



International Environmental  
Law Research Centre

## **Guidelines for Strengthening the Joint Forest Management Programme, 2000**

This document is available at [ielrc.org/content/e0014.pdf](http://ielrc.org/content/e0014.pdf)

For further information, visit [www.ielrc.org](http://www.ielrc.org)

**Note:** This document is put online by the International Environmental Law Research Centre (IELRC) for information purposes. This document is not an official version of the text and as such is only provided as a source of information for interested readers. IELRC makes no claim as to the accuracy of the text reproduced which should under no circumstances be deemed to constitute the official version of the document.

**No. 22-8/2000-JFM (FPD)**  
**Government of India**  
**Ministry of Environment and Forests**  
**(Forest Protection Division)**

Paryavaran Bhawan, CGO Complex  
Lodi Road, New Delhi

**Dated:** February 21, 2000

To

The Secretaries  
Forest Departments  
(All States/UTs)

**Subject: Guidelines for strengthening of Joint Forest Management (JFM) Programme**

Sir,

As per the provisions of National Forest Policy 1988, the Government of India, vide letter No. 6.21/89-PP dated 1st June, 1990, outlined and conveyed to State Governments a framework for creating massive people's regeneration and development of degraded forest lands. This gave impetus situated to the participation of stakeholders in the management of degraded forests situated in the vicinity of villages. The joint forest management programme in the country is structured on the broad framework provided by the guidelines issued by the Ministry. So far, during the last ten years, 22 State Governments have adopted resolutions for implementing the JFM programme in their respective states. As on 1.1.2000, 10.24 million ha of forests lands are being managed under JFM programme through 36075 committees.

2. The JFM programme in the country was reviewed by Government of India from time to time in consultation with State Governments, NGO's and other stakeholders in view of several emerging issues. In order to further strengthen the programme, the State Governments may take action on the following suggested lines.

**(A) Legal backup to the JFM committees:**

(i.) At present, the JFM committees are being registered under different names in various States as per the provisions contained in the resolutions. Except in a few States where the committees are registered under the relevant acts in most of the states there is no legal back up for these committees. It is, therefore, necessary that all the State Governments register the JFM or village committees under the Societies Registration Act, 1860 to provide them with legal back up. This may be completed by 31st March, 2000. Completion of such formation of existing JFM committees may please be reported to this Ministry.

ii. There are different nomenclatures for the JFM committees in different States. It would be better if these committees are known uniformly as JFM committees (JFMC) in all the states. Memorandum of Understanding, with clearly defined roles and responsibilities for different work or areas should be separately assigned and signed between the State Governments and the committees. All adults of the village

should be eligible to become members of the JFM Committees.

**(B) Participation of women in the JFM programme:**

Considering the immense potential and genuine need for women's participation in JFM programme, following guidelines are suggested for ensuring meaningful participation of women in JFM.

- i. At least 50% members of the JFM general body should be women. For the general body meeting, the presence of at least 50% women members should be a prerequisite for holding the general body meeting.
- ii. At least 33% of the membership in the JFM Executive Committee/Management Committee should be filled from amongst the women members. The quorum for holding meeting of such Executive/Management Committee should be one-third of women executive members or a minimum of one whichever is more. One of the posts of office bearer i.e. President/Vice-President/Secretary should be filled by a woman member of the Committee.

**(C) Extension of JFM in good forest areas**

For better resource planning and collective management distance from the village and dependency on forests should be the main criteria for allowing JFM programme to operate. Therefore, JFM programme should cover both the degraded as well as good forests (except the protected area network). The microplan or treatment plan and memorandum of understanding should be different for degraded forests and good forests (crown density above 40%). In good forest areas, the JFM activities would concentrate on NTFP management and no alteration should be permitted in the basic silvicultural prescription prescribed in the Working Plan but to promote regeneration, development and sustainable harvesting of NTFP which can be given free or on concessional rates as per existing practice in degraded areas under JFM. The benefit sharing mechanism will also be different for the good forest areas. The JFM committees will be eligible for benefit sharing for timber, only if they have satisfactorily protected the good forests for a minimum period for timber, only if they have satisfactorily protected the good forests for a minimum period of at least 10 years and the sharing percentage should be kept limited to a maximum of 20% of the revenue from the final harvest. The felling of trees and harvesting of timber will be as per the provisions of the working plan. A certain percentage of revenue from final harvest should be ploughed back in the silviculture and management of the forests. The extent of good forest areas to be allowed will depend upon the number of village household and should be restricted to a maximum limit of 100 ha and generally limited to 2 km from the village boundary. For degraded forests also as far as possible JFM should be first concentrated on areas up to 5 km from the village boundary. The implementation of JFM in good forest areas shall be done in a phased manner on pilot basis. The pilot areas may be monitored closely for a few years and based on the feedback and success achieved the programme can be extended further in consultation with the Central Government. Before allowing the good forests on pilot basis, all the degraded forests of that locality should be covered simultaneously.

**(D) Preparation of microplan in JFM areas:**

- (i) In case of new working plans a JFM overlapping working circle should be provided to incorporate broad provisions for micro plans. To achieve this flexible guidelines should be evolved for preparation of local need based micro plans. For this

purpose, the working plan officer will work in tandem with the territorial DFO and CF for finalisation of the prescriptions of the JFM overlapping working circle. The micro plans should be prepared by the Forest Officers and Village Forest Protection Committees after detailed PRA exercise and should reflect the consumption and livelihood needs of the local communities as well as provisions for meeting the same sustainably. It should utilise locally available knowledge as well as aim to strengthen the local institution. It should also take into account marketing linkages for better return of NTFPs to the gatherers and should also reflect the needs of local industries market. This should be done with due regards to the environmental functions and productive potential of the forests and their carrying capacity as also their conservation and biodiversity values.

(ii) In areas the existing plans are in force (till their revision in future), for incorporation of micro plans in the working plans, a special order may be issued by the PCCFs for implementation of the micro plan should aim at ensuring a multi product and more NTFP oriented approach. Without changing the basic principles of silviculture, deviations may be approved in the existing working plans if necessary. To ensure this, the concerned DFO and CF should dovetail the requirements of micro plans with the working plans.

(iii) The micro plan should also take into consideration and provide suitable advice for areas planted/ to be planted on community lands and other Government lands outside the notified forest areas including in the district council areas of North East.

(iv) Infrastructure/ Ecodevelopment under micro plan should form a separate entity for funding it through concerned development agencies.

**(E) Conflict resolution**

In order to resolve in the functioning of JFM committees and to maintain harmony among different groups participating in the JFM, State Governments may constitute divisional and state level representatives from all the stakeholders including NGOs. The model prescribed by the Andhra Pradesh Government for this purpose is a case in point for consideration.

**(F) Recognition of Self-initiated groups**

The community groups in many places in Orissa, Bihar, Gujrarat, Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka are performing the essential functions of forest protection and regeneration. These groups need to be identified, recognised and registered as JFM Committees after proper verification of records and inquiry. The period of their existence and duties performed for protection and regeneration should be suitably assessed and proper weightage given to them for deriving benefits under the JFM programme.

**(G) Contribution for Regeneration of Resources:**

For long tern sustainability of resources, it is essential that a mechanism is created for ploughing back a certain percentage of the revenue earned from final harvest. For this purpose, no less than 25% of the share of village community should be deposited in the village development fund for meeting the conservation and development needs of the forests. A matching contribution may be made by the forest department from its share of such sales. There should be transparent mechanisms for computation of income for sharing the benefits between different stakeholders.

**(H) Monitoring and Evaluation:**

Concurrent monitoring of progress and performance of this programme should be undertaken at Division and State level. Evaluation of the programme should be planned at an interval of 3 years and 5 years at Division and State level respectively.

Yours faithfully,

(C P Oberai)

Inspector General of Forests & Special Secretary

*Copy for information and necessary action to :*

1. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/Chief Conservator of Forests (All States. UTs).
2. Special Secretary, National Afforestation and Eco-development Board, Ministry of Environment and Forests, New Delhi.
3. Secretary, National Wasteland Development Board, Ministry of Rural Development, New Delhi.
4. Chief Conservator of Forests (Central) of all Regional Offices located at Bhubaneswar, Bangalore, Bhopal, Shillong, Lucknow, Chandigarh.
5. DG, ICFRE, Dehra Dun.
6. Director, Indian Institute of Forest Management, Bhopal.
7. Director, Indira Gandhi National Forest Academy, Dehra Dun.
8. Director, Forest Survey of India, Dehra Dun.
9. Director, Forest Education, Dehra Dun.
10. Director, Wildlife Institute of India, Dehra Dun.
11. All Officers of the Ministry of Environment and Forests.

(Dr V K Bahuguna)

Dy. Inspector General of Forests)