No. of PAFs who received First Instalment	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less amount than repoted in ATR	but received more amount than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	42	42	4	1
Barwani	Barwani	1373	1400	92	17
	Thikri	193	193	17	2
	(subtotal)	1566	1593	109	19
Dhar	Manawar	378	384	71	7
	kukshi	1635	1654	137	8
	Dharampuri	46	46	3	1
	(subtotal)	2059	2084	211	16
Khargone	Kasrawad	8	8	0	0
Grand Total		3675	3727	324	36

Table 9: Details Regarding Receipt of SRP Instalments

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received second Instalment		No. of PAFs who received second Instalment	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less amount than repoted in ATR	but received more amount than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	5	19	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	19	189	0	0
	Thikri	4	98	0	0
	(subtotal)	23	287	0	0
Dhar	Manawar	0	165	0	0
	kukshi	34	147	0	0
	Dharampuri	4	34	0	0
	(subtotal)	38	346	0	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		66	652	0	0

Table10 Details Regarding Land purchases by PAFs

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who purchased land		No. of PAFs who purchased land	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but purchased less land than repoted in ATR	but purchased more land than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	6	15	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	58	322	1	7
	Thikri	87	127	5	10
	(subtotal)	145	449	6	17
Dhar	Manawar	107	232	12	24
	kukshi	196	413	5	8
	Dharampuri	4	34	2	0
	(subtotal)	307	679	19	32
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	4	0	0
Grand Total		458	1147	25	49

Table11 Details Regarding cash payment in lieu of Residential plot

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received cash payment		No. of PAFs who received cash payment	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	36	38	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	370	443	14	2
	Thikri	60	120	1	0
	(subtotal)	430	563	15	2
Dhar	Manawar	160	202	0	1
	kukshi	409	413	82	0
	Dharampuri	290	311	0	0
	(subtotal)	859	926	82	1
Khargone	Kasrawad	27	34	0	0
Grand Total		1352	1561	97	3

Table12 Details Regarding SRP given to those whose land affected is less than 25%

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received payment		No. of PAFs who received payment	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	54	54	4	0
Barwani	Barwani	686	689	82	1
	Thikri	79	81	7	0
	(subtotal)	765	770	89	1
Dhar	Manawar	16	18	0	0
	kukshi	610	613	70	2
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	626	631	70	2
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		1445	1455	163	3

Table13 Details Regarding Grant for Resettlement

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received Grant		No. of PAFs who received Grant	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	2	4	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	519	715	17	0
	Thikri	86	94	12	2
	(subtotal)	605	809	29	2
Dhar	Manawar	74	108	3	0
	kukshi	137	141	8	0
	Dharampuri	13	13	0	0
	(subtotal)	224	262	11	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	137	137	0	0
Grand Total		968	1212	40	2

Table14 Details Regarding Grant for other Assets

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received Grant for other		No. of PAFs who received Grant for other Assets	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	2	2	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	97	124	2	0
	Thikri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	97	124	2	0
Dhar	Manawar	6	17	0	0
	kukshi	0	0	0	0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	6	17	0	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		105	143	2	0

Table15 Details Regarding Transport Grant 5000/-

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received Grant		No. of PAFs who received Grant	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	56	85	0	0
	Thikri	0	4	0	0
	(subtotal)	56	89	0	0
Dhar	Manawar	2	5	0	0
	kukshi	22	23	2	0
	Dharampuri	90	90	0	0
	(subtotal)	114	118	2	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		170	207	2	0

Table 16 Details Regarding Land Possessed in Hects.

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who possessed land		No. of PAFs who possessed land	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but possessed less than reported in ATR	but possessed more than reported in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	79	80	6	6
Barwani	Barwani	2031	2053	61	51
	Thikri	389	410	30	9
	(subtotal)	2420	2463	91	60
Dhar	Manawar	239	259	32	26
	kukshi	1627	1641	27	13
	Dharampuri	24	24	1	0
	(subtotal)	1890	1924	60	39
Khargone	Kasrawad	56	56	0	0
Grand Total		4445	4523	157	105

Table 17 Details Regarding Land Affected in Hects.

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs whose Affected land		No. of PAFs whose Affected land	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but affected land is less than reported in ATR	but affected land is more than reported in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	78	79	2	1
Barwani	Barwani	1984	1993	34	40
	Thikri	258	262	3	4
	(subtotal)	2242	2255	37	44
Dhar	Manawar	240	254	30	22
	kukshi	1588	1601	17	13
	Dharampuri	22	24	0	0
	(subtotal)	1850	1879	47	35
Khargone	Kasrawad	5	5	0	0
Grand Total		4175	4218	86	80

Table 18 Details Regarding House Affected .

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs Whose House affected		No. of PAFs Whose House affected	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but affected is less than reported in ATR	but affected lis more than reported in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	27	27	1	1
Barwani	Barwani	1500	1639	2	175
	Thikri	583	625	3	48
	(subtotal)	2083	2264	5	223
Dhar	Manawar	273	317	1	49
	Kukshi	1465	1565	2	136
	Dharampuri	918	957	0	42
	(subtotal)	2656	2839	3	227
Khargone	Kasrawad	86	86	0	0
Grand Total		4852	5216	9	451

Table 19 : Details of other claimants listed in Sch. 2

District	Tehsil	No of claimants listed in Schedule 2	No. of Climants reporting				As per NSSO			
			loss of agri. Land	affected land>25%	Loss of house	Loss of other productive assests	Land found affected as per records	Approache d Claim rejected	GRA Claim Pending	Did not Approach GRA
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Jhabua	Alirajpur	137	35	33	137	1	32	3	51	83
Barwani	Barwani	1094	81	60	1091	13	58	76	109	909
	Thikri	1409	68	29	1399	73	54	17	74	1318
	(subtotal)	2503	149	89	2490	86	112	93	183	2227
Dhar	Manawar	1186	97	66	1156	153	78	16	437	733
	Kukshi	2218	344	264	2192	53	289	24	374	1820
	Dharampuri	430	6	6	428	32	6	1	185	244
	(subtotal)	3834	447	336	3776	238	373	41	996	2797
Khargone	Kasrawad	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
Grand Total		6485	631	458	6414	325	517	137	1230	5118

Note: Entry in Col2=Entry in Col12

code 1 in col. 12(b) khasra numbers found affected as per records

Status of approach to GRA Codes:

Code 1: Approached GRA and claim rejected

Code 2: Approached GRA and claim still pending with GRA

Code 3: Did not approach GRA

Table 1: Number of Villages and PAFs by Tehsil as per ATR-2

District	Tehsil	No. of Villages Surveyed		Total Number of PAFs	
		Total	With '0' PAF*	Featuring in ATR	Contacted by Surveyed
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	22	22	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	40	21	882	801
	Thikri	27	8	1641	1584
	(subtotal)	67	29	2523	2385
Dhar	Manawar	22	6	813	770
	Kukshi	37	21	1932	1813
	Dharampuri	19	16	39	38
	(subtotal)	78	43	2784	2621
Khargone	Kasrawad	10	10	0	0
Grand Total		177	104	5307	5006

**Table 2: Number of PAFs losing Agri.
Land, house and other productive assets**

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAF's for whom			
		Agri. Land affected	Affected land >25%	House Affected	Other productive assets affected
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	1	0	519	677
	Thikri	32	3	665	1288
	(subtotal)	33	3	1184	1965
Dhar	Manawar	8	1	523	459
	Kukshi	11	2	1027	1412
	Dharampuri	0	0	18	38
	(subtotal)	19	3	1568	1909
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		52	6	2752	3874

Note: Col.6= No. of PAF's with positive entry in Col 20 of Sch 1.

Table 4: Distribution of PAFs by reason for settling on SRP

District	Tehsil	Disagreed to take agri. Land	No. of PAFs				% Distribution for SRP codes (0.0)			
			Those by SRP Code in Col 25(b)				Reason Code			
			1	2	3	9	1	2	3	9
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Barwani	Barwani	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Thikri	0	0	0	0	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	(subtotal)	0	0	0	0	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Dhar	Manawar	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Kukshi	0	0	2	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(subtotal)	0	0	2	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Grand Total		0	0	2	0	3	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0

Note: Col8= (Col 4/Col 3)*100, Col 9= (Col 5/Col 3)*100, Col 10= (Col 5/Col 3)*100

SRP (How did you settle on SRP) Codes:

code 1: Package found attractive

code 2: Through GRA

code 3: Followed relatives/ others

code 9: Others (Specify)->

Table 6: Percentage of PAFs reporting entry of Flood Water during the last three years

Disrict	Tehsil	Total	Number of PAFs contacted those reported entering of flood water	
			Number (code 1 in Col. 44)	Percentage (0.0)
1	2	3	4	5
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0.0
Barwani	Barwani	801	0	0.0
	Thikri	1584	4	0.3
	(subtotal)	2385	4	0.2
Dhar	Manawar	770	0	0.0
	Kukshi	1813	0	0.0
	Dharampuri	38	0	0.0
	(subtotal)	2621	0	0.0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0.0
Grand Total		5006	4	0.1

Note: Col 5= (Col 4/ Col 3)*100

Table 7 Details Regarding Land Alloted in Hects.

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who were Alloted land		Resp. not aware	No. of PAFs who were Alloted land	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO		but were alloted is less than reported in ATR	but were alloted is more than reported in ATR
1	2	3	4		5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	0	0	0	0	0
	Thikri	0	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	0	0	0	0	0
Dhar	Manawar	0	0	0	0	0
	Kukshi	2	2	0	0	0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	2	2	0	0	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		2	2	0	0	0

Table 8: Details Regarding Receipt of SRP Instalments

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received First Instalment		No. of PAFs who received First Instalment	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less amount than repoted in ATR	but received more amount than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	0	0	0	0
	Thikri	7	7	1	0
	(subtotal)	7	7	1	0
Dhar	Manawar	0	0	0	0
	kukshi	0	0	0	0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	0	0	0	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		7	7	1	0

Table 9: Details Regarding Receipt of SRP Instalments

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received second Instalment		No. of PAFs who received second Instalment	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less amount than repoted in ATR	but received more amount than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	0	0	0	0
	Thikri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	0	0	0	0
Dhar	Manawar	1	1	0	0
	kukshi	0	0	0	0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	1	1	0	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		1	1	0	0

Table11 Details Regarding cash payment in lieu of Residential plot

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received cash payment		No. of PAFs who received cash payment	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	6	22	0	0
	Thikri	5	207	0	0
	(subtotal)	11	229	0	0
Dhar	Manawar	5	132	0	0
	kukshi	10	12	0	0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	15	144	0	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		26	373	0	0

Table13 Details Regarding Grant for Resettlement

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received Grant		No. of PAFs who received Grant	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	616	746	38	1
	Thikri	1396	1529	411	2
	(subtotal)	2012	2275	449	3
Dhar	Manawar	11	80	0	0
	kukshi	120	122	2	2
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	131	202	2	2
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		2143	2477	451	5

Table14 Details Regarding Grant for other Assets

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received Grant for other		No. of PAFs who received Grant for other Assets	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	251	475	5	60
	Thikri	0	64	0	0
	(subtotal)	251	539	5	60
Dhar	Manawar	0	46	0	0
	kukshi	2	2	0	0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	2	48	0	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		253	587	5	60

Table15 Details Regarding Transport Grant 5000/-

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who received Grant		No. of PAFs who received Grant	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but received less than repoted in ATR	but received more than repoted in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	169	291	1	1
	Thikri	0	23	0	0
	(subtotal)	169	314	1	1
Dhar	Manawar	2	32	0	0
	kukshi	1	1	0	0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	3	33	0	0
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		172	347	1	1

Table 17 Details Regarding Land Affected in Hects.

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs whose Affected land		No. of PAFs whose Affected land	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but affected land is less than reported in ATR	but affected land is more than reported in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	1	1	0	0
	Thikri	24	32	0	0
	(subtotal)	25	33	0	0
Dhar	Manawar	6	8	1	0
	kukshi	11	11	0	1
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	17	19	1	1
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		42	52	1	1

Table 18 Details Regarding House Affected .

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs Whose House affected		No. of PAFs Whose House affected	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but affected is less than reported in ATR	but affected is more than reported in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	505	519	2	21
	Thikri	623	665	7	46
	(subtotal)	1128	1184	9	67
Dhar	Manawar	521	523	1	3
	Kukshi	1014	1027	0	21
	Dharampuri	18	18	0	0
	(subtotal)	1553	1568	1	24
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		2681	2752	10	91

Table19 Details Regarding Land Possessed in Hects.

Disrict	Tehsil	No. of PAFs who possessed land		No. of PAFs who possessed land	
		As per ATR	As per NSSO	but possessed less than reported in ATR	but possessed more than reported in ATR
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jhabua	Alirajpur	0	0	0	0
Barwani	Barwani	9	22	0	1
	Thikri	173	216	13	8
	(subtotal)	182	238	13	9
Dhar	Manawar	58	75	5	3
	kukshi	11	11	0	0
	Dharampuri	0	0	0	0
	(subtotal)	69	86	5	3
Khargone	Kasrawad	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		251	324	18	12

कार्यालय भू अर्जन एवं पुनर्वास अधिकारी, मनावर जिला धार म.प्र.
सरदार सरोवर परियोजना की बांध उंचाई ई. एल. 110 मी. के बैकलाग प्रभावित परिवारों एवं ई.एल. 110.64 मी. से
121.92 मी. के मध्य प्रभावित एवं म.प्र. में पुनर्वासित परिवारों का कार्यकृति प्रतिवेदन –दिसंबर 2005

जिला – धार तहसील – मनावर ग्राम – पेरखड़

अ.क्र.	विस्थापित का नाम, पिता के नाम सहित	अनु.जाति /अनु.जन. /अन्य	आयु	स्वयं/ व्यस्क पुत्र	श्रेणी	प्रभावित संपत्ति का विवरण			भू-अर्जन अवार्ड राशि		पुनर्वास हेतु राज्य का विकल्प	मुआवजा भुगतान (रूपये)			
						भूमि		मकान	कृषि भूमि (रूपये में)	मकान (रूपये में)		भूमि का मुआवजा		मकान का मुआवजा	
						धारित भूमि हेक्टर में	प्रभावित भूमि हेक्टर में					प्रतिशत	50 प्रतिशत		भूमि के बदले पूर्ण राशि
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16

कार्यालय भू अर्जन एवं पुनर्वास अधिकारी, मनावर जिला धार म.प्र.
सरदार सरोवर परियोजना की बांध उंचाई ई. एल. 110 मी. के बैकलाग प्रभावित परिवारों एवं ई.एल. 110.64 मी. से
121.92 मी. के मध्य प्रभावित एवं म.प्र. में पुर्नवासित परिवारों का कार्यकृति प्रतिवेदन –दिसंबर 2005

जिला – धार तहसील – मनावर ग्राम – पेरखड़

पुनर्वास की पात्रता				शासन द्वारा आवंटित भूमि							शासन द्वारा आवंटित				
भूमि (हेक्टर में)	आवासीय भूखण्ड	पुनर्वास अनुदान (रु. 18700/- या रु. 9350/-)	उत्पादक परिसंपत्ति हेतु अनुदान (रु. 49300/- या रु. 33150/-)	ग्राम का नाम	खसरा नं	क्षेत्रफल (हेक्टर में)	आवंटित भूमि के मूल्य एवं अर्जित भूमि की मुआवजा राशि के अंतर की राशि (ऋण/ अनुदान)	आवंटित भूमि लेने पर विस्थापन की प्रतिक्रिया सहमत/ असहमत	असहमती का कारण	एस.आर.पी. से किस प्रकार का समझौता / सैटलमेंट हुआ	एस.आर.पी. भुगतान की राशि				
											पात्रता/ राशि	भुगतान प्रथम		भुगतान द्वितीय	
											राशि रुपये	दिनांक	राशि रुपये	दिनांक	
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	25 a	25 b	26	27	28	29	30

कार्यालय भू अर्जन एवं पुनर्वास अधिकारी, मनावर जिला धार म.प्र.
सरदार सरोवर परियोजना की बांध उंचाई ई. एल. 110 मी. के बैकलाग प्रभावित परिवारों एवं ई.एल. 110.64 मी. से
121.92 मी. के मध्य प्रभावित एवं म.प्र. में पुर्नवासित परिवारों का कार्यकृति प्रतिवेदन –दिसंबर 2005

जिला – धार तहसील – मनावर ग्राम – पेरखड़

अ.क्र.	विस्थापित का नाम, पिता के नाम सहित	अनु.जाति /अनु.जन. /अन्य	आयु	स्वयं/ व्यस्क पुत्र	श्रेणी	बाँध की उंचाई	प्रभावित संपत्ति का विवरण			भू-अर्जन अवार्ड राशि		पुनर्वास हेतु राज्य का विकल्प	
							भूमि		मकान	कृषि भूमि (रूपये में)	मकान (रूपये में)		
							धारित भूमि हेक्टर में	प्रभावित भूमि हेक्टर में					प्रतिशत
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14

कार्यालय भू अर्जन एवं पुनर्वास अधिकारी, मनावर जिला धार म.प्र.
सरदार सरोवर परियोजना की बांध उंचाई ई. एल. 110 मी. के बैकलाग प्रभावित परिवारों एवं ई.एल. 110.64 मी. से
121.92 मी. के मध्य प्रभावित एवं म.प्र. में पुर्नवासित परिवारों का कार्यकृति प्रतिवेदन –दिसंबर 2005

जिला – धार तहसील – मनावर ग्राम – पेरखड़

पुनर्वास हेतु मुआवजा भुगतान (रूपये)			पुनर्वास की पात्रता					शासन द्वारा आवंटित भूमि						
भूमि का मुआवजा			मकान का मुआवजा	भूमि (हेक्टर में)	आवासीय भूखण्ड	पुनर्वास अनुदान (रु.18700 या रु. 9350)	मुआवजा परिसंपत्ति हेतु अनुदान (रु.49300 या रु.33150)	मुआवजा राशि एवं आबंटित भूमि के मूल्य के अंतर की राशि (ऋण/ अनु)	ग्राम का नाम	खसरा नं.	असहमती का कारण	एस.आर.पी. से किस प्रकार का समझौता / सैटलमेंट हुआ	क्षेत्रफल (हेक्टर में)	मुआवजा राशि एवं आबंटित भूमि के मूल्य के अंतर की राशि (ऋण/ अनु)
50 प्रतिशत	भूमि के बदले पूर्ण राशि	बैंक में जमा या गुजरात को देय												
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	25 a	25 b	26	27

कार्यालय भू अर्जन एवं पुनर्वास अधिकारी, मनावर जिला धार म.प्र.
सरदार सरोवर परियोजना की बांध उंचाई ई. एल. 110 मी. के बैकलाग प्रभावित परिवारों एवं ई.एल. 110.64 मी. से
121.92 मी. के मध्य प्रभावित एवं म.प्र. में पुनर्वासित परिवारों का कार्यकृति प्रतिवेदन –दिसंबर 2005

जिला – धार तहसील – मनावर ग्राम – पेरखड़

पुनर्वास हेतु दी गई सुविधाएँ										अतिरिक्त	उदारीकृत	पुनर्वास	N.W.D.T	रिमार्क
विस्थापित द्वारा कय भूमि				आवासिय भूखण्ड 60 बाय 90		पुनर्वास अनुदान (रु. 18700 या रु.9350)	उत्पादक परिसंपत्ति हेतु अनुदान (रु. 49300 या रु. 33150)	परिवहन शासन द्वारा या अनुदान रु. 5000	दिये गये अन्य लाभ की राशि यदि कोई हो तो	पात्रता राशि	पेकेज भुगतान प्रथम किश्त	भुगतान द्वितीय किश्त	. अवार्ड की कंडिका IV(6)ii के अंतर्गत जारी सूचना पत्र का क्र. एवं दिनांक	
ग्राम का नाम	खसरा नं.	क्षेत्रफल हे. में	पुनर्बसाहट सील का नाम	भूखण्ड क्रमांक	भूखण्ड के बदले नगद राशि									
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42

A P P E N D I X - I I

Appendix - II

Oversight Group of Sardar Sarovar Project

**FIELD VERIFICATION REPORT
OF 86 R&R SITES OF
SARDAR SAROVAR PROJECT
IN MADHYA PRADESH
(FOR PAFs UP TO E.L 121.92 m)**

**New Delhi
June 2006**

CONTENTS

SI No	Item	Page No
1	Introduction	1
2	Sites and Plots	2
3	Under-developed or Incomplete Sites	4
4	Sites where no allotment has been made	4
5	Plots	6
6	General Deficiencies/Defects	6
7	Shifting of PAFs to R&R Sites	7
8	Approach Roads	9
9	Inner Roads	9
10	Electrification	9
11	Water Supply	10
12	Buildings	10
	Primary Schools	10
	Middle Schools	11
13	Anganwadis	11
14	Panchayat Bhavans	11
15	Dispensaries	12
16	Seed Stores	12
17	Children Park	12
18	Tree Platforms	13
19	Religious Place of Worship	13
20	Extra Facilities by M.P. Government	13
21	Sanitation	13
22	Conclusions	13
Annexures:		
I.	Constitution of Field Teams	16-18
II.		Blank
III.	Plots	19-23
IV.	Water Supply System	24-28
V.	Buildings	29-33

Introduction

Any big 'development' project, of the size of Sardar Sarovar Project, involves displacement, resettlement and rehabilitation (R&R) of a large number of families who are directly and indirectly affected by it. The process of rehabilitation and resettlement has to contend with both natural and human challenges. This process is seldom smooth and steady. Hence, ensuring perfect satisfaction of the affected sections becomes very difficult, if not impossible. The dynamics between the Governments and the Project Affected Families (PAFs) involves several steps, such as intervention (legal/administrative, civil society), mediation (in terms of reaching a balance between the conflicting parties), reconciliation (in terms of meeting out justice) and implementation of the provisions made under the concerned award. Implementation in itself is a claim that cannot be free of disputes. Very often, claims on implementation lead to deep contestations. Ultimately, these contestations can be resolved only through a verification of R&R claims that Government or any other implementing agency makes under the project.

The constitution and working of the Sardar Sarovar Resettlement and Rehabilitation Oversight Group (OSG), by the Prime Minister, under the chairmanship of Mr. V.K.Shunglu, needs to be seen in the context of verification of the whole range of R&R claims made by Madhya Pradesh Government, including those submitted before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Apart from initiating many other steps towards the verification of the R&R claims, the OSG constituted six teams to visit each one of the 86 sites located in four districts of Madhya Pradesh, each team covering, on an average, a total of 14 sites, and report on the progress of the development of these sites. The constitution of the teams and the sites assigned to them for verification are given at Annexure-I.

The mandate of these teams was to verify whether the situation/ground realities in respect of the 86 sites, mentioned in the "Action Taken Report" of the Madhya Pradesh Government, are indeed in accordance with the NWDT Award. The NWDT Award expected from the Madhya Pradesh Government, compliance on the following facilities related to R&R: planning, development, levelling of sites, demarcation of plots; allotment of plots to oustees. The Award also required compliance on the provision of infrastructures at the R&R sites. These included: one primary school (3 rooms) for 100 PAFs; one panchayat

bhawan for 500 PAFs; one dispensary for every 500 PAFs; one seed store for every 500 PAFs; one children park for every 500 PAFs; one village pond for every 500 PAFs; one drinking water well with trough for every 50 PAFs; one tree platform for every 50 PAFs; facilities of approach road and internal road; one house plot (60' x 90' size for rural and 40' x 60' for urban area); provision of 30% additional land area for roads, government buildings, open space; one religious place of worship for every 100 PAFs and electrification with street lights on the site. Verification of the provision of the above facilities at the R&R sites was the main mandate of the teams.

Apart from this mandate, the teams also verified the following facilities claimed to have been offered by the Madhya Pradesh Government. These included: cow shed (Goshala), post office, khalian (place for thrashing crops), middle school, sanitation and levelling, police station, cremation ground, culverts and cisterns (tank for storing water/ water reservoir).

Beginning on June 1, 2006, the six teams visited all the eighty six R&R sites and took stock of the ground realities and the state of progress in respect of each R&R item mandated under the NWDT. Individual reports of the six teams, based on physical verification, were prepared. In what follows, is the overall picture about the existence, availability, functioning and functionality of the mandated R&R facilities that emerges on the basis of all the six reports together.

Sites and Plots

As per the NWDT award, allotment of house plot to each oustee family measuring 18.29 x 27.43 metre (60'x 90'), including one to each major son, is to be made free of cost. The R&R Policy of the Madhya Pradesh Government mentions, inter alia, that

- (a) developed residential plots will be given to the oustee families and their major sons/unmarried daughters, measuring 502 sqm (60' x 90') and 222.95 sqm (40' x 60'), respectively, for rural and urban area coming under submergence.
- (b) cash compensation, to the tune of Rs. 50,000/-, in lieu of house plots.

Madhya Pradesh Government located 86 sites and according to Narbada Valley Development Authority (NVDA) report, their status roughly around May 15, 2006, was as under:

Sl. No.	District	No. of R&R sites	R&R sites developed	R&R sites under Development	House plots status Plots Developed	Plots Allotted
1	Jhabua	2	2	0	119	65
2	Dhar	41	35	06	15367	8874
3	Barwani	39	38	1	7921	5896
4	Khargone	4	4	0	472	112
Total		86	79	7	23879	14947

The land details, for the development of the R&R sites, are as under:
(in Hectares)

	Government land	Private Land	Total
1 Jhabua	63.55	5.55	69.10
2 Dhar	817.59	1006.03	1823.62
3 Barwani	479.84	612.07	1091.91
4 Khargone	49.00	12.57	61.57
Total	1409.98	1636.22	3046.20

The inspection of the 86 R&R sites by the six teams shows the following position, in summary form, as far as the number of plots planned/proposed, plots developed, plots allotted to PAFs, condition of the developed plots, houses constructed/under construction, the actual number of PAFs shifted so far, etc:

(1)	No. of plots planned	29668
(2)	No. of plots developed	22158
(3)	Plots allotted to PAFs	14422
(4)	No. of houses constructed/under construction	1451
(5)	No. of PAFs shifted	757

There are thus some differences between what NVDA reports in its ATR on R&R sites and what the inspection teams discovered on the spot. For example, the number of plots claimed to have been developed in the Action Taken Report, most noticeably in R&R

site named Barda, in District Barwani, was more than the number of plots actually available on the site. If the “so-called” developed plots, which were in a bad shape and considered unfit for allotment, are not counted, the number available on the spot would go further down. The minor difference in the number of allotted plots could be for the reason that some allottees have not accepted the allotment and/or surrendered these plots for cash compensation.

Under-developed or Incomplete Sites

Out of the 86 sites, the following seven sites showed varying degree of incomplete development since work was still in progress on the day of inspection.

SI No	Site	Tehsil	Plots Allotted
1	Khujawa	Dharmapuri	279
2	Dharmapuri	Dharmapuri	1046
3	Nimbola	Dharmapuri	116
4	Khalbuzurg	Dharmapuri	131
5	Morghadi	Dharmapuri	88
6	Khalkhurd	Dharmapuri	30
7	Awalda	Barwani	57

Nevertheless, allotment of plots to PAFs has been made on these sites as well.

Sites where no Allotment has been made

- (a) Out of the 86 sites, no allotment has been made to PAFs at 8 sites (namely, Eklera Buzurg, Guleti, Pipaldagarhi, Musapura, Kesur, Dagadpura, Bhavti-II). According to NVDA authorities, these sites are being treated as reserved sites and will be utilized when the dam height is raised beyond 121.92 m. The plots at Bhilsur could not be allotted due to a case of litigation. The present allotments have been made to the families affected upto EL 121.92 m.
- (b) According to NVDA officials, 3 sites (Eklera Buzurg, Guleti and Pipaldagarhi,) are being treated as reserved sites for future allotments. Nonetheless, it is pertinent to note that at these three sites, claimed to have been developed as far back as 1997, approach and inner roads are bad, proper demarcation

has not been done so far, buildings constructed are also not in good shape, and so on. People who were offered plots at these sites are reported to have expressed unwillingness to accept the same and demanded allotment of plots at other sites. Their request was accepted and they have been allotted plots in Nimbola and Khujawa. The status of allotment at these reserved sites is as under:

Sl. No.	Site	Plots Proposed	Plots Developed	Plots Allotted	PAFs Shifted	Overall Condition of the Site
1	Eklera Buzurg	105	45	Nil	Nil	Below average
2	Guleti	24	24	Nil	Nil	-do-
3	Pipaldagarhi,	113	43	Nil	Nil	-do-

(c) Two sites, Musapura and Kesur are located in Dhar Tehsil. According to Joint Collector of Dhar, "These sites were developed in 1999-2000 for PAFs of Man river dam in Jhabua District. As PAFs of Narmada are about 100 to 150 km from here, they are not likely to come here.

Sl. No.	Site	Plots Planned	Plots Developed	Plots Allotted	PAFs Shifted	Overall Condition of the Site
1	Musapura	120	100	Nil	Nil	Below average
2	Kesur	182	182	Nil	Nil	-do-

(d) The other sites where allotment is nil are as under:

Sl. No.	Village	Plots Proposed	Plots Developed	Plots Allotted	PAFs Shifted	Overall Condition of the Site
1	Dagadpura (Dist. Dhar)	28	13	Nil	Nil	Average
2	Bhilsur	52	23	Nil	Nil	Good
3	Bhavti-II	29	29	Nil	Nil	Below average

At Dagadpura, 4 plots were allotted but the PAFs surrendered them for change to other sites or got cash compensation.

Plots (Annexure III)

- (a) It will be seen that number of plots planned (29668) and plots developed (22158) is much larger than the number of plots actually allotted to PAFs (14422). It was informed that these additional plots will be utilized for PAFs who need to be rehabilitated when dam height is increased beyond 121.92m. The additional developed plots are also being used for exchange if a PAF is not happy with present allotment because of some defects in the plots or because of their inconvenient location.
- (b) Developing an overall view of the condition of the plots demarcated and allotted, as also about the provision of the associated infrastructural facilities, the 86 R&R sites, through common consensus of the six inspection teams, can be put into three categories, namely good, average and poor. The team-wise position is set out as under:

Category	Team-I	Team-II	Team-III	Team-IV	Team-V	Team-VI	Total
Good	5	8	7	8	1	8	37
Average	3	4	5	4	6	3	25
Below average/ poor	5	2	4	3	7	3	24

It is thus evident that no fewer than 24 out of the 86 R&R sites reflect poor quality of development, in the assessment of the inspection teams. As a matter of fact, the sites at Barda and Datwada are adjudged to be very poor and the one at Lohara as unfit for rehabilitation

General Deficiencies/Defects:

The inspection teams noticed a diverse variety of general deficiencies and defects, ostensibly associated with various stages of the R&R development. A few glaring examples are:

- (a) Plots are not levelled, are on slope and/or rocky, have boulders and pits, are on soft black soil, are under encroachment, under cultivation, the owners are still occupying the acquired plots, culverts were opening into the plots, plots are at a much lower level than the level of the inner road, are likely to be water logged in rains.

The teams were informed that if there are complaints of major defects about an allotted plot from the allottee, the plot allotted is cancelled and new allotment is made. It was claimed that such re-allotments are possible since the number of developed plots at most of the sites is more than the number of allotted plots.

- (b) There is a significant contrast in the quality of land made available by Madhya Pradesh Government and the private land acquired for these sites. In the case of government land, it is mostly rocky, slopy and barren. The private lands acquired were mostly agricultural lands under cultivation. As such, the plots developed on private agricultural lands are comparatively evenly levelled and better in comparison to the plots developed on government land.
- (c) It was noticed that very little or no funds have been provided for leveling of plots, removal of boulders and filling up of deep pits.
- (d) At many places, inner roads have been constructed by lifting clay from the adjoining plots, which has rendered some of the allotted plots being at levels lower than the level of the inner roads.
- (e) In a few cases, the culverts are opening into the plots and make these plots unfit for house-building as it will result in water logging. The problem is ostensibly because of faulty planning of culverts at a few sites.
- (f) There is no drainage system for rainwater or drains for water from the houses at most of the sites.
- (g) At Ekalwara, 50 plots are under lemon tree garden and have not yet been vacated by the owner because of controversy of compensation.
- (h) At some sites, black soil porous land has been acquired and plots developed on it. For example, in Barda, 60 per cent of plots are on black soil. Similarly at Perkhad, 138 plots are located on (cultivated) soft black soil. It becomes deeply muddy in rainy season and tends to collapse into pits. Construction of houses at such plots would require deep foundation and pillars. PAFs have serious reservations about construction of houses in such plots. It was pointed out by the NVDA officials that allottees of such plots are being given alternate plots in case they make such a demand.
- (i) At some sites, the inspection teams also discovered encroachments. At Tawalai Khurd, 5 brick kilns are operating and they use earth from the same site for making bricks.
- (j) At some sites, most notably Gangli, Ekalwara, Ratwa, a few plots are still under cultivation. The cultivators have however, assured, that they would vacate as and when required.

Shifting of PAFs to R&R sites

At a large number of sites, the shifting of PAFs to the sites is either nil or negligible.

The table below provides an account of the shifting of PAFs to the RR sites:

Sl.No.	Details	
1	No. of sites where no family (PAFs) has shifted.	56
2.	No. of sites where the number of families shifted are <10	10
3	No. of sites where the number of families shifted are between 10 and 50	16
4	No. of sites where the number of families shifted are > 50	4

The pace of construction of houses at new sites is equally poor. For example, the total number of plots allotted on the sites is 14391 whereas the number of houses constructed/under construction by PAFs is 1481.

The main reasons, as reported to the teams, for the poor and slow pace of construction and shifting are :

- 1) The PAFs will shift when the water level rises. There is no immediate threat or apprehension in this regard. In most of the cases, it will be partial submergence or a temporary submergence where water may come for a very short period and they move temporarily to slightly higher elevation.
- 2) There is a feeling that compensation may further increase for those who are yet to shift.
- 3) They want to shift together / en-block.
- 4) Their present place of work is far off from the R&R site.
- 5) They want group-wise / caste-wise allotments
- 6) They want better water facilities like water for construction, for animals, water from Narmada to be taken by pipes.
- 7) They want plots to be improved like filling up of low lying plots, better leveling on slopes, removal of stones, no plots on soft black soil, better drainage of rain water, and so on.

The site at Umda (District Jhabua) was originally a site for the oustees of Jobat Project. As it was lying unused, it has been transferred to NVDA. The R&R site at Khajuri (Dist. Jhabua) was developed as a result of the orders of the Court on the petition from the residents of Jalsindhi. Earlier it was an extension farm of the Seed Corporation of India. Even though some allotments have been made, there has been no construction or shifting

at these sites. Both these sites may remain as sites to be used for completing the process of cash compensation to the PAFs who are choosing to shift to other places.

SI.No.		Plots planned	Plots developed	Plots allotted	Houses constructed	PAFs shifted
1	Khajuri	52	52	47	Nil	Nil
2	Umda	100	61	59	Nil	Nil

Approach Roads

Most of the approach roads to the sites visited, are in good condition as these are constructed with coal-tarred on the top. Only a handful of sites, such as Dehar, Kikarwas, Dharamrai, Kadmal etc., are not well connected with the main road. In such cases, approach roads need to be properly constructed/metalled.

Inner Roads

Most of the sites have inner roads of WBM specification. In the case of a few sites such as Nalwai, Gawla II, Navdakhedi, Chichli, inner roads have not been constructed. In many cases, inner roads need proper maintenance. At many sites no space has been provided between the edges of the internal roads and plots. At many places, inner roads lack proper compaction. At many sites inner roads have been constructed without a provision for proper drainage alongside the road. In some cases such as Ekalwara, Dharamrai, Gehalgaon, Tawlai Khurd, Dagadpura, Kathora etc., the roads are either incomplete, poorly maintained, or in a state of neglect.

It was found that culverts have been provided at most of the sites, with a notable exception of Barda (Thikri). However, at almost all the sites, drains along-side the inner roads have not been provided. There is no drainage system for the flow of rainwater or water from the houses. In a few cases where such drains are provided, the same are blocked by soil in many cases. On the whole, provisions for drainage, wherever available, need proper maintenance and a lot needs to be done at almost all the sites.

Electrification

In almost all the sites the basic infrastructure, in terms of transformers, electricity poles and wiring etc., has been provided for. The supply of electricity is currently available at many sites. The other sites will be connected with power as and when the occupants of plots at the RR sites apply for electricity connections.

In a few sites, it was found that some of the electricity poles had not been properly fixed. This was evident from their being tilted or having almost fallen due to heavy winds or storms. At some sites, where PAFs have already shifted, street lighting has been provided by hanging bulbs from the electricity poles. On the whole, the infrastructure for the electrification of the RR sites is satisfactory.

Water Supply (Annexure IV)

The NWDT Award stipulates the provision of one drinking water well with trough for every 50 PAFs, and one village pond for every 500 PAFs. The following provisions have been made on the R&R sites:

- **Hand pumps:** 85 out of the 86 sites have 467 Hand Pumps; 52 of these hand pumps have no water.
- **Power Pumps:** 49 out of the 86 sites have 55 power pumps; 7 of these pumps are non-functional.
- **Cisterns:** 79 sites have 231 cisterns (average 3 cisterns per site); 39 of these are non-functional.
- **Overhead Tanks:** 19 sites out of the 86 sites have 18 overhead tanks and two are under construction. Most of these are non-functional.
- **Troughs:** Only 11 sites have 21 troughs; almost all of them are non-functional either due to lack of habitation or water supply. It was reported that the PAFs are unlikely to move to the R&R sites with animals unless adequate water supply/troughs for animals are ensured.
- **Ponds:** There is no pond on any of the 86 sites; there is no plan to provide these in future either.
- There are two sites, Sondul and Mohipura, which have an old pond each. However, at Sondul, plots have been demarcated using the pond land. With the construction of inner roads, inflow of rain water has been blocked in case of the Mohipura pond.

Buildings (Annexure V)

Primary Schools

A total of 104 buildings have been provided for primary schools in the R&R sites. At six sites, no building for the school exists since schools are functioning in the neighbouring villages. Of the 86 sites, 15 sites have got buildings for two schools each, while 2 sites have buildings for 3 schools each one site has 4 and Nisarapur I to III have 7 buildings. In almost all the schools constructed prior to 2000-01, independent access to the class rooms is not available (access to two of the class rooms is through the third class room).

Most of the school buildings constructed during the years 1998-2004, are non-functional and need repairs in varying form and degree. The electrical fittings in the school buildings are either damaged or stolen for lack of security system. Toilet facilities attached to schools are not properly maintained. Some of the hand pumps, wherever provided, are non-functional. Again, although most school buildings are cordoned with fencing of barbed wire, yet the same was found missing in several cases.

Practically, none of the schools has been provided with any furniture. The Committees were informed that maintenance can be achieved only when a good number of PAFs actually shift to the R&R sites and Education Department starts running these schools by posting teachers etc.

Most of the open areas provided within the boundaries of schools require levelling.

Middle Schools.

A total number of 19 buildings for middle schools have been constructed on R&R sites. Of these, only 2 schools are functional. The condition of buildings of non-functional Middle Schools is not any different from that condition of Primary Schools as mentioned above.

Anganwadis

In 9 sites, Anganwadis have been constructed as an additional facility. These are located in the vicinity of the Primary Schools with a view to coordinate the implementation of mid-day meal scheme. Only one of these is functioning at Eklera. For proper utilisation of the remaining buildings, immediate repairs and proper maintenance are required.

Panchayat Bhawans

Panchayat Bhawans have been constructed at 65 sites (where around 500 families are expected to shift). However, except for three, none of the Panchayat Bhawans are functional for lack of adequate number of families on the R&R sites. Certain sites have not been provided with Panchayat Bhawans for the reason that these sites are very close to the villages which already have a Panchayat Bhawan.

Most of the buildings need repairs and maintenance. Electrical fittings in many of Bhawans are damaged or stolen. The ventilators in these buildings have not been provided with rain protection facilities with the result that inside walls are getting damaged. In most cases, the toilets attached to the Panchayat Bhawans are not properly maintained. In a few cases, the doors and windows have been broken which require repairs.

The Bhawans have not been provided with furniture and fittings. However, in one case (Chandankhedhi), where Panchayat Bhawan is functional, the Sarpanch and residents expressed their satisfaction with the size, design and facilities available.

Dispensaries

At 59 sites, buildings for dispensaries have been provided for, as per norms of the NWDT award. However, these are not functional (except at Chichli) due to the fact that health services will be required only when the sites are inhabited by a sufficient number of residents. Sanction of posts of medical staff for these dispensaries is needed for making them functional, if and when required in the near future.

The dispensaries have not been provided with dispensing table and wash basins. The required furniture has not been provided in almost all the dispensaries. Some of the buildings required repairs and maintenance. In a few R&R sites planned for more than 500 families, no dispensary has been provided which requires immediate attention.

The dispensary at Chichli has been provided with medicines and it is functioning to the satisfaction of the user PAFs. The site at Nisarpur-I has been provided with a building for thirty bedded hospital with necessary infrastructure and facilities for its functioning. It can start functioning when the posts of medical staff for the hospital are sanctioned and PAFs shift to the site. However, this hospital building cannot be regarded as a substitute for the seven dispensaries required to be provided for Nisarpur I, II & III as per the norms of NWDT Award.

Seed Stores

The buildings for seed stores, as stipulated in NWDT Award, have been constructed at 55 sites. Most of these buildings require repair and maintenance for making them functional. Ventilators have not been provided with proper rain protection. This can lead to seeds getting damaged during rains. Many of the seed stores are either not fitted with electric installations or electrical fittings have been damaged/stolen. During the course of verification, it was found that none of the seed stores is functioning.

Children Parks

No separate provision has been made for a Children Park at any of the 86 R&R sites. The inspection teams were informed that such parks would be developed in the 30 per cent open space available at the sites. No time frame is spelt out, however.

Tree Platforms

These have been provided at most of the sites.

Religious Places of Worship

Provision for religious places of worship has been made only at 2 sites; no such provision has been made at any of the remaining 84 sites. Like envisaged for the Children Parks, the 30 per cent open space available at the R&R sites, can accommodate all future demands for places of worship.

Extra Facilities Proposed by M.P. Government

No site has been provided with any of the following:

- a) Goshala
- b) Khalihan
- c) Police Station
- d) Cremation Ground
- e) Forage land demarcation and improvement.

Buildings for Post Office have been provided only at 8 sites but no post office is functioning at any of the sites.

It was stated that open space available at sites can be made use of, as and when the need arises for Goshala, Kalihan, Police Station and Post Office. Such construction will reduce the availability of open spaces at many of the site.

Sanitation

No sanitation facilities have been planned or provided for at any site except Dharampuri. A negligible proportion of the PAFs have built septic tanks in their allotted plots. Septic Tanks have been provided with bathrooms in Primary/Middle Schools and Panchayat Bhawans, but the pipes connecting these tanks to bath rooms are damaged in many cases.

Conclusions

The teams began their work with the objective to verify the location/condition of sites, condition of plots and whether the infrastructural and other facilities required for proper living have been provided. The specific mandate of the teams was to verify whether the situation/ground realities mentioned in ATR of Govt. of Madhya Pradesh in respect of the 86 sites are in accordance with the NWDT Award and other additional facilities announced by Madhya Pradesh government. Based on detailed field verifications, the teams have found the following:

- 1) Most of the sites are located either by the side of the main road/countryside road or close to it. These sites are connected to major towns in the tehsil/district under reference.
- 2) With a few exceptions, approach roads are available on most of the sites. Inner roads have also been constructed at most of the sites but these are not free of operational deficiencies, most glaring being poor maintenance and repairs, particularly of those constructed a few years ago.
- 3) The plots demarcated on the private land were already levelled. In many cases, the private land acquired for the R & R sites constitutes the bulk of plots. However, in about 20 sites, the land is black cotton soil which is porous and is usually not suitable for traditional house construction. Around 50 per cent of the plots demarcated on government land appeared to be in an underdeveloped condition. These plots are demarcated either on the rocky area or on slopes of hillocks and/or require substantial filling.

However, the number of developed plots being more than the number of plots allotted to PAFs (actually earmarked for allotment when the dam height goes beyond 121.92 EL), these additional plots are presently being used for exchange if a PAF is not happy with his present allotment.

While most of the plots are free from litigation and encroachment, yet these problems have arisen in some specific cases.

- 4) In respect of a few sites, it was alleged that the well-off among the PAFs were allotted better plots.
- 5) All the sites have been provided with electrical poles with wiring. The teams also found that the sites habited with families have been provided with street lights. In overall terms, the electrification of most of the sites is satisfactory. However, there is a need to ensure continuous supply of electricity.
- 6) Hand Pumps and Bore Well Pumps are the main source of drinking water. Almost on every site, most of the Hand Pumps were in working condition. The teams also observed that the water is potable. However, the water storage system at a majority of the sites was not satisfactory. Water pipe networks were yet to be laid in some places and those already installed at other places were not fully functional. There is a need to ensure steady supply of water.

7) The sites needs better drainage and sanitation system.

In overall terms, the inspection of the 86 R&R sites throws up a mixed picture. There are gaps between the R&R claims lodged by NVDA and those discovered, through spot inspection, by the verification teams. There are good, average and below average sites. The development work done by Govt. of Madhya Pradesh is of a mixed character, good at 37 sites, average at 25 sites and deficient elsewhere. Undoubtedly, the basic edifice for many infrastructural facilities and civic amenities does exist in respect of a majority of sites, yet, whether these facilities are affording a reasonable living support to the PAFs who have already started living at the assigned R&R sites, or would do so to those who may come in later, cannot be taken for granted. For example, in terms of institutional infrastructure, the teams found that most of the sites are provided with buildings for the school, dispensary, panchayat bhavan, seed storage; in a few cases, buildings/structures for anganwadis and veterinary dispensary also exist. However in almost all cases, these facilities are not functioning. These are just bare buildings without any provision of the staff and support structure for their functioning. Many of these buildings were constructed a few years ago and hence require repairs and proper maintenance. The absence of ponds and children parks is rather glaring.

In our opinion, the deficiencies at most of the sites can be removed by levelling/developing the uneven plots, proper maintenance and repair of the inner roads, proper maintenance of buildings already constructed, providing better and steady water supply system, providing proper drainage and sanitation and taking care of the other deficiencies pointed out in the report. The buildings constructed for schools, dispensaries, panchayat bhawans, etc., can be made functional only after renovation of the buildings is done to suit for the specific purposes, and provision of necessary furniture, other equipment, and staff requirements are taken care of.

Sd/-
(Dr. Hanif Lakadwala)

Sd/-
(Mr. I.P.Singh)

Sd/-
(Professor Satya P.Gautam)

Sd/-
(Professor Gopal Guru)

Sd/-
(Professor V.K.Tripathi)

Sd/-
(Mr. D.S.Sethi)

Constitution of Field teams and the sites verified

	Team Members Designation Shri	Representative of GOMP Shri
Team I	Dr. Hanif Lakadwala Y.K.Sharma, AE, CWC, LYD, New Delhi U. Dubey, Surveyor, CGWB, Bhopal.	B.P. Choudary, A.R.O., Dharampuri S.K. Sakunia L.A.O., Kukshi
	District / Tahsil	Sites Verified
	Dhar / Dharampuri	Sala Nimbola Khalkhurd Khalbuzurg Morghadi Balwada Eklera Buzurg Guleti Pipaldagarhi Khujawa Dharampuri Musapura Kesur
Team II	Shri. I.P.Singh, Ex- Dy. Comptroller General & Auditor General of India	
	Hari Singh, AE, CWC, Faridabad A . K. Modi, R.O., CWC Faridabad D.F. Verma, Lab Asst, CGWB, Bhopal	A.K.Modi R.O. Manawar
	District / Tahsil	Sites Verified
	Dhar / Manawar	Ganpur Sirsi Gangli Ekalwara Kavthi Achhoda Semalda Perkhad Urdana Sharikpura Mirzapur Ratwa Dagarpura Barda Tawlaikhura

Team III	Prof. S. P. Gautam	
	U. C. Tanta, AE, CWC, PI Circle Faridabad. Prem Kishore, Surveyor, CGWB, Bhopal	Maheshwari P.O., Kukshi.
	District / Tahsil	Sites Verified
	Dhar / Kukshi	Nisarpur-I Nisarpur-II Nisarpur-III Chgandankhedi Dehar Kikarwas Dharamrai Kadmal Gehalgaon Chikhalda Ganpur Banwaria Bhilsur Rekti
Team IV	Prof . Gopal Guru	
	R.P. Saxena, AE, CWC, CE Office, Bhopal R.K. Mishra, Surveyor, CGWB, Bhopal	R.K. Dave, LAO/R.O. Barwani V.S. Guha, R.O. Barwani
	District / Tahsil	Sites Verified
	Barwarni/ Barwani	Kasba Barwani-I Kasba Barwani-II Kasba Barwani-III Eklara, Morkatta Bijasan Amlali Bhavti-I Bhavti-II Bhamta Awalda Awalda Sondul Kalyanpura Jamada Sirsani

Team V	Prof. V.K. Tripathi	
	S.P. Chourasia, JE, CWC, PI Circle Faridabad T.R. Mathure, Surveyor, CGWB, Bhopal	V.S. Guha, R.O. Barwani R.S. Sharma, R.O. Thikri

District / Tahsil	Sites Verified
Barwani/ Barwani	Borlai-I Borlai-II Borlai-III Khedhi Barda Gulata Datwada Chakeri Mohipura Panya Mandwada Pichhola Kirmohi Lohara Takiyapura

Team VI	Shri D.S. Sethi, Dy. F.A (Air Force)	
	C.P. Gupta, JE, CWC, PI Circle Faridabad M.D. Datir, Surveyor, CGWB, Nagpur	A.R. Patidar L.A.O., Khargone

District / Tahsil	Sites Verified
Barwani/Thikri	Lakhangaon Raswa Chainpura Vishwanathkheda Brahmangaon Nalwai Gawla-I Gawla-II Chichili Navdakhedi Adalpura Kathora Balkhad Khalkhurd

Annexure - III

Plots

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Acquired land (in ha)			Plots Planned / proposed	Plots Developed	Plots allotted	Plots constd. / under Constn	No. of PAFs shifted	Condition
			Govt	Pvt	Total						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
TEAM I		District: Dhar									
1	Sala	Dharampuri	1.020	38.860	39.880	515	425	163	-	-	Good
2	Nombola	Dharampuri	7.560	27.390	34.950	375	352	116	-	-	Good
3	khalkhurd	Dharampuri	121.730	19.339	141.069	1219	292	267	-	-	Good
4	khal Bujurg	Dharampuri	Nil	61.216	61.216	805	300	131	-	-	Average
5	Morghadi	Dharampuri	8.230	48.500	56.730	565	200	88	-	-	Average
6	Balwada	Dharampuri	19.840	-	19.840	111	109	34	-	-	Poor
7	Eklera Buzurg	Dharampuri	16.997	-	16.997	105	45	-	-	-	Poor
8	Guleti	Dharampuri	4.325	-	4.325	24	24	-	-	-	Poor
9	Pipalda Garhi	Dharampuri	11.686	-	11.686	113	43	-	-	-	Poor
10	Khujawa	Dharampuri	-	39.413	39.413	520	301	279	8	8	Good
11	Dharampuri	Dharampuri	1.017	142.503	143.520	3956	3462	1546	-	-	Good
12	Musapura	Dhar	52.387	-	52.387	120	100	-	-	-	Poor
13	Kesur	Dhar	13.980	-	13.980	182	182	-	-	-	Average
Total						8610	5835	2624	8	8	
TEAM II		District: Dhar									
1	Ganpur Sirsi	Manawar	37.60	18.15	55.75	310	125	52	23	8	Good
2	Gangli	Manawar	3.20	7.67	10.87	121	104	98	11	7	Good
3	Ekalwara	Manawar	19.39	8.87	28.26	223	151	97	1	-	Average
4	Kavathi	Manawar	15.73	8.64	24.37	241	239	186	70	26	Good
5	Achhoda	Manawar	16.84	-	16.84	145	137	51	-	-	Average

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Acquired land (in ha)			Plots Planned / proposed	Plots Developed	Plots allotted	Plots constd. / under Constn	No. of PAFs shifted	Condition
			Govt	Pvt	Total						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
6	Semalda	Manawar	5.35	49.70	55.05	593	323	102	-	-	Good
7	Perkhad	Manawar	14.37	21.39	35.76	312	237	197	12	-	Average
8	Urdana	Manawar	12.34	23.35	35.69	302	205	74	1	-	Good
9	Sharikpura	Manawar	9.11	6.98	16.09	102	99	68	22	13	Good
10	Mirzapur	Manawar	16.66	5.58	22.24	203	204	71	12	4	Below Average
11	Ratwa	Manawar	-	9.43	9.43	106	77	52	-	-	Good
12	Dagadpura	Manawar	7.70	-	7.70	28	13	-	-	-	Average
13	Barda	Manawar	42.07	3.37	45.44	475	344	73	-	-	Good
14	Tawlaikhurd	Manawar	41.48	-	41.48	177	150	127	1	-	Poor
Total						3338	2408	1248	153	58	

20

TEAM III		District: Dhar									
1	Nisarpur-I	Kukshi	158.650	-	158.650	676	580	580	215	0	Good
2	Nisarpur-II	Kukshi	22.965	62.296	85.261	2641	890	890	55	0	Average
3	Nisarpur-III	Kukshi	0.000	182.189	182.189		1739	1000	0	0	Poor
4	Chandankhedi	Kukshi	7.693	6.152	13.845	112	112	104	38	38	Good
5	Dehar	Kukshi	19.394	-	19.394	93	80	80	0	0	Average
6	Kikarwas	Kukshi	24.170	-	24.170	181	146	145	0	0	Average
7	Dharamrai	Kukshi	33.246	6.415	39.661	430	250	247	0	0	Poor
8	Kadmal	Kukshi	17.170	-	17.170	197	173	173	0	0	Poor
9	Gehalgaon	Kukshi	13.108	28.992	42.100	395	392	377	12	0	Poor
10	Chikhalda	Kukshi	-	87.354	87.354	941	830	783	1	0	Average
11	Ganpur	Kukshi	0.304	79.546	79.850	898	283	283	14	0	Good

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Acquired land (in ha)			Plots Planned / proposed	Plots Developed	Plots allotted	Plots constd. / under Constn	No. of PAFs shifted	Condition
			Govt	Pvt	Total						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
12	Bhanwaria	Kukshi	1.499	12.703	14.202	367	193	163	2	2	Good
13	Bhilsur	Kukshi	9.336	-	9.336	52	23	0	0	0	Good
14	Rekti	Kukshi	9.410	-	9.410	66	63	41	0	0	Average
		District	Jabua								
15	Khajuri	Thandla	57.840	-	57.840	52	52	47	0	0	Good
16	Umda	Jobat	5.710	5.550	11.260	100	61	59	0	0	Good
	Total					7201	5867	4972	337	40	
	TEAM IV	District: Barwani									
1	Kasba										
	Barwani-I	Barwani	1.372	21.231	22.603	332	235	138	75	70	Good
2	Kasba										
	Barwani-II	Barwani	0.000	23.566	23.566	261	261	163	102	102	Good
3	Kasba										
	Barwani-III	Barwani	14.895	101.710	116.605	984	804	447	120	101	Good
4	Eklara	Barwani	11.170	3.719	14.889	142	142	136	63	63	Good
5	Kalyanpura	Barwani	0.000	7.873	7.873	67	67	59	2	2	Good
6	Jamda	Barwani	0.162	11.246	11.408	134	134	103	0	0	Good
7	Sirsani	Barwani	6.744	5.290	12.034	62	62	62	0	0	Average
8	Bijasan	Barwani	15.965	9.006	24.970	233	60	60	17	17	Average
9	Amlali	Barwani	4.330	11.396	15.726	54	37	37	0	0	Good
10	Bamta Awalda	Barwani	0.676	4.461	5.137	59	59	59	0	0	Good
11	Awalda	Barwani	15.010	23.000	38.010	447	59	59	0	0	Average
12	Bhavti-I	Barwani	20.950	7.115	28.065	192	187	187	8	8	Average (U/C)

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Acquired land (in ha)			Plots Planned / proposed	Plots Developed	Plots allotted	Plots constd. / under Constn	No. of PAFs shifted	Condition
			Govt	Pvt	Total						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	Bhavti-II	Barwani	3.177	0.000	3.177	29	29	29	0	0	Poor

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Acquired land (in ha)			Plots Planned / proposed	Plots Deve loped	Plots allott ed	Plots constd. / under Constn	No. of PAFs shifted	Condi- tion
			Govt	Pvt	Total						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
14	Sondul	Barwani	39.089	14.287	53.376	290	302	290	0	0	Poor
15	Morkatta	Barwani	14.823	20.228	35.051	112	68	68	0	0	Poor
Total						3398	2506	1897	387	363	
TEAM V		District: Barwani									
1	Borlai-II	Barwani	51.370	18.800	70.170	516	364 (-10)	333	80	25	Average
2	Borlai-III	Barwani	3.690	54.380	58.070	620	518	361	63	27	Good
3	Khedi	Barwani	23.510	50.900	74.410	730	730(-51)	573	100	40	Below Average
4	Borlai-I	Barwani	10.760	8.870	19.630	174	156	144	40	20	Average
5	Barda	Thikri	5.340	76.090	81.430	900	889 (-203)	334	0	0	Very Poor
6	Gulata	Thikri	2.460	2.540	5.000	48	48	35	32	32	Average
7	Datwada	Thikri	11.540	15.680	27.220	361	215	215	24	12	Very Poor
8	Chakeri	Thikri	24.140	0.000	24.140	143	120	106	46	26	Average
9	Mohipura	Thikri	28.260	0.000	28.260	312	240(-17)	215	75	25	Average
10	Panya	Thikri	0.000	18.340	18.340	220	215 (-10)	142	0	0	Below Average
11	Mandwada	Thikri	24.040	21.300	45.340	402	291(-5)	189	3	0	-do-
12	Pichhola										
	Kirmohi	Thikri	21.460	0.000	21.460	255	116	73	4	1	Average
13	Lokara	Thikri	11.390	0.000	11.390	127	127(-127)	72	0	0	Unfit
14	Takiapur	Thikri	20.720	2.480	23.200	175	160(-20)	84	38	15	Below Average
Total						4983	4189	2876	505	223	

* The plots are unfit and unsuitable as they do not exist / indrain/on srteep slope /on rocky

(-443)*

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Acquired land (in ha)			Plots Planned / proposed	Plots Developed	Plots allotted	Plots constd. / under Constn	No. of PAFs shifted	Condition
			Govt	Pvt	Total						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
TEAM VI		District: Barwani									
1	Lakhangaon	Thikri	0.000	14.703	14.703	151	158	143	22	22	Good
2	Raswa	Thikri	0.000	2.953	2.953	40	35	3	0	0	Good
3	Chainpura	Thikri	12.243	0.000	12.243	62	50	11	5	5	Average
4	Vishwanathkec	Thikri	5.776	10.111	15.885	206	124	87	12	12	Good
5	Brahmangaon	Thikri	47.454	30.678	78.132	575	466	225	0	0	Good
6	Nalwai	Thikri	11.843	0.000	11.843	148	148	48	0	0	Below Average
7	Gawla-I	Thikri	4.257	2.985	7.242	81	50	24	1	16	Good
8	Gawla-II	Thikri	5.250	0.000	5.250	61	53	53	16	5	Good
9	Chichli	Thikri	3.000	17.799	20.799	246	211	86	5	0	Good
10	Navadakhedi	Thikri	2.882	0.000	2.882	29	29	13	0	0	Below Average
		District: Khargone									
11	Adalpura	Thikri	10.838	0.000	10.838	15	15	9	0	0	Average
12	Kathora	Kasarwad	0.000	12.572	12.572	155	140	50	0	0	Good
13	Balkhad	Kasarwad	18.360	0.000	18.360	127	127	23	0	0	Average
14	Khalkhurd	Kasarwad	19.800	0.000	19.800	242	190	30	0	0	Below Average
Total						2138	1796	805	61	60	
Grand Total						29668	22158	14422	1451	752	

Water Supply System

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	No of Hand Pumps	Power Pumps/ Tube wells	Over Head Tanks	Cisterns	Animal Troughs
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TEAM I		District: Dhar					
1	Sala	Dharampuri	5	1	U/C	5	0
2	Nombola	Dharampuri	5	1	U/C	4	0
3	khalkhurd	Dharampuri	6	1	1	3	0
4	khal Bujurg	Dharampuri	9	1	U/C	9	0
5	Morghadi	Dharampuri	7	1	U/C	3	0
6	Balwada	Dharampuri	6	0	0	2	0
7	Eklera Buzurg	Dharampuri	3	0	0	2	0
8	Guleti	Dharampuri	1	0	0	-	0
9	Pipalda Garhi	Dharampuri	4	0	0	-	0
10	Khujawa	Dharampuri	7	1	U/C	5	0
11	Dharampuri	Dharampuri	21	2	U/C	24	0
12	Musapura	Dhar	1	1	1	2	0
13	Kesur	Dhar	11	1	1	2	0
Total			86	10	3	61	0
					(6 U/C)		
TEAM II		District: Dhar					
1	Ganpur Sirsi	Manawar	4	1	0	3	0
2	Gangli	Manawar	2	1	0	2	0

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	No of Hand Pumps	Power Pumps/ Tube wells	Over Head Tanks	Cisterns	Animal Troughs
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
3	Ekalwara	Manawar	7	0	0	2	0
4	Kavathi	Manawar	4	1	0	3	0
5	Achhoda	Manawar	4	1	0	3	1
6	Semalda	Manawar	5	1	1	4	0
7	Perkhad	Manawar	6	1	0	2	0
8	Urdana	Manawar	7	0	0	2	3
9	Sharikpura	Manawar	3	1	0	2	0
10	Mirzapur	Manawar	5	Boring done, Pump not installed	0	3	0
11	Ratwa	Manawar	2	Boring done, Pump not installed	0	1	0
12	Dagadpura	Manawar	2	0	0	0	0
13	Barda	Manawar	3	1- Not yet operational	1	4	3
14	Tawlaikhurd	Manawar	4	0	0	2	0
	Total		58	8	2	33	7
	TEAM III	District: Dhar					
1	Nisarpur-I	Kukshi	9	5	2	0	Will develop as a single site for supply of drinking water through pipes
2	Nisarpur-II	Kukshi	16	0	0	0	
3	Nisarpur-III	Kukshi	5	0	0	0	
4	Chandankhedi	Kukshi	6	0	0	2	2
5	Dehar	Kukshi	4	0	0	2	0

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	No of Hand Pumps	Power Pumps/ Tube wells	Over Head Tanks	Cisterns	Animal Troughs
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
6	Kikarwas	Kukshi	7	0	0	2	0
7	Dharamrai	Kukshi	8	2 Sump well	0	7	0
8	Kadmal	Kukshi	8	0	0	2	0
9	Gehalgaon	Kukshi	14	0	1	7	0
10	Chikhalda	Kukshi	13	0	1	0	0
11	Ganpur	Kukshi	10	1	0	3	0
12	Bhanwaria	Kukshi	4	0	0	2	0
13	Bhilsur	Kukshi	2	0	0	0	0
14	Rekti	Kukshi	3	0	0	2	0
District Jabua							
15	Khajuri	Thandla	2	0	0	1	0
16	Umda	Jobat	2	0	0	0	0
Total			113	8	4	30	2
TEAM IV		District: Barwani					
1	Kasba Barwani-I	Barwani	5	1	0	4	2
2	Kasba Barwani-II	Barwani	3	1	0	2	0
3	Kasba Barwani-III	Barwani	14	2	1	7	0
4	Eklara	Barwani	5	1	0	4	2
5	Kalyanpura	Barwani	3	1	0	2	0
6	Jamda	Barwani	4	1	0	2	0
7	Sirsani	Barwani	2	1	0	1	0
8	Bijasan	Barwani	6	1	0	2	1
9	Amlali	Barwani	3	0	0	1	0
10	Bamta Awalda	Barwani	2	1	0	2	0

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	No of Hand Pumps	Power Pumps/ Tube wells	Over Head Tanks	Cisterns	Animal Troughs
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
11	Awalda	Barwani	U/C	U/C	1	U/C	U/C
12	Bhavti-I	Barwani	9	1	0	2	0
13	Bhavti-II	Barwani	2	0	0	1	0
14	Sondul	Barwani	4	0	0	0	0
15	Morkatta	Barwani	4	1	0	2	0
	Total		66	12	2	32	5
	TEAM V	District: Barwani					
1	Borlai-II	Barwani	7	1	1	4	0
2	Borlai-III	Barwani	10	2	1	6	0
3	Khedi	Barwani	11	2	3	6	0
4	Borlai-I	Barwani	5	1	0	4	0
5	Barda	Thikri	7	0	1	9	0
6	Gulata	Thikri	2	1	0	1	0
7	Datwada	Thikri	5	1	0	3	0
8	Chakeri	Thikri	3	1	0	2	0
9	Mohipura	Thikri	10	1	0	3	2
10	Panya	Thikri	2	0	0	3	2
11	Mandwada	Thikri	5	0	0	2	0
12	Pichhola Kirmohi	Thikri	7	0	0	1	0
13	Lokara	Thikri	4	1	0	2	0
14	Takiapur	Thikri	6	1	0	2	2
	Total		84	12	6	48	6

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	No of Hand Pumps	Power Pumps/ Tube wells	Over Head Tanks	Cisterns	Animal Troughs
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TEAM VI		District: Barwani					
1	Lakhangaon	Thikri	3	1	0	2	1
2	Raswa	Thikri	1	1	0	1	0
3	Chainpura	Thikri	4	0	0	1	0
4	Vishwanathkeda	Thikri	4	1	0	2	0
5	Brahmangaon	Thikri	11	1	1	5	0
6	Nalwai	Thikri	6	0	0	2	0
7	Gawla-I	Thikri	2	0	0	1	0
8	Gawla-II	Thikri	4	1	0	1	0
9	Chichli	Thikri	4	0	0	2	0
10	Navadakhedi	Thikri	2	0	0	1	0
			District	Khargone			
11	Adalpura	Kasarwad	2	0	0	1	0
12	Kathora	Kasarwad	3	0	0	3	0
13	Balkhad	Kasarwad	6	0	0	2	0
14	Khalkhurd	Kasarwad	8	0	0	3	0
Total			60	5	1	27	1
Grand Total			467	55	18	231	21

28

Note: U/C Under Construction

Buildings

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Schools			Panchayat Bhavan	Dispen sary	Post Office	Seed Store
			Primary	Middle	Angan wadis				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TEAM I		District: Dhar							
1	Sala	Dharampuri	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
2	Nombola	Dharampuri	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
3	khalkhurd	Dharampuri	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
4	khal Bujurg	Dharampuri	1 U/C	0	0	1 U/C	1	0	1 U/C
5	Morghadi	Dharampuri	1 U/C	0	0	1 U/C	1	0	1 U/C
6	Balwada	Dharampuri	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
7	Eklera Buzurg	Dharampuri	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
8	Guleti	Dharampuri	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
9	Pipalda Garhi	Dharampuri	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
10	Khujawa	Dharampuri	1 U/C	0	0	1 U/C	1	0	1 U/C
11	Dharampuri	Dharampuri	1 U/C	1 U/C	0	1 U/C	1	0	0
12	Musapura	Dhar	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
13	Kesur	Dhar	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Total			13	1	0	13	13	0	7

TEAM II

District: Dhar

1	Ganpur Sirsi	Manawar	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
2	Gangli	Manawar	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
3	Ekalwara	Manawar	1	1	0	1	1	0	1

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Schools			Panchayat Bhavan	Dispen sary	Post Office	Seed Store
			Primary	Middle	Angan wadis				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4	Kavathi	Manawar	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
5	Achhoda	Manawar	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
6	Semalda	Manawar	4	1	0	0	1	0	1
7	Perkhad	Manawar	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
8	Urdana	Manawar	1	1	0	1	1	0	1
9	Sharikpura	Manawar	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Mirzapur	Manawar	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
11	Ratwa	Manawar	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Dagadpura	Manawar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Barda	Manawar	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
14	Tawlaikhurd	Manawar	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			18	5	3	7	8	0	8

30

TEAM III**District: Dhar**

1	Nisarpur-I	Kukshi	7	3	0	1	1	1	1
2	Nisarpur-II	Kukshi	Facilities for Nisapur I, II & III have been clubbed together as these sites will be merged to form a single colony						
3	Nisarpur-III	Kukshi							
4	Chandankhedi	Kukshi	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
5	Dehar	Kukshi	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
6	Kikarwas	Kukshi	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
7	Dharamrai	Kukshi	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
8	Kadmal	Kukshi	2	1	0	1	1	0	1
9	Gehalgaon	Kukshi	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
10	Chikhalda	Kukshi	3	0	0	1	1	0	1
11	Ganpur	Kukshi	2	0	0	1	1	0	1

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Schools			Panchayat Bhavan	Dispen sary	Post Office	Seed Store
			Primary	Middle	Angan wadis				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
12	Bhanwaria	Kukshi	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
13	Bhilsur	Kukshi	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
14	Rekti	Kukshi	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
		District Jabua							
15	Khajuri	Thandla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Umda	Jobat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total			25	4	0	12	12	1	12

TEAM IV

District: Barwani

1	Kasba Barwani-I	Barwani	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
2	Kasba Barwani-II	Barwani	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
3	Kasba Barwani-III	Barwani	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
4	Eklara	Barwani	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
5	Kalyanpura	Barwani	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
6	Jamda	Barwani	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7	Sirsani	Barwani	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
8	Bijasan	Barwani	1	1	0	1	1	0	1
9	Amlali	Barwani	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
10	Bamta Awalda	Barwani	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
11	Awalda	Barwani	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Bhavti-I	Barwani	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
13	Bhavti-II	Barwani	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Sondul	Barwani	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
15	Morkatta	Barwani	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Total			13	2	3	11	11	1	12

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Schools			Panchayat Bhavan	Dispen sary	Post Office	Seed Store
			Primary	Middle	Angan wadis				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

TEAM V

District: Barwani

1	Borlai-II	Barwani	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	Borlai-III	Barwani	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
3	Khedi	Barwani	2	1	0	1	1	0	1
4	Borlai-I	Barwani	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
5	Barda	Thikri	3	0	0	1	0	0	1
6	Gulata	Thikri	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
7	Datwada	Thikri	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
8	Chakeri	Thikri	2	1	0	1	1	1	0
9	Mohipura	Thikri	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
10	Panya	Thikri	2	0	1	1	1	0	1
11	Mandwada	Thikri	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
12	Pichhola Kirmohi	Thikri	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
13	Lokara	Thikri	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
14	Takiapur	Thikri	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Total			22	4	2	13	9	4	10

TEAM VI

District Barwani

1	Lakhangaon	Thikri	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
2	Raswa	Thikri	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Chainpura	Thikri	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Vishwanathkeda	Thikri	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
5	Brahmangaon	Thikri	2	1	1	1	1	0	1
6	Nalwai	Thikri	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
7	Gawla-I	Thikri	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

Sl No	Name of site	Tehsil	Schools			Panchayat Bhavan	Dispen sary	Post Office	Seed Store
			Primary	Middle	Angan wadis				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
8	Gawla-II	Thikri	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Chichli	Thikri	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
10	Navadakhedi	Thikri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			District	Khargone					
11	Adalpura	Thikri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Kathora	Kasarwad	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
13	Balkhad	Kasarwad	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
14	Khalkhurd	Kasarwad	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Total		13	3	1	9	6	2	6
	Grand Total		104	19	9	65	59	8	55

A P P E N D I X - I I I

Appendix - III

Oversight Group of Sardar Sarovar Project

**EXAMINATION OF GRIEVANCES OF PAFS
AT GRA BHOPAL
SARDAR SAROVAR PROJECT
IN MADHYA PRADESH**

**Bhopal
June 2006**

Brief Note On Grievance Redressal Process

1. The GRA was set up in Madhya Pradesh by a notification issued by the NVDA, Government of M.P dated 30.3.2000. It was to provide appeal mechanism as contained in para 13 of the RR Policy of Madhya Pradesh, 1992 to the project affected persons (PAPs) already resettled and to be resettled hereafter in Madhya Pradesh to ventilate Grievances for redressal after their resettlement till the process of resettlement and rehabilitation is completed. The Authority was empowered to ensure that all oustees (PAPs) receive all benefit and amenities due to them in accordance with stipulation of the NWDT Award, provisions in RR Policy of Madhya Pradesh and various orders made from time to time by the Government of Madhya Pradesh.

As per Resolution to this effect the Authority was to have a Chairmen and two members to be appointed by the GOMP. Subsequently by an amendment dated 21.9.2000 the resolution was amended substituting “The decision and directions of the Authority” by “The decision and directions of the chairmen and/ or the Authority”. Consequent to this amendment it is not mandatory to appoint members in addition to the Chairmen. The Authority therefore continues to work without any member having been appointed.

The Authority was to device appropriate mechanism and procedure of redressal. The system in vogue includes receiving grievances, forwarding them to NVDA for verification and comments, comments received are communicated to the PAPs to enable them to react to it and present evidences if any available with them either in person or in writing. The Authority, based on the comments and evidence as may be adduced decides the claims/entitlements and communicates it to the NVDA for compliance, and PAP, for their information. With a view to further cut short the process the NVDA has been directed to send copy of their comments directly to the PAPs so that the time taken in the process could be further reduced.

Besides redressal of the individual grievances the Authority is to take such steps as are necessary to satisfy itself that the grievances raised from time to time by PAPS have been suitably redressed at the appropriate levels.

2. The status of applications received by the Authority since its inception till 15.06.2006 and their disposal is as follow.

Total cases received/registered for disposal	GRASent to NVDA	Total
Disposed of	5599	4146
	5561	242
Pending	38	3904
		3942
Appeals		
Received	Disposed of	Pending
4	2	2

Of the total applications received 5561, claims of 4686 were not considered to be sustainable as such were rejected. In 875 cases claims were either fully or partly admitted and NVDA was addressed to settle them, in accordance with the order issued by the GRA.

3. The massive rejection of the claims/applications can be attributed to the fact that most of them were too general and did not have mention of specific grievance. Many of them were anti dam requesting suspension of work. Some were regarding inadequate award. They also included applications of PAPs settled in Gujarat for whom redressal Authority happens to be the GRA Gujarat. These applications were therefore rejected as either they were non specific or they related to a grievance not within the jurisdiction of adjudication by the Authority. This trend is a pointer to the fact that there was no adequate or precise dissemination of the information regarding the scope and jurisdiction of the Authority. A sizeable number of applications were similar or uniform as if prompted or tutored.

4. In the month of July, 2005 the GRA decided to refer the applications received for settlement to NVDA directly as GRA's role is to be post settlement i.e. after the relief as per NWDT Award is extended to them and to the extent PAPs are aggrieved by it. The number of such applications as on 15.06.06 was 4146. This included 1037 applications referred to NVDA under letter No. GRA/2006/4019 dated 04.03.06.

The yearwise break up of these applications is as below:

Year	Number
2002	48
2003	222
2004	347
2005	419
2006	1
<hr/>	
	1037
<hr/>	

Of the Total application in this category i.e. 4146 the NVDA has reported compliance to GRA in 242 cases as on 15.06.06.

On interaction with the Vice Chairmen NVDA he has stated that the field enquiries in all these cases are almost complete and they are likely to report compliance to GRA in regard to all these cases by 30.6.06. The GRA will have a role besides monitoring in these cases if PAPs involved are aggrieved by the NVDA decisions and they move for redressal.

5. Initially, after inception of the GRA the NVDA used to send its comments within 3 to 4 months after verification of the facts of the case. The time lag, have started to widen in and after 2002. The time taken in verification process and communicating comments of NVDA to GRA has been 1 to 3 years delaying resolution of the cases. A few examples in regard to time taken in verification process is annexed herewith. This delay was generally attributed to multiple responsibilities of staff and latent priorities from time to time.

On pointing out large pendency of cases with the NVDA suggestion made by the GRA was that an appropriate dedicated cell in the NVDA should be set up to ensure speedy disposal of the references of the GRA. The VC NVDA, has however assured that the back log of more than 4000 cases is likely to be cleared by the June end as a result of special drive initiated by him. The things will be on even kneel as far as future references are concerned.

6. Data Generated so far suggest that the GRA despite initial limitations of support staff, through a meticulous procedural system ensures proper acknowledgement, and registration of grievances, expeditions reference to the NVDA for their detailed comments and giving full opportunity to petitioners for reacting to the NVDA's comments before final hearing. The decisions of GRA after the process of hearing both the sides is complete is generally quick.
7. The Hon'ble supreme court in its judgment dated 18.10.2000 in the writ petition (c) No 319 of 1994 (Narmada Bachao Andolan vs Union of India and others) issued interalia the direction that:

'Raising height of the dam above 90 meters will be pari-passu with the implementation of the Relief and Rehabilitation and on the clearance by the Relief and Rehabilitation Sub-group'.

'The Relief and Rehabilitation Sub-group will give clearance of further constructions after consulting the three Grievance Redressal Authorities'.

In fulfillment of the consultation process the Chairmen GRA summons all relevant material gathered by the committee constituted by the RR Sub group. He also gets, the status of civil amenities at the Rehabilitation sites and compliance with the provision for Rehabilitation made by the NWDT Award, verified by the MCA official as the GRA, MP does not have necessary staff or infrastructure for this purpose. Material coming to the notice of GRA too is furnished to the committee so that meaningful consultation can take place and GRA is in position to render effective and appropriate advice.

The consultation process as aforesaid for elevation level 90-95 meters and further above continues to precede the construction of the dam.

8. Meanwhile from GRA cases it has come to notice that because of resistance by the NBA activists some of the villages in the submergence area could not physically surveyed. It is however claimed by the NVDA that the compensation in these cases is settled on the basis of visual survey. It cannot therefore be considered as the final settlement.

The VC NVDA was requested to intimate the number of villages and PAPs remaining to be surveyed physically. He has assured to make this information available after ascertaining it from the field formations. The informations may be of interest to OS Group.

9. The RR Policy of Madhya Pradesh did not have provision to treat major sons and major unmarried daughters of the oustees as oustees and award them relief. However, as a follow up to Hon'ble Supreme Court directive it was incorporated in the RR Policy of the State in March, 2005. Meanwhile some claims of major sons and unmarried daughters were rejected by the Authority stating that the Rehabilitation Policy of the State did not have provision for it. The normal course in the circumstances should have been to re-open these cases after amendment as aforesaid and settle the entitlements as permissible. NVDA is to make sure that these rejected cases are also disposed of as per Supreme Court Judgement.
10. Eventhough the GRA has been in existence for almost six years, still as reported 25 to 30 applications from Projection Effected Persons / Families are trickling in, almost every day. The process of resettlement therefore needs to be revisited and further strengthened.

Sd/-
(G.K.Shukla)
Supervisor, IPAI,
Bhopal

Sd/-
(Vijay Kumar)
Dy. C&AG
Coordinator

Annexure –A

Statement Showing time taken at NVDA level in disposing of the cases referred to them by the GRA

Case No. (Regn. No.)	Date of receipt	Date on which the case sent to NVDA	Date on which comments received from NVDA	Date of decision
03 to 22	14.06.2000	21.07.2000	27.07.2000	16.08.2000
23 to 32	14.06.2000	31.07.2000	16.08.2000	25.09.2000 to 21.11.2000
37 to 54	14.06.2000	07.08.2000	14.09.2000	04.10.2000
277 to 281	30.09.2000	20.11.2000	07.03.2001	11.04.2001 to 19.06.2002
296	30.09.2000	13.11.2000	26.11.2001	03.01.2002
297	30.09.2000	14.11.2000	25.02.2002	09.05.2002
344	30.09.2000	06.11.2000	16.03.2001	02.06.2002
351	30.09.2000	14.11.2000	05.01.2002	02.02.2002
666	13.08.2001	20.08.2001	24.05.2002	25.07.2002
667 to 681	13.08.2001	20.08.2001	24.05.2002	25.07.2002
726 to 728	09.11.2001	19.11.2001	11.01.2002	23.11.2002
769	10.01.2002	16.01.2002	22.03.2002	04.05.2002
782	22.01.2002	23.01.2002	03.07.2002	13.03.2003
1645 to 1776	24.04.2002	01.05.2002	12.08.2002	04.01.2003
3154	01.06.2002	07.06.2002	09.09.2002	11.10.2002
3159 to 3162	03.06.2002	03.07.2002	09.09.2002	11.10.2002
3350 to 3354	04.07.2002	11.07.2002	16.04.2003	12.05.2003
3362	04.07.2002	18.07.2002	31.07.2003	29.09.2003
3426	04.07.2002	15.07.2002	08.05.2003	12.07.2003
3427 to 3433	04.07.2002	15.07.2002	23.05.2003	08.07.2003
3444 to 3449	04.07.2002	10.07.2002	23.05.2003	09.07.2003

3490 to 3495	04.07.2002	17.07.2002	07.06.2003	10.07.2003
3520 to 3535	04.07.2002	18.07.2002	23.05.2003	08.07.2003
3663 to 3671	04.07.2002	11.07.2002	15.07.2003	10.09.2003
3680	10.07.2002	03.08.2002	31.05.2004	07.06.2004
3828 to 3894	12.07.2002	12.08.2002	31.12.2003	02.11.2004
3901 to 3921	16.07.2002	23.07.2002	19.04.2004	08.08.2004 to 25.08.2004
4133	17.07.2002	07.08.2002	14.03.2005	28.04.2005
4162	19.07.2002	03.08.2002	26.07.2003	23.08.2003
4202	19.07.2002	12.08.2002	04.03.2004	05.03.2004
4220	22.07.2002	06.08.2002	08.01.2004	14.01.2004
4234	02.08.2002	14.08.2002	06.07.2005	17.08.2005
186	22.02.2003	25.02.2003	15.04.2005	28.06.2005
190	22.02.2003	25.02.2003	15.04.2005	29.06.2005
206	26.02.2003	27.02.2003	20.02.2006	24.04.2006
210	28.02.2003	05.03.2003	13.01.2005	14.02.2005
548 to 555	30.07.2003	08.08.2003	05.12.2005	25.01.2006
566 to 570	30.07.2003	08.08.2003	05.12.2005	28.01.2006
609	31.07.2003	08.08.2003	05.12.2005	28.01.2006
624	31.07.2003	08.08.2003	05.12.2005	25.01.2006
763	05.12.2003	05.12.2003	14.07.2005	17.08.2005
91 to 94	08.04.2004	12.04.2004	13.04.2005	28.06.2005
103	08.04.2004	12.04.2004	28.02.2006	24.04.2006
360	01.11.2004	01.11.2004	18.08.2005	05.11.2005
167 to 168	14.03.2005	15.03.2005	20.01.2006	17.02.2006
176 & 177	14.03.2005	17.03.2005	20.02.2006	14.03.2006